The New-York Times.

VOL. XVIII.....NO. 5426. NEW-YORK, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1869.—TRIPLE SHEET. PRICE FOUR CENTS.

CONCERNING CRIME.

Its Boldness, Impunity and Frequency in the City.

Remissness of the Police and Partiality of the Magistracy.

Defective and Cumbrous Machinery of the Law.

Fossilized Administration of the Metropolitan Police System.

THE SHAM DETECTIVE ORGANIZATION.

Necessity of an Amended Criminal Code—Prompt Dealing with Offenders, and an Increased and Reorganized Police.

The public mind is now profoundly stirred concerning crime in this City,—its alleged increase, its extraordinary boldness, and the apparent immunity from punishment of the worst offenders.

The agitation and alarm are by no means groundless. There is more or less truth in every count of this indictment against the fair fame of the City and the officers who are charged with the administration of the laws. It behooves us, therefore, to investigate impartially the causes of this untoward state of affairs, and, as far as possible, to suggest the remedies.

THE INCREASE OF CRIME.

First, with regard to the prevalence and increase of crime. That such is the fact there is no room to doubt. It is admitted both by the Magistracy and the Police.

It seems to be generally conceded that the most effective method of suppressing crime is to promptly convict and severely punish the offenders. Indeed, the past furnishes many illustrations of the effect of prompt justice in the checking of crime. We need give but one illustration. The majority of our readers will remember how that species of highway robbery, known as "garroting," which was rife in this country and in England seven or eight years ago, was "stamped out" by a vigorous prosecution of the practitioners of this crime, and by visiting them with a severe penalty upon conviction. This particular offence made its appearance during the Winter months, which invariably are most prolific of crime. Scarcely a day occurred without two or three cases. Frequently the "garroters," not content with half strangling their victims in order to effect the robbery, brutally injured them while lying senseless. For some time the sentences pronounced in cases of conviction were very light,—from two to five years' imprisonment. Garroting continued to increase. Finally, Judge RUSSEL, of this City, and Baron BROMWELL, of London, adopted the harshest measures in dealing with this crime. The one Magistrate sentenced several of this sort of ruffians to the State Prison for terms of from ten to forty years; and the other, about the same time, sentenced a batch of "garroters" to terms of from seven to twenty years' imprisonment.

Garroting at once ceased.

Similar vigor exercised at the present juncture will unquestionably produce a similar result. Let criminals understand that the penalties of the law will be dealt upon them at all times swiftly and surely, and they will soon be stricken with terror.

It is consolatory to know that the criminal authorities, judging from the sentences of a few days past, have at last come to this conclusion.

THE CHARACTER OF CRIME.

There is something encouraging in the fact that notwithstanding the prevalence of crime and its undoubted increase, the heinousness of offences in the scale of moral culpability has of late years diminished. In view of the recent offences of extraordinary boldness, this assertion to most minds will appear untrue. But it is susceptible of perfect demonstration. The Police returns for the year 1868 exhibit the enormous aggregate of 78,451 persons as having been taken into custody in the four counties, numbering a population of about one million five hundred thousand souls, which comprise the Metropolitan Police District.

When we come to analyze the character of these offences, (taking the returns for the past five or six years,) we will find that while it is an incontrovertible fact that crime has steadily increased in the district during the time in question, there has been a wonderful decline in offences of the gravest nature.

We will discover that forgeries—a crime which was of the most frequent occurrence a few years ago—have almost entirely disappeared from the list of offences.

Street brawls, which were notoriously common in the paltry days of the old Fire Department, are now seldom known.

Pocket-picking, which was practiced assiduously on the street-cars, at the theatres, and wherever a large crowd was collected, as a distinct branch of roguery, has been pretty effectually suppressed.

MURDERS AND HOMICIDES.

Contrary to popular belief, there have been few cases of willful, revengeful murder—murder with malice aforethought—in this City for many years. The statistics show, as a general thing, that murder in this City has sprung from hot blood rather than malice, and, as a consequence, has been openly and clumsily done.

Take the recent case of Mr. ROGERS as an illustration. If fully indicates, it is true, the boldness of the present-day criminals, but it was clearly no instance of malicious murder. It would doubtless be classed under the common law with the great majority of cases that have occurred in this City as a "chance medley homocide." We can only recall of late years a few instances of willful, downright murder. The most notorious crime of this sort was that in Bond-street, of Dr. BURDELL. In addition to this, the cases may be mentioned of Dr. LEUTNER, the oculist, in 1854; of BURKE, a porter in a store at the corner of Broadway and White-street, in 1836, and more recently the case of REAL, the murderer of Policeman SMEDICK, who was yesterday sentenced to be hung.

Indeed, on a close examination of the records of the Coroner's office, it will be found that there is a widespread popular misapprehension in regard, not only to the grade of the murders on record, but also in respect to the frequency of this crime since the organization of the Metropolitan Police force.

Such an examination will not show, however, that, as a detective force, the present Police is an improvement upon the Police which it superseded.

Let us cite the statistics. In the year 1856 there were 48 homicides, and in 11 of these cases the murderer was not arrested.

In 1857 there were 58 homicides, and in 19 of these cases the murderer escaped arrest.

Omitting the year 1858, when the present Police force was in process of organization, and to labor under the disadvantage of imperfect machinery, we will instance the years 1859, in which there occurred 42 homicides; in 1860, when there were 47; 1861, when there were 52, and 1862, when there were 46. In each of these years 15 of these murderers escaped arrest. In other words, in about one case in four the Police failed to detect the assassin, and the Coroners' juries were compelled to find verdicts that the deceased came to their death at the hands of persons unknown.

The record of succeeding years is scarcely better, as in 1867, out of 34 homicides, in 10 cases the stereotyped verdict of "death at the hands of persons unknown" was rendered; and in 1868 there were 48 murders, and in 9 cases the perpetrator of the crime escaped detection.

A DISCREDITABLE EXHIBIT FOR THE POLICE.

Summarizing the entire term of service of the present Police force, we have the fact that during that time, about ten years, there have been, according to the Coroners' books, no less than 532 deaths by violence in this City alone, and in *one hundred and thirty-one* of these cases the Police have failed to discover the guilty persons. We find, therefore, that in a little more than one-fifth of the entire number of homicides the Police have fallen short of the duty imposed upon them of arresting the murderers at the time of the commission of the offence.

It must be taken into consideration, also, that in collating these figures only evident and undisputed homicides have been taken into account. In addition to the 532 homicides there are on the Coroners' books hundreds of verdicts of "death from causes unknown," or "supposed drowning," behind many of which the work of the murderer is undoubtedly hidden. As there are no means of verifying this belief, all such cases have been rejected, and only those instances counted where the evidence was emphatic that death had been caused by violence inflicted by human agency.

Moreover, it does not help the case for the Police to know that of 131 undetected murders 50 were infanticides. These latter were almost invariably cases of child-murder where the bodies were found in vaults of houses, and in which it might with reason be supposed that the detective would have no very hard task in bringing the guilt home.

Nor have the Police had, as a rule, any cases of homicide where ordinary diligence and acumen might not have detected the criminal. As we have stated, there have been comparatively few instances of vindictive and secret murder. Hence the Police have rarely been driven, as in the Rogers case, to work exclusively on circumstantial evidence, which is a fact that may be placed to the credit of the community, as the detectives have seldom accomplished anything when they have had to rely solely upon the clues afforded by circumstances. In this connection it is pertinent to cite one late instance—that of THOMAS F. LAVELLE, a conductor on the Seventh-avenue Railroad, who was stabbed on his car in Church-street, on the evening of Feb. 17, 1868. The act was instantly known to the Police, who carried the wounded man to the New-York Hospital, where he died the same evening. This was far from being a case of extraordinary difficulty, but the murderer has not been found out, and if the Police have made any effort whatever to arrest him, they have never claimed credit for it.

This exhibit, therefore, while it gives us the information that homicides and affrays resulting in death, have been less frequent in this City than has been generally supposed, also shows most strikingly the incapacity or inactivity of the detective branch of the Metropolitan Police system, and flies in the face of the tradition that "murder will out."

THE PUNISHMENT OF MURDERERS.

While discussing this question of murder, it is proper to explain, as far as the matter admits of explanation, the reason that murderers and persons guilty of homicide are held so long in custody before they are brought to trial, and how they often escape with what is believed to be an entirely inadequate punishment.

The trouble can be for the most part directly traced to what are confessedly defects in our criminal laws and to the cumbrous machinery of justice, the aim of which seems to be to afford offenders of every sort all possible facilities for escaping their deserts.

For instance, the difficulty of convicting murderers in this State has been vastly increased since 1860 and 1862, in which years the Legislature made a great mistake in tampering with the law bearing on this crime.

Murder was then classed in two degrees, and it took the Courts five years to determine what the second degree of murder might be. The punishment of murder in the first degree is hanging, and for murder in the second degree imprisonment for ten years, or for life, in the discretion of the Court.

The practical result of introducing such a distinction has been that jurors, influenced by unwillingness to unite in a capital conviction, always find the prisoner guilty of the second degree. The simplicity of the old definition ought to be restored.

So with manslaughter, which the Legislature has defined to be of four degrees. It requires the finest kind of technical knowledge to understand the nice distinctions which the law has made in this offence, and as a consequence jurors can rarely be brought to give a verdict except for the lowest degrees.

So much for the light punishments which in these days are meted out for this sort of offence.

TARDY PROSECUTION OF OFFENDERS.

As regards the failure of the prosecuting officers to bring murderers and perpetrators of the crime of manslaughter to prompt trial, there is much to be said in mitigation.

As the law now stands, it is an absolute impossibility to procure a jury immediately after the commission of a crime which is at all notorious. Publicity is given to an event through the newspapers, and upon the facts presented in the public prints the person summoned as a juror makes up an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the accused. No matter how intelligent the juror may be; no matter how conscientiously he may be enabled to give a verdict based solely upon the evidence as presented in Court, and the law as explained by the Judge, he must be set aside, according to the ruling of the Court of Appeals, as incompetent. It is easily to be seen how so gross a legal fiction—reflecting as it does upon the intelligence and conscientiousness of every man who reads a newspaper—is made to hinder and prevent the prompt administration of justice.

In the case of CANCEMI, the Italian, who a few years since committed a murder at the corner of Grand and Centre streets, and was brought to trial within a few weeks subsequent to the commission of the crime, counsel for the prisoner, with the consent of his client, on account of the difficulty of obtaining twelve jurors, who, in the eyes of the law, were competent, waived his rights in this respect. CANCEMI was convicted, and subsequently his case was taken before the Court of Appeals, and it was held that the prisoner had no right to waive a constitutional privilege. The result was that he was set a liberty.

A similar case was that of FRIERY, the murderer of LAZARUS. He was hung, it is true; but for this same difficulty of getting a jury who were ignorant of the circumstances of the case before the trial, he very nearly escaped the penalty of his crime.

In view of this *ex cathedrâ* rule of the law applicable to jurors, it is therefore not to be wondered at that delays of great length are necessary before notorious offenders are brought to trial. The case of REAL, just convicted of the murder of Officer SMEDICK, is one in point. There has been much indignation expressed that this man was not promptly tried. The explanation of the delay may doubtless be found in reading our law reports of Monday last, where the difficulty of obtaining twelve men who should be acceptable alike to the law, to the people and to the defence, is palpable.

This defect of our present code of criminal practice is not the only one. There are many others which stand in the way of the swift application of justice, even where the zeal of the representatives of the people is beyond dispute. The manifold legal excuses which a defendant may offer respecting the absence of important witnesses, and other quibbles which are fully recognized by the present code, serve to defeat the ends of justice, and afford many loopholes for escape where the counsel for the prisoner is fertile in tact and determined in his opposition.

THE DISTRICT-ATORNEY'S POWER.

Under the almost unlimited power which the laws of this State place in the hands of the District-Attorney of every county, this functionary may exercise the widest discretion, the only check upon his course in dealing with criminals being his honesty and his faithfulness to the trust confided to him. He is the legal adviser of the Grand Jury in all matters upon which they may desire his counsel. He can without question enter a *nolle prosequi* in any case where an indictment has been found. The evidence for the prosecution in every cause is placed in his hands. He determines for himself all the chances for conviction in any given offence. If he chooses he can bring a case promptly into Court, or he may postpone it, in spite of the letter and spirit of the law, until it suits his convenience. He may go further, and accept a plea from a prisoner guilty of an offence many degrees lower in grade than that charged in the indictment by the Grand Jury.

This latter course has been pursued in this City hundreds of times, and criminals who, before a jury, might have been doomed to prison for a long term of years, have often, upon the direct invitation of the District-Attorney, pleaded guilty to an offence which simply secured them in confinement for months. A power so absolute is liable to be abused, and yet it may be exercised with perfect fairness, even in cases where there is a moral certainty of guilt, but in which the evidence may be technically imperfect according to the view of the public prosecutor who alone has access to the merits of the matter. There are, therefore, advantages and disadvantages even in this autocratic method of dealing with criminals.

THE UNVINDICATED LIQUOR LAW.

Inquiry has often been directed to the neglect of our late District-Attorney in the matter of prosecuting the thousands of complaints which had accumulated in his office against violators of the Excise law. The answer is plain. A partisan purpose is doubtless subserved in the failure to prosecute, but there are valid grounds in law for the non-action. A well-settled rule of criminal procedure is established in this respect. Cases brought to trial must be in the following order:

1. Felonies in which the defendant is in custody.
2. Misdemeanors in which the defendant is in custody.
3. Felonies in which the defendant is on bail.
4. Misdemeanors in which the defendant is on bail.

As the liquor cases belong to the latter class, and as the indictments pending against offenders of the three other classes have thus far never been exhausted, there seems to be no tangible cause of complaint under the circumstances.

THE TROUBLE ABOUT JURORS.

It may be urged that if the office of the District-Attorney is overflowing with indictments which cannot be reached under the slow processes of criminal procedure, it would be well to hold two separate terms of the Court of Sessions simultaneously. There is a Recorder and also a City Judge. Why may they not work at the same time, and so lighten the calendar?

There seems to be great reason in this, but the main obstacle in the way of such a course is the trouble of obtaining jurors. The difficulty in this respect is chronic, and calls for a radical remedy. Every subterfuge is resorted to for the purpose of escaping jury duty, and it rarely happens that out of two hundred and fifty summoned at each term of the Court of General Sessions the required number of twenty-four, which constitutes the legal panel from which a petit jury may be taken, can be secured on the first day of the term. The penalty for non-service without legal excuse is simply a fine of $25 for the term. Many business men submit to the fine, and never put in an appearance.

This great evil under which the Courts labor might be remedied in two ways: first, by issuing an attachment compelling the recusant juror to appear, as is done in Massachusetts; or by paying a moderate compensation ($2 a day,) when jurors serve for the term, as is done in New-Jersey. Either plan would work a reform. Perhaps the latter would be more just, as there seems to be no good reason why Judge, counsel, witnesses and all officers of the Court should receive compensation, and jurors alone be compelled to give their time for nothing. Jurors, as a general thing, are drawn from the working classes, who can illy afford to lose their time, but who for a slight compensation would cheerfully serve the public.

THE NEW CRIMINAL CODE.

The remedy for the evils at which we have hinted, and for many others which we have no space to demonstrate, is to be found in the Code of Criminal Proceedure, which for many years has been vainly knocking for adoption at the door of the Legislature. This Code was prepared and matured by Commissioners appointed by the Legislature, composed of three of the ablest and most astute members of the legal profession of this City—Messrs. DAVID DUDLEY FIELD, WM. CURTIS NOYES and ALEXANDER W. BRADFORD. By its adoption many cumbrous and faulty formulas unsuited to this enlightened age would be dispensed with; the antique rules of practice and pleadings would be abolished, and the course of justice would be so simplified that the "law's delays" would become an obsolete tradition.

The passage of the Code is vigorously combatted, however, by parties whose professional interests might be damaged by its adoption, and thus far they have carried their point.

THE BOLDNESS OF CRIME.

With respect to the boldness of crime there have been of late many striking examples. The murder of Mr. ROGERS comes in this category. He was stricken down at early daylight in front of his own door. In this case it does not seem fair to clamor against the Police for not having witnessed the deed. There was only one patrolman on duty in the neighborhood. The "beat" of this single policeman included Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth streets, from Third to Sixth avenues. It is preposterous to suppose that he could possibly cover a post one-fifth as extended, and it is quite likely that if he had been in sight the ruffians would have forborne their attack. The absence of the policeman is far less unaccountable than the absence of citizens at that hour of the morning. The blame which properly attaches to the Police in this case lies in their inefficiency as detective officers.

Next we have the case of Mr. LEDDY, who was assaulted with a slung-shot in Lexington-avenue before 9 o'clock at night. Then Mr. LYNCH, who was knocked down, and his wife attacked by a gang of thieves in Fourth-street, within gunshot of Broadway, soon after dark. Again, the office of Calvary Cemetery, in the neighborhood of Police Headquarters, was entered by burglars, who despoiled a safe, and must have consumed a great deal of time in their villainy. Then the case of Mr. PARKER, a merchant, who was attacked in his office at Coenties-slip before the close of business hours, and his pocket rifled while a pistol was held to his head. Next Mr. MORRY, who was threatened with murder, gagged and robbed in a second-story room on Broadway, between 6 and 7 o'clock in the evening. The Park Bank robbery, a crime which was unparalleled in its audacity. The attempt of BOYLE, the Michigan murderer, with his confederates, to break in the window of a jewelry store in the Bowery soon after dusk—an attempt in which he was defeated by Roundsman MOONEY. Lastly, the successful robbery of a jewelry store in Broadway at 7:30 o'clock on Monday evening by thieves who broke the windows. Many other bold achievements of thieves and scoundrels might be enumerated, but we have selected the more prominent of the exploits of recent occurrence, in order to illustrate upon what basis allegations of this sort are made.

Looking to the Police authorities for an explanation of this audacity in crime, we are told that it is simply a spasmodic outburst in a new direction—the very boldness affording the best chances of its success.

For example, they cite the case of BOYLE, the butcher-cart thief, who preferred forty years in Sing Sing, with any number of opportunities of escaping, to being sent to Michigan to stand trial for the murder of the Sheriff of Kalamazoo, where there was a fair prospect of his being lynched by the indignant people of the village. This BOYLE, a desperate fellow by his own confession, chose an hour of the evening when the streets were neither empty or full of passers-by in order to carry out his daring intention. He was waiting, with his confederate, for a slight cessation in the stream of passengers before he broke the window of the jewelry store. He was observed, and his intent was divined by the vigilant roundsman. The latter concealed himself behind a shutter-box on the sidewalk, but the quick eye of the thief "spotted" his head as he peered out from his skulking place. BOYLE became alarmed, and signaled to his confederate to run. They had almost reached their butcher cart when the policeman, who had started in pursuit, caught BOYLE by the leg, and held on in spite of a shot from the thief's revolver.

This was a splendid arrest, but had it not been for the fortuitous circumstance of a collision of the cart with a street car, the rascals would have escaped in spite of the vigilance and tenacity of the officer.

So with other bold offences. There is nothing artistically criminal in any of these recent cases, say the Police. They are mainly the work either of neophytes in crime, driven to desperation by the rigors of the Winter, or are what is termed in Police parlance, "put up jobs." In other words, the Police are of opinion that the victims in many of the most startling of these recent occurrences are in league with the alleged criminals. This view of the case, indeed, would seem to be sustained by Recorder HACKETT in his late charge, when he said he was inclined to believe that "one trouble arising from this recent boldness in crime is, that robberies, burglaries and thefts are so easily invented to cover up defalcations, breaches of trust or loss of property."

In accounting for the new phases of City crime, especially during the Winter months, the Police also say that it must be borne in mind that here is the great rendezvous of criminals from all parts of America, as well as from foreign countries. Here is afforded the widest field for their exploits. They drift to New-York as surely as the current of the Gulf Stream sets northward from the tropics. Large accessions to the dangerous classes of the population have come from the South, driven thence by the poverty of the country, and with these there is only one step between the gnawings of hunger and crime. Add to these that hardened class which was developed by the war, who were known as bounty-jumpers, and who a few years since were temporarily disposed of by incarceration in the State Prison, and who now, by the expiration of their terms of imprisonment, are being released to prey once more upon the community.

BOND ROBBERIES.

With respect to this species of rascality, the Police assert that it cannot be classed among the bold robberies. The work is essentially that of a sneak. It requires no extraordinary dexterity. It is effected because it is profitable, and is followed by first-class thieves and inexperts alike. Bond robberies are said to pay better than any other; there is less danger attending them. "The business community," says the Recorder, and the Police indorse the assertion, "is careless in exposing property of this character, and often invite theft by their own negligence." The difficulty in securing the conviction of a bond thief is palpable. Granted that a person is suspected of a crime of this sort, where can the evidence against him be found unless he happen to be caught in the act? He can dispose of his plunder with facility. He will destroy it utterly before he will yield it. The only alternative is to compromise for the recovery of a portion of the property. This, in some manner, the Detective Police are enabled readily to do. The victims of the thieves are glad to come to a settlement on these terms. But the cause of public morality is certainly affected injuriously by compounding with rascals in so frequent a manner.

IMMUNITY FROM PUNISHMENT.

The popular supposition that criminals escape the lawful penalty of their offences is certainly true in regard to many notorious characters.

Political affiliation and service at the polls are allowed to influence the action of an elected Magistracy. This is a broad charge, and in many cases it might not be susceptible of proof, but very few doubt its truth. It is strenuously made by the Police. They declare the practice to be one of the principal difficulties with which they have to contend. In innumerable instances, according to their testimony, well-known professional thieves and burglars are apprehended *in flagrante delicto*, and taken before a committing Magistrate, who with great facility enlarges them upon worthless bail. The ruffian in a day or two is again on the streets for purposes of pillage, and triumphantly leering at the faithful officer who had taken him into custody.

In illustration of this point the case is mentioned of PINTO, who was sentenced a week or two since to Sing Sing for the audacious robbery of the Park Bank. This thief was identified by the Police as a burglar who was caught some time last year in the act of escaping with his plunder. He was committed for trial the day subsequent to his arrest. Just before the last Charter election this prisoner was quietly set at liberty and did service at the polls. The papers upon which he was held for trial are now missing, having been spirited away from the office of the District-Attorney. Scores of instances are mentioned where notorious criminals have been guilty of second and third offences before they were brought to trial for the first.

It is lamentable to consider that there are at present men holding office in this City who are themselves graduates of penal institutions, and have about them, in subordinate capacities, persons who have also been convicted of felonies. Through the apathy of citizens men of this sort obtain place and power, and use their positions to protect, as far as they can, their former companions and associates in vice.

There is no doubt that many of our Police Justices and other Magistrates administer anything but impartial justice in cases where political "strikers" are criminally implicated. The influence of the ruffianly class is too potent, when thrown into the scale at a public election, for the candidate who promises favor to them, either through himself or his friends, to withstand it entirely.

POLICE JUSTICE REFORM.

We are pleased to notice that even the Democrats of the better class are alive to the glaring evils of this character, now existing and increasing, and propose an effort to check them. The Police Justices are now elected by districts, of which there are four in the City. It has frequently been the case that five or six candidates for this office have been presented in a single district. The consequence of this has been a fierce struggle at the polls, and the vote and favor of professional law-breakers in every grade and variety of crime have been sought to turn the scale for one candidate or another. He generally of all the candidates has been successful whose conscience would permit him to promise most in the way of immunity from punishment to these his friends in need.

Even at this remote period from the next election, certain of our Police Justices, who propose to enter the field again for another term of office, find it to their interest to exchange Courts, in order to preserve their credit with the respectable part of their constituency, on the one hand, and escape the penalty of their entangling alliances, formed during a previous canvass, with the knaves in their own districts, on the other.

The partial remedy now proposed to meet an evil so subversive of the common weal is to elect the Police Justices in future on a general ticket, instead of a district ticket. In this manner it is believed that a better class of men may be placed in so important an office. A bill to this effect will soon be presented to the Legislature, and it is thought the project will commend itself to the Republicans sufficiently to insure its passage, particularly as the City is so thoroughly Democratic in its politics already that no party purpose would be secured by its defeat.

THE ABUSE OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

It is very generally conceded that until within a year past, another flagrant mode of giving immunity to criminals was in the too free use of the writ of *habeas corpus*. To so great an extent was this cherished instrument of protection to the citizen perverted from its proper object, that it became necessary to invoke the interference of the Legislature.

Complaint for this cause was particularly made against the late City Judge, and to put an end to his alleged abuse of authority, the Legislature rescinded the power theretofore exercised by the Recorder and City Judge, of granting the writ. The effect of this curtailment, in the case of Judge RUSSEL, was that he retired from the Bench.

We had hoped, by investigation of the records with regard to the writs of *habeas corpus* issued in the case of prisoners confined at the Penitentiary at Blackwell's Island, to have ascertained precisely to what extent this process of the law had been abused. Our intention, however, has been frustrated. The records of the Penitentiary are very imperfect. Many of the original writs are missing, and there are no entries on the books of the institution to show that they were ever served. The books set forth that since Jan. 1, 1864, to Dec. 31, 1868, one hundred and ninety-four convicted prisoners have been discharged on writs of *habeas corpus*, but there is nothing to indicate what Judges discharged them, or how many writs were issued that did not result in a discharge—that is, in cases where prisoners were remanded to the Penitentiary.

SHORTCOMINGS OF THE POLICE.

The fact cannot be disguised that a widespread dissatisfaction exists with the present management of the Police organization. Very few persons hesitate to admit that the Metropolitan system is in itself excellent, and yet the prevailing sentiment of the public is that in many essential particulars its administration is shamefully defective. The philosophy of the London organization, upon which our system is based, has been lost sight of in many material points, and our Police, instead of being useful in the repression and detection of crime, has lapsed into a merely disciplined patrol, little better than a regiment of infantry.

To specify a few of the considerations which lead to this conclusion, we will begin with the detective force.

THE ERROR OF OUR DETECTIVE SYSTEM.

The organization in this regard is especially defective. A radical error lies at the foundation of this department in establishing the Detective Bureau as a separate and distinct branch of the service. Instead of being known as a detective, the officer employed in such work should, as far as possible, preserve an incognito. He should be able and willing to assume any possible disguise in order to conceal his identity from the criminal classes, or even from other members of the Police force, excepting the chief of the entire organization. This functionary alone, in view of his presumed knowledge of the special fitness and talent of each of his subordinates, ought to select a "shadow" to "work up" any given case, and the man so-chosen ought to prosecute his inquiries in secret and have sufficient time afforded him for a thorough investigation. No plan of this sort is carried out. Our detectives, exclusive of ward or precinct detectives, are so few in number that with apparent justice they may be termed a "ring." Rank and file comprise only *fifteen* men, and this meagre number is known not alone to the members of the force, but also to every professional thief and burglar in the City. This is an undisputed fact. They make no attempt to conceal their calling, but rather take pains to make it known, especially to the criminal class, who profit by the knowledge. Their theory is that rogues will flee at sight of them. We have already shown their inefficiency in the detection of murderers. The same may be said of them in reference to every other variety of crime. Indeed, there is scarcely a pretence on their part that the chief end of their organization is to ferret out criminals and procure their punishment. *We are told that they have not secured the conviction of ten culprits during the past year.*

These facts show the comparative uselessness of the detective organization. It is no proof of its efficiency that it has been the means of returning vast amounts of stolen property. That is a minor and incidental part of the business. The chief end of a detective force ought to be the conviction of offenders, and in this respect it is an absolute failure.

The operations of the Detective Bureau ought to be thoroughly inquired into. The Commissioners ought to call upon the Chief to report the number of arrests made by his force; the number of cases of robberies and other felonies reported at his office; the number of convictions obtained by his subordinates: the amount of rewards for stolen property offered, claimed and obtained; the manner in which cases are "worked up;" why it is habitually necessary to open negotiations with thieves to secure return of stolen property; and upon what authority arrangements are made with prisoners assuring them of impunity upon condition that they make a confession. A truthful answer to these questions would go very far toward revealing the art peculiar to our detectives of getting rich rapidly on small salaries.

POLICEMEN DO NOT FERRET OUT TESTIMONY.

Another glaring defect in the administration of our Police system is the remissness, with few exceptions, of the officers of every grade in the important work of ferreting out testimony. This allegation was recently made by Recorder HACKETT, and it is indorsed by every Police Magistrate of the City. A very large proportion of the complaints lodged in the Courts fall through, simply because the Police fail to supply certain links in testimony which it is clearly their duty to present. For instance, in the Court of Special Sessions alone there were, last year, no less than 1,850 complaints dismissed, in very many of which cases the result was directly traceable to the negligent manner in which the officers who made the arrests brought their cases into Court. Under the present faulty administration of the Department, a policeman generally thinks his duty is done on taking a prisoner to Court, instead of working up every case in such a manner as to secure conviction to the guilty.

The patrolmen are not so culpable in this regard as are their superiors. They have little time given them to prosecute this branch of their business. The Captains of Precincts, with very rare exceptions, trouble themselves to watch a case from the time it is brought to the Station-house until the prisoner is disposed of at Court. This is a part of their duty, of which, as a general thing, they seem to be ignorant. Indeed, the manner in which the majority of the Captains employ their time would scarcely bear scrutiny. Very few hours of the twenty-four are passed at the Station-houses. Each Precinct has attached to it four Sergeants, who in turn do the clerical duty, and the Captain, freed from confinement, devotes as much or as little of the day to his Precinct as may suit his convenience. There is no check upon them in this respect, and their neglect of duty is proverbial. In one instance, the Captain of a Precinct—that of the Mounted Police—is, also, or was very recently, Superintendent of the Belt Railroad, and as he made no secret of his double occupation, it is fair to assume that the circumstance was known to the Commissioners. The fact is, that there is too much discretionary and irresponsible power placed in the hands of the Captains. They are autocrats in many respects in their individual Precincts, under no special supervision by their superiors, reluctant to coöperation with their brother officers, and possessing absolute control of the details of the Police work, which, under the circumstances, is not satisfactorily performed.

INCOMPETENCY OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

The Police Superintendent himself is justly chargeable with many of the errors which have crept into the management of the force, and he tolerates many abuses which destroy the homogeneous working of his Department. Without special training for his office, he has brought to it a determined will which brooks no opposition, a lack of broad and comprehensive view, which makes him jealous of suggestions from others, and averse to the counsel and coöperation of anybody. He is dogmatic, vain and impracticable. He immures himself day after day and constantly in his office at headquarters, and in no sense exercises that supervision of the practical operations of his force which the theory and name of his position as Superintendent demand. He violates the rules of the Board of which he is the executive officer, and peremptorily refuses to rectify his mistakes. These characteristics of the Superintendent are notorious, and work great detriment to the success and efficiency of the force. A most flagrant instance of the Superintendent's obstinacy is to be found in his recent controversy with Justice DOWLING in regard to an order designating the tours of duty for the Police. By this order, in direct violation of a State law and in absolute conflict with Rules 113 and 135 of the Police Board, the Superintendent makes it necessary for a patrolman who takes a prisoner during the night to carry his charge to Court after 9 o'clock A. M. The Courts are opened at sunrise, especially to dispose of prisoners who are arrested after nightfall, and who frequently are unjustly held in the Station-houses. The law expressly says that "if the Magistrate is not holding Court, the offender shall be detained in a Station-house until the next public sitting of the Magistrate, and no longer, but shall then be conveyed without delay before the Magistrate to be dealt with according to law." In spite of the law the Superintendent persists in his obstinacy, regardless of justice and of propriety. One effect of his course is to hold out a strong premium to the patrolmen to refrain from making arrests and shut their eyes upon any but flagrant offenders. Under this order of the Superintendent, the policeman, on making an arrest, is compelled to spend the hours in Court which otherwise he would pass in sleep, and it is scarcely to be wondered at that men who are frequently robbed of the hours of repose will shirk their duty. The result is that of late there has been a great decrease in the number of persons taken into custody after nightfall.

IMPULSIVE AND ARBITRARY ARRESTS—A CRUEL CASE.

Numerous minor grievances might be instanced in support of the assertion that the public are losing confidence in the excellence of the force. We will, however, content ourselves with one more point in illustration of the charge of grossly arbitrary conduct which of late has been frequently laid at the door of the Police—the interference with quiet and respectable citizens by overbearing officers,—and with this shocking incident—one of many that have come to our knowledge—we will conclude the subject for a time.

It is not a great while since, that a lady of this City, in the last stages of consumption, who had been advised by her physician to use opium to relieve the severe pain to which she was constantly subject, on one occasion, after the admini stration of a teaspoonful of the elixir of opium, was permitted to go out and take a walk attended by a servant. Overcome by feebleness and by the effects of the opiate, she sat down for a moment on a doorstep. Almost immediately after a policeman came up, and addressing her in reprehensible language, ordered the poor sufferer to move on. She was too far gone to hear the order, when the policeman seized her and dragged her up and pushed her roughly along. Becoming partially conscious under the rude propulsion of the man with the baton, in a wild, feeble, excited way, she protested and resisted. She was then struck. With the expiring, flickering strength of her lean and shrunken frame, she resented the harsh treatment. She was at once knocked down and taken to the Station-house. On the remonstrance of a friend, who accidentally learned the facts of the case after midnight, her release was peremptorily and insolently refused. Next day, taken before a Magistrate, this haggard victim of a policeman's ignorance and brutality, trembling on the verge of the grave, was fined for drunkeness, and as may well be believed by those who know the most of our Station-houses, her life afterward was not of long duration. The helpless woman succumbed to the rude shock, and a few days after was in her grave.

THE INADEQUATE POLICE FORCE.

In making up a judgment as to what extent our Police have failed to meet the purposes of its organization,—the preservation of order and the prevention, detection and punishment of crime,—the fact must not be overlooked that in proportion to the population of the Metropolitan District the department is numerically smaller, perhaps, than that of any other dense-peopled place.

The aggregate number of policemen in the four counties of New-York, Kings, Richmond and Westchester is two thousand six hundred and fifty, of whom two thousand three hundred and thirty-four are patrolmen.

Allowing for the numerous details to the Police Courts, to sanitary inspection, and to other day employments—the "fat offices" for which policemen strive—the patrol force is still further reduced by several hundred men.

Take the City of New-York as an illustration, and we find that, except in extraordinary emergencies, such as on the occurrence of fires, holidays and elections, there are never more than 800 policemen on duty in the streets at any given time. One-half are on reserve at the station-houses, while the remainder are on duty *in the streets*.

In so large a City, with a population exceeding 1,000,000, it will be seen at a glance that 800 patrolmen are an absurdly small number to efficiently perform the work required of them. The "beats" generally are too extended for a single man to watch. Rogues quickly learn the precise ground that every patrolman is assigned to cover, and begin their depredations at the house or store upon which they may have designs so soon as the Policeman passes that given spot in making the tour of his post.

Therefore, in prosecuting the reforms which are imperatively demanded in our Police system, one of the first requirements must be a large addition to the strength of the force.

The tax-payers of the City will cheerfully assent to the increased cost of the Department which an augmentation of its numbers will entail, provided that in this way the City will be relieved of the stigma which the rampancy of crime has fixed upon it, and the people may be assured of safety in life and property.

AN UNDERGROUND RAILWAY.

Traveling in a City of Distances—The London Underground Railway—A Subterranean Trip—The Road, Tunnels and Stations—Impressions of a Voyager.

From Our Own Correspondent.

LONDON, Monday, Jan. 18, 1869.

At a time when propositions for the construction of an underground railway in New-York are engaging the attention of American engineers, it may not be labor thrown away if I give you some account of the similar work which has actually been carried out in this metropolis. I have recently had the opportunity afforded me of making a very thorough inspection of our underground railway, and I am convinced of its perfect safety; it is, in my opinion, safer, for instance, than any railway above ground I have ever seen, while the convenience it affords to the public in this overgrown and crowded city would be incredible to any one who had never paid us a visit.

The railway is intended to make a complete circuit of the metropolis, with branch lines running to points which lie at some little distance from the great centres of population. Some parts of the railway have been open for five years past, but it is only within the last month that the extension was completed to two or three crowded districts, and the older line brought into communication with it. The result is that the line is now available for the inhabitants of nearly every part of London. For example: I live in South Kensington. Formerly, if I wanted to go to the city quickly it was necessary to take a cab at a cost of 5s., the omnibuses being generally "all full inside." Now, close to my house I find a large and handsome railway station, and by paying sixpence (12 cents) I get whisked off to Moorgate-street in half the time the cab would have taken.

Well, but, the reader may say, how about the line, and what sort of carriages do you have to go in? Isn't it very disagreeable traveling? To the last question I must answer no, and I do not speak rashly, for I have spent some days in tunnels of the railway without even the advantage of being carried along swiftly in the trains. I have gone and walked about in them, and if the air had been very bad it strikes me that I should have found it out. But although the length of the line running actually under the roads and houses is sometimes considerable, I have never experienced anything but the most trifling consciousness of a change of atmosphere. In the first place, there is a very fair draught of air through them. In the next, the engines never let off steam in the tunnels. They burn a fuel which is as nearly smokeless as anything that can be employed—namely, coke, specially prepared for the company, and carefully picked—and each engine is fitted up with a condenser. There is therefore surprisingly little smoke or steam in any of the tunnels. Now and then an opening occurs, and most of the stations are built in the open air. At such points the engine driver opens his dampers, lets his fire blow up, and gets all snug for his next run through the tunnels. About 700 trains a day run over various parts of the line, and in one week nearly 800,000 passengers were carried. The trains succeed each other at intervals of from three to ten minutes, and so beautiful is the system of signals which has been adopted that not one accident has occurred, and until one does occur I shall believe that it is impossible. Hour after hour I have passed in watching the mechanism and action of the signals, and anything more ingenious or complete it would be difficult even to imagine. Without diagrams or sketches I could not hope to make this mechanism clear to the reader, but this, at any rate, may easily be understood: The stations, with two or three exceptions, are built in the open air. Besides the usual semaphore signals, a double set of lights are used in the tunnels. The colors are two—green and red—one denoting caution, the other danger, "stop." The signalman works one of these lights, the porter at the station another, and these two men are not in communication. When a train leaves a station the lights are kept at danger until the man at the station ahead telegraphs that the train is in sight, and then the green lights are put on. The lights are at some distance apart. Suppose the first one the driver comes to in a tunnel is red. He draws his train gently up to the *second* light, further on in the tunnel, which, perhaps, he finds red, too. In that case he stops, and dare not go a yard further. Then presently it changes to green in front, the guard looks back and sees that the green light is there also, and on the train goes again. Even such slight delays as

straight up and down, black brick, narrow windows, and doorway shaped like a coffin. The underground railway has saved any of us Londoners from the necessity of traversing this most deplorable region. Is there any man in his senses who would not rather make a journey under the Euston Road than upon it? Well, the line runs along the extreme length of the road, dodging the sewers and gaspipes and all other kinds of pipes on its way. It is a long, straight piece of tunneling, and cost a great sum of money to construct. But there were other parts which presented still graver difficulties—parts where the water *would* come through in spite of all exertions to keep it out, and, where a complete tunnel had to be built, under the ground as well as above it. Immense sums of money were spent in buying houses which had to be pulled down, or in compensating owners of property. Then there were great junctions to be made, so as to form connection with the existing lines of railway. A man might now take the underground road close to his own house, and be carried to Edinburgh, or to Liverpool, or any other place with which railway communication is established, and be saved all trouble of running from cabs to stations, and stand no risk of losing his train. The traffic increases with extraordinary rapidity. In 1863 the Company's receipts were only £400 or £500 a week. Now (with an extension of the line, of course,) the weekly receipts average about £7,000. They must necessarily go on increasing. When people once use the underground railway they will not be able to do without it. By and and by a short extension will complete the road to Charing Cross, and then every important part of London will be accessible by the line.

The fares are low, and there is little or no waiting for trains—two points of great importance. There is very little time lost at any station, and if passengers no not "hurry up" they are very likely to lose their trains. Punctuality is closely observed, and if you get to an important station only just in time to see the train go, it is a comfort to think that another train will be up in less than five minutes. The stations are very large and commodious, and civility to passengers is strictly enjoined upon all servants of the Company. I do not think that any one who travels by our underground railway is likely to be disappointed with it. The general Manager looks to me very much like an American—at any rate he has all the shrewdness and quickness of an American in his face. He is about the line, or poring over papers relating to its management, from morning till night, and the present state of the road must be acknowledged to be a striking proof of the efficiency of his services. L.

SPAIN.

The Reported Attack of Spanish Republicans on Americans Denied.

From an Occasional Correspondent.

GIBRALTAR, Thursday, Jan. 21, 1869.

We have seen in the *London Times* of Jan. 5 a letter from their Madrid correspondent concerning the "siege of Malaga," which contains statements which are entirely contrary to the truth, and we write this to inform all concerned that neither Government nor Republican soldiers fired upon American ladies, or intended to insult them or their flag in any way.

We were in Malaga through all that terrible week, and know that it was bad enough without exaggerating any detail.

On our way from Seville we fell in company with the Government soldiers, who had been sent to teach "order and discipline" to the somewhat turbulent Republicans of Malaga. At Seville the militia had been requested to give up their arms, with the same authority and the same threats as at Cadiz, and they complied. At Malaga they refused, and the consequences were what had been predicted. Many were wounded, many were slain and many were made prisoners.

We are sorry to say that it was true that the American Consul was out of town, and not very far off. The military authorities maintain that they gave notice to the officials of every Government twenty-four hours before the bombardment commenced. Whether this is true or not, we do not know. But we know that neither English nor American citizens were informed by anything but grapeshot that there was any danger for them, and they had been many times assured that everything would be settled without a fight.

The correspondent of the London *Times* says that American ladies were fired upon by Republican soldiers while on their way to an American gunboat lying in the harbor.

The gunboat *Swatarra*, under the command of Capt. BLAKE, had been sent to the coast of Spain to protect American citizens and property, and we were assured by the officers that they had requested the Consul to be sure and inform them in season of any trouble, in order that they might be prompt in offering protection and assistance. The instant that notice was given them, an officer was sent with the American flag to accompany any who should wish to avail themselves of it to the gunboat. On the way some random shots caused them great fear, if not danger; but they were not from Republican guns, and were not intentional. This would have been an insult to the American flag which, we think, the "greatest naval Power in the world" would not have ventured. An explanation was immediately demanded by Capt. BLAKE, who immediately received a satisfactory, written apology, or there might have been a battle on the water as well as on land.

Three ladies thought it would be less dangerous to remain in their hotel under such circumstances than to go through the streets. Within sight of our windows was a barricade, and the click of the hammer and pickax was within the hearing of our ears as they constructed it. It was attacked about noon and made an obstinate resistance, but it must yield necessarily to a force ten times its own. The bullets rained thick and fast during three hours, and then all was still. Now, we thought, there is no more danger.

We had taken refuge in the cellar, and our courier came every half hour to bring us intelligence concerning all that was going on. The hotel opposite us was taken forcible possession of by the Government troops. When they appeared at the windows, with all the mattresses and feather beds in the house for defences, the Republicans fired upon them, and several lodgers were wounded and two or three killed. A flag displayed upon the balcony had prevented such an intrusion in the house where we were, and there was no appearance of mobocracy in the streets. The Republicans were not disciplined and officered as were their opponents, but they were quite as civilized and gentlemanly.

We had returned to the upper rooms, but to those which looked upon the street, when a ball whizzed over our heads and lodged in the wall, having passed through a thick wooden shutter and a heavy door on the way. We heard the glass crashing in every direction, the cannon boomed again, and we confess we began to be seized with terror. We were not harmed, but it seems to us a miracle that we escaped.

When all was finished we learned that Government officers ordered the men to fire into our rooms, merely to ascertain if there was any one to answer in the same fashion, and until they were maddened by defeat no wanton cruelty was committed by the populace.

We did not hear that any American or Englishman was injured, in person, though some were in their property. English citizens received no protection from their country or officials. There was no British gunboat in the Mediterranean. Telegrams were dispatched to Gibraltar, praying for something, but they were not heeded. The English Consul did not give notice even at the eleventh hour, as did the American, and paid no attention whatever to anybody's complaints. One or two availed themselves of the American flag and American ship for protection, and the rest trembled during the battle, and have uttered fearful imprecations ever since against a Government so indifferent to its duty and so neglectful of its subjects.

Many fearful crimes were committed by the soldiers sent to "chastise a mob,"—too fearful to be related—and during the night after the combat, some of them were assassinated by the disappointed and desperate Republicans. Some zealous young men at our *table d'hôte* were converted to the Republican side by the reckless injustice committed on the other, and we venture to say there was not a single conversion *vice versa*. So far as we could learn, the Government had no friends in the city, among the people or strangers.

We have traveled from St. Sebastian to Malaga, and heard nothing but curses against everything monarchical, and in every place where we have met citizens or soldiers, we have experienced, not only the ordinary attention shown to strangers by all civilized people, but a respect and deference amounting almost to homage, when we said "We are Republicans from the United States of America." It has happened to us often in several cities to be looked upon rather coldly and suspiciously, till we made known our nativity, and the more emphatically we pronounced our Republican principles, the more cordially were we saluted.

At Malaga we went to visit the Cathedral, and found it surrounded by soldiers. The sentinal said there was no admittance. We requested our interpreter to say that we were Americans. When they heard this the gates were thrown wide open and we were respectfully saluted.

In the church a group of officers again challenged us, and our reply obtained for us such a reception as might have gratified a queen jealous of the homage of her people. We were gratified by the certainty of being understood, which was afforded by the question, "You are from the land of WASHINGTON and LINCOLN?" When we said "Yes," very proudly, they doffed their caps, and bowed to the ground. They were curious to hear something about our great Republic, and we told them as much as we could in the short time we had, and began with saying that when the army offered WASHINGTON a crown he refused it, and finished by saying that we would hope and pray that they might never again be cursed with king or queen, or fall into the power of an ecclesiastical hierarchy.

They cried "*Bravo*," and one said he regretted that his duties prevented his paying us the attention he would like, which we should have accepted as for our country and not for ourselves. Afterward we were often recognized, and experienced always the same manifestations of regard, entirely because we came from the land of liberty. We are warned against the "ignorant and reckless masses," and the bandits of the mountains, but we believe our *consign* would procure us protection even from what they call brigands.

There are a few priests who still officiate in the churches, but there are very few worshipers, and the hatred against priests is not less terrific than against kings.

Those who think the people of Spain do not understand what they want, and what they are determined to have, are mistaken. One thing they realize to the full, that never before could they speak what they thought upon any subject connected with religion or politics, and now they enjoy a degree of liberty. Government armies may crush them here and there for a few days or weeks, and Jesuits may intrigue to ensnare them, but never for any length of time will they again wear the chains. Those who are forging them will have a terrible struggle when they attempt to put them on.

PERU.

A Woman's Perils During the Earthquakes—Yellow Fever and Sanitary Reforms.

From Our Own Correspondent.

LIMA, Peru, Thursday, Jan. 14, 1869.

Mrs. DAVIDSON, one of the sufferers of the terrible earthquakes of Aug. 13, died in Valparaiso on the 10th inst. Her escape was a perfect miracle, and after innumerable escapes and sufferings, she has at last given up to the shock upon her nervous system. She was a wealthy widow lady, living in Arica with her only son, and after the first heavy shock, her son, a fine, estimable young man, rushed home, through the falling ruins, to find his mother partially buried under the beams and timbers of her fallen house. After frantic efforts to extricate her, and being unable to do so alone and unaided, he vainly tried to find some one to help him release her; but at such a time help from others is almost impossible, every one seeking their own and their friends' safety. At last he found some kind gentlemen, and by their aid, and after incredible labor, his mother was released from the fallen ruins, but so bruised and injured that she was nearly unconscious and totally unable to stand or rise. While seeking again for some person to aid him in removing her to a greater place of safety—as the second shock had compelled the kind men helping him to flee to secure the safety of their own families—he was obliged to wander away to a distant part of the town, and as he was returning with help the cruel sea came in, and he saw his mother washed out to sea before his very eyes, clinging to a door upon which he had laid her. But as she lay extended upon it, it kept her afloat, and after two hours she was washed ashore upon a small island. Here she lost all consciousness, but recovered her senses to find herself again floated off to sea, after about an hour's refuge on the island. Her son had miraculously kept her in sight, watching her tossing among the breakers, even amid the convulsions around him, and he now succeeded in getting a boat sent off to her from the Peruvian corvette *America*. By the time the boat picked her up, another tidal wave had filled the boat half full of water, and there was great danger of being swamped. Mrs. DAVIDSON had been in the water nearly nine hours, and the men finding the sea running so high, said they could not return to the ship, and, as their only salvation, put the boat out to sea and waited and watched for the daylight. At 6 o'clock the next morning they landed at Arica, to find the corvette ashore and the whole place in ruins. They brought Mrs. DAVIDSON ashore, however, and for three days longer she lay exposed to the scorching rays of the sun and the cold night dews, without any shelter or covering except a small blanket that her son secured for her. Her property was all destroyed, and yet this brave woman kept up, and was cheerful and confident. Her son made an unavailing effort to get her to Valparaiso, by the first mail steamer, but in the crush and fright of the fleeing people it was an impossibility. At last, on the 27th of September, she reached Valparaiso by the steamer *Esmeralda*, and every care and attention were bestowed upon the unfortunate lady. But she sank under the shock and attendant suffering, and died on the 10th, as above stated. If any son deserves eulogy, young DAVIDSON does. So attached was he to his mother that many predict that he will not long survive her. It seems hardly credible that a frail woman could endure such suffering.

YELLOW FEVER.

The yellow fever is reported in Callao, and several Captains of ships lying in the bay are quite sick. This has so alarmed the timid, that people are leaving for home in all directions. The Captains of some ships have decided to proceed home by the steamer of to-day, leaving their first officer to convey their ships to the islands for guano, and to the port of discharge, where they will rejoin them. This is a purely frightened movement, as the sickness among foreigners is not yet yellow fever, but more a bilious gastric illness, caused by the heat of Summer and the change of climate, fruits, water, &c. However, if any one is so nervous as to fear the fever, it is much better to leave here, as it assuredly will come, and such a person is the soonest a victim. Lima has not had a case of fever reported, nor did it feel the shock of the last earthquake. At Pesaqua the fever seems greatly on the increase, and as the authorities are sure that the contagion was not brought there by ships or by foreign emigrants, it is decided that this terrible scourge has arisen from the decomposing remains of human life and the decay of animal and vegetable matter destroyed by the last earthquake of Aug. 13. In view of its probable coming here and to Callao the present Summer, the Municipality and Health Officers have passed a new law, which they are already carrying into active operation, and which, I am quite sure, will prevent the fever from becoming the fatal scourge that it was last Summer in this and adjoining towns.

The law is this: That an appointed committee of not less than three persons shall personally visit and inspect every house, yard, *calle-houe*, (or alley where the poor live,) street and premises of Lima and Callao, reporting upon all uncleanliness, filth and deposit that may be found, and after such report and notification to the parties owning or occupying the place or premises, if the accumulation of dirt be not removed within twenty-four hours, the person or persons are liable to a heavy fine, imprisonment for a number of days, and (according to their station and means) still more rigorous treatment. This means will bring to light some of the accumulating dirt and filth of years in those wretched Cholo hovels, and cannot but have a beneficial effect on the coming pestilence. The lower classes have now no other refuge, but are compelled to clean up their filthy dwellings.

THE FINANCES.

In the Finance Department affairs are in a most lamentable condition. The guano consignees have dictated terms to the Government of an increase of two per cent., and a prorogation for three years and exchange of five soles to the pound sterling. Government must eventually yield to these terms. MOSQUERA, the Grand General is very quiet. If he has plans to develop, which is doubtful at present, it is difficult to find them out. He has as yet done nothing worthy of note.

ATTEMPTED REVOLUTION.

The professional revolutionists, Col. MASIUS and Col. ATRIEL, attempted an insurrection on the 1st of December, and excused themselves by the plea that there was an unfair division of the aid sent to the sufferers by the earthquake, and that there was no advising with them as to the distribution of funds and supplies. There has been so much revolutionary feeling at the South, and the warlike spirit looks so threatening, that a large company of Celadores was dispatched in the steamer to keep the peace if possible. But although Arequipa, the very hot-bed of rebellion, has been laid in ruins, yet it is quite as turbulent and traitorous as ever, and although the male portion of the inhabitants have not yet provided themselves with houses, they have managed to secure plenty of firearms and ammunition. No Government can long last under such treachery, and it will ultimately be broken up. Between Congress and the Revolutionists, President BALTA must eventually succumb and give up the contest.

AN EARTQUAKE SHOCK.

The 6th of January, (Wednesday,) at about 11 A. M., there was a violent shock of an earthquake in Callao, lasting but a second, but of great force and violence. Of course all the people rushed precipitously into the open street, and were greatly alarmed. At 11 the same night there was another slight shock.

THE RACES.

The 8th was a great feast day—the day of the *Paseo de la Reine*. The races, at the track in Callao, were very fine and attended by special trains from Lima, and quite as large a crowd as upon the national anniversary, 28th of July. Despite earthquakes, this people will attend races, bull fights and the like. The English population are, however, the best patrons of the turf here. There is nothing further to communicate. My letter having already exceeded its limits considerably, X. Y. Z.

AFFAIRS IN GEORGIA.

Treasurer Angier's Charge Against Gov. Bullock—The Facts of the Case—The Alleged Kuklux Raid on the Georgia Railroad—A Pure Invention.

From Our Own Correspondent.

ATLANTA, Ga., Sunday, Jan. 31, 1869.

The negro, reconstruction, retrenchment, Mr. HILL's failure to get his seat in the Senate, Jo. BROWN's decision that relief is constitutional, and almost the price of cotton, have been ignored or forgotten during the past week, and nothing said, done, or thought about anything but Treasurer ANGIER's report to the Legislature, alleging fraudulent use by Gov. BULLOCK of $35,000 of the public money. The Governor's explanation accusing ANGIER of conspiracy, and ANGIER's rejoinder, reiterating and sustaining his grave charge against the Executive of Georgia, and denying any motive but a desire to discharge his official duty, to guard the public treasury, not against moth and rust, but the other thing.

The facts are that several hundred thousand dollars' worth of the bonds of the State of Georgia have been hypothecated with the Fourth National Bank, of New-York, for the purpose of raising the wind to get money to pay the interest upon the debt, provide for the current expenses of the Government, and "for other purposes." On these bonds several sums of money have been advanced from time to time by the New-York bank, and duly paid into the State Treasury. When the Treasurer was preparing his regular report for the Legislature, he asked for and received an account from the bank, and finding in it drafts by Gov. BULLOCK, as Governor of Georgia, for $35,000, of which there was no account in the Treasurer's Office, he went at once to New-York, and found that $25,000 had been drawn by the Governor, payable to his own order, and $10,000 payable to the order of Messrs. KIMBALL, a building firm, who have recently finished and fitted up for the use of the Legislature and State Government a roofless building intended for an "opera house." ANGIER gives chapter and verse for all this. The copies of the drafts, signed as Governor of Georgia and ordering the money to be charged to the State, are produced, and ANGIER states that they were not drawn in pursuance of an appropriation and on warrant duly authorized by law, but quite the reverse.

The Messrs. KIMBALL were not public officers. They were not even Government contractors. They had no claim upon the Government in any shape. They are simply enterprising speculators, (they are the sleeping-car KIMBALLS,) who, when the Convention moved the capital to this city, and the city authorities agreed to provide suitable accommodations for the State Government, bought on credit a mass of bricks and mortar, the property of a bankrupt stock company, and having made an agreement with the Municipal Government of Atlanta, fitted it up with great promptness and skill, and are to receive therefor annually $10,000.

The Governor admits the loan or advance of the money to the KIMBALLS, with a view to enable them to complete the buildings in time for the legislative session; but here the questions arise, where is the appropriation to pay KIMBALLS anything, and where is the warrant?

There are all sorts of rumors current, but as the Finance Committee keep their own counsels, nobody knows what will be done until they report, and the matter comes up for legislative action. All parties agree, both friends and foes of Gov. BULLOCK, that he has violated the law by drawing the money of the State on his own authority, no matter how good the purpose to which he may have applied it, and if the principle were once admitted that the Governor could draw $35,000 without a legislative appropriation or legal warrant, there is nothing to prevent his drawing $350,000 or $3,000,000.

The story which is universally believed is, that Mr. BULLOCK is interested in the Kimball opera house enterprise, and used the money of the State to insure its completion, expecting that the KIMBALLS would pay up before the Legislature met, and thus no harm would be done. Of course BULLOCK's opponents talk of him very harshly, othough nothing has been said or done in the political Legislature which indicates vindictive feeling. The leading Democrats are determined that politics shall not be mixed up with the investigation or be allowed to influence in the least the action of the General Assembly. BULLOCK has no party following. Very few of the Republicans support him. Nearly all of them, certainly the leaders, denounce his memorial to Congress more bitterly than the Democrats, and charge him openly with having adopted that course in order to have himself and BLODGETT sent to the Senate by a test oath and negro Legislature. The relations between BULLOCK and Gen. MEADE are the reverse of cordial. BULLOCK attacked MEADE in his Albion speech, and attributed the alleged failure of reconstruction in Georgia to Gen. MEADE's violation of the law. Of course, there are no relations of any sort between BULLOCK and the Democrats, and even in the city, among private citizens, there is no disposition to make his official *séjour* agreeable. He, therefore, is called on to meet a very grave charge affecting his personal integrity and official duty, without a party or friends to sustain him.

ANGIER, on the other hand, stands high as a man of integrity and truth. He is an uncompromising Republican, a staunch adherent of Gen. GRANT, a leading member of the Constitutional Convention, and a Union man of spotless loyalty. He did not approve of BULLOCK's memorial, nor of his recommendations; but were he ten times more bitterly opposed to the Governor's political course than he is, nobody who knows him would believe that he would prefer a baseless charge, or even push one vindictively for any political or personal cause of difference.

I cannot believe, however, that Gov. BULLOCK has committed an intentional fraud. He may not be aware of the legal restrictions to the drawing of public money, and may have violated the law in fact though not in intention. As he has only turned his attention to politics since "things got mixed up," he may believe that Governors can do many things which the law prohibits.

I hope he will be able to show that he did not appropriate any portion of the $35,000 to his own private use, that he had no business connection with the KIMBALLS, and that he gave them the drafts, believing that he had a right to give them and with a view to providing for the comfort of the State Government. If he can do this to the satisfaction of the Legislature he may be rebuked for his irregularity, but nothing more will be done. The people do not want "a row" of any kind. They do not like BULLOCK, it is true, but they no not wish for any excitement.

We may confidently expect a surrejoinder from BULLOCK to the rejoinder of ANGIER, and then like buckets in a well, a rebutter from ANGIER and a surrebutter from BULLOCK. ANGIER has the inside track thus far. Those drafts are unspeakeable witnesses, and like the "damned spots" on the hand of *Lady Macbeth*, cannot be effaced. He is implacable now, having been assailed, and corrupt motives attributed to him, therefore he will hold his ground and not yield an inch to threats or entreaties. His reply to Gov. BULLOCK's explanation is considered very objectionable both in manner and matter. Were he called on to write a political "card" for a newspaper it would do very well, but a communication from a high public officer to a Committee of the Legislature on a subject of the gravest character, ought to have been free from the flings, sneers and innendoes with which Mr. ANGIER's letter abounds. His present earnestness to make it appear that Gov. BULLOCK stole $35,000, injures his case, and makes the people attribute to him unworthy motives.

Mr. FORNEY's special telegram of the Kuklux descent on the Georgia Railroad at Barnet, like many other things in "my two papers, both daily," is wholly destitute of foundation. There is not one tittle of truth in it. It was entirely a flight of imagination. In August last the *Tribune* discovered the K. K. K. at Jersey City. "My two papers," not to be outdone, now unearth them in the smallest of all small villages, Barnet, composed of one small store and dwelling, and the depot. There was not even a delay of a minute on the train the night of the alleged Kuklux assault.

If the men who invent and dispatch these willful falsehoods have no self-respect or decency, there ought to be some way of protecting the public against them. QUONDAM.

TEXAS AND THE TEXANS.

The Perplexities and Humors of Red River Navigation—Leading a Steamboat Through the Woods—Texan Teamsters—General Aspect of the Towns and Country—Texans and Immigrants—Their Characteristic Traits.

From an Occasional Correspondent.

PARIS, Texas, Wednesday, Jan. 20, 1869.

If any one wants to study navigation under difficulties, let him take a trip up Red River at low water. A more crooked river could not be made with the same materials; beside which, it is narrow, and so beset with sandbars as to seem shallow. The water is wholly opaque, like that of the Mississippi, and so brackish, that to avoid drinking it, the boatmen carry the diluted mud of the latter with them in barrels. It winds through a flat country, the red crumbling soil of which colors the water, and washes away during high floods, so that every year the river finds itself in a new channel in some part of its course.

But it needs all the discipline of a course of Red River navigation to prepare one to appreciate that of the lakes between Shreveport and Jefferson. On the map the chain of lakes is misrepresented by a roundish spot labeled "Caddo Lake." There are four or five of these lakes, of shapes so irregular that it is no wonder the mapmakers gave them up in despair. These are connected by narrow bayous, and are everywhere full of standing trees or stumps, living or dead. The older inhabitants remember this region as a forest hunting-ground. The damming of the river by the raft above, has turned much of its water in this direction, and overflowed the land hereabouts. It must always have been moist land, as the standing timber is all cypress—a tree delighting in mud. But it was not all swamp, since trunks of pines, in excellent preservation, are found at low water.

Ordinary Red River steamers cannot navigate these lakes of the woods, which have a craft on purpose for them. At high water there is no trouble, the boats going over the stumps without danger; but in ordinary stages the little steamers are wriggled through invisible channels by a sort of jugglery bordering on magic. You stand on deck and watch the process, but never can guess into which of the impassable creeks the boat will jurn. If you venture a guess you will be sure to guess wrong. They always go just where you know they cannot. The ways are so narrow and the turns so short that the boats are guided sometimes for miles by a "head-line." This is a rope, one end of which is carried by men in a skiff, and attached to various trees and stumps, the other end passing through spaces forward, and fastened to the capstan, which is then turned by the engine, until winding up the line turns the boat in the right direction. As soon as it gets fairly headed in the new way, you will see the rope hitched to another tree and the vessel turned again.

A Mississippian, after curiously watching the operation for a long time, exclaimed, "Well! this is the first time I was ever on a steamboat where they had to send a nigger on ahead to lead it through the woods!"

TEXAN TEAMSTERS.

A hundred miles of lakes and bayous brings the craft to Jefferson, in Texas, at the head of navigation. This is a new town, mostly grown up since the war, but it is now the market-town for hundreds of miles of country. The streets are unpaved and muddy, but near the landing everywhere thronged with cotton-wagons. These are the most picturesque objects seen in this region. They are heavy, canvas-covered wagons, drawn by two, four or six horses or mules, or by the same or a greater number of oxen. The loads seem to average one bale per head for the team. Some of these wagons come 300 miles, at the rate of from 12 to 15 miles a day, returning with as heavy a load of merchandise. The teamsters carry their provisions with them, and encamp where night finds them. While going through the woods, in cold weather, they build great fires at night. In fine weather these camp fires seldom go out, new parties occupying the place of those who have gone on. Here they encamp in groups, baking their bread and cooking their meat, and making their coffee, and roasting their sweet potatoes in the ashes. They are very sociable and friendly, always speaking on the road as freely to strangers as to acquaintances, and to women as well as to men, as a matter of courtesy. Overtaking a strange lady on foot they invite her to ride, and she does nothing amiss to accept the invitation.

Judged by other teamsters these men are the reverse of cruel, though measured by a higher standard they might be found wanting. One fact which sweeps away the chief occasion of cruelty, among people who esteem time as of value, is they are never in any haste, and so do not hurry their teams. They are the most leisurely set of men in America. They get up before day and refresh their fire, and hover over it for awhile. Then they feed their cattle, and come back and caress the fire; and then slowly, one at a time, fetch out their cooking utensils and begin their breakfast preparations, all in the most moderate manner, so that often the sun is up before they get off. Many of them go to sleep so much intoxicated as to prolong their slumbers till sunrise the next morning. They all go armed, like the rest of the Texans, and disputes about the right of way are frequent and often sanguinary. Many of the animals are unshod, but seem to suffer no inconvenience from it, as the soil is soft and free from stones.

GENERAL ASPECT OF THE COUNTRY.

For twenty miles west of Jefferson the woods are oak and pine, often wholly pine, and the land a meagre red loam. In many places fine beds of white potter's clay peep through the mould. West of this, the number of pines diminishes and the quality of the land improves, the color growing darker, until on reaching the prairie the loam is of the same black hue and ashen texture as the prairies of Illinois. The villages are thirty or forty miles from each other, and the farms between them far apart. The habitations are mostly of the poorest description. Many of them have nothing like windows, while others have holes cut in the log walls, and rudely boarded up in Winter, or closed with the roughest shutters, but wholly innocent of sash or glass. The door is left open to admit light. Open wood fires are universal, and stick chimneys very common. The walls are not cat-tight, and toward the roof they often forget to fill the chinoks between the logs at all. It is impossible to keep comfortable in one of these houses on a cold day. There are better dwellings in the villages, and there are a very few fine mansions on this road. Two of them would be considered handsome elsewhere.

The general aspect of the country is like Southern Illinois—so like it at this season that if I had been dropped here unawares, I should have supposed myself there. But the great flocks of wild geese feeding on the prairies would soon correct

grants are pouring into the State as rapidly as the very limited means of transportation will allow. But Texas could hold half the present population of the United States without being more crowded than Massachusetts. There are not enough now to make the game birds shy. Although the road is so constantly traveled—often literally whitened with cotton wagons—whole flocks of geese and quail flew close by us, and prairie hens would rise and fly a few yards and settle again. A gray eagle perched unconcernedly over our heads when we were watering the horses, and waited for the second pistol shot to be fired at him before he flew lazily across the pond and alighted again. A red-tailed buzzard sat on a fence stake until we were near enough to see his eyes before getting out of the way. Innumerable swarms of blackbirds, which might have been the very flocks I saw leaving New-Jersey two months ago, scarcely took the trouble to fly up before us, but hopped to one side, or flew a grasshopper's flight out of the road, and went to picking seeds again. Deer and turkeys are common, although not quite so tame.

The migration of birds follows the same law here as in higher latitudes. When the cross-bills and snow-birds come down from British America, to take the place of the robins and larks in New-York, robins and larks here replace mocking-birds and parakeets gone to Winter in Mexico.

IMMIGRANTS AND NATIVES.

Almost all the inhabitants here came from somewhere else. I have conversed with less than half a dozen full-grown native Texans, and if they were fair samples it is a pity there are not more of them. They are certainly superior to most of the immigrants. The latter hail from everywhere, but chiefly from the older Southern States—people impoverished by war and anxious for change. Four-fifths of the women, white or black, habitually chew sticks into little brushes, and, dipping these in snuff, vary the usual mode of chewing tobacco. Failing of snuff, they dry and pulverize common chewing tobacco and use that. The variation then consists solely in the stick. The usual expectorations follow. Men and women both smoke incontinently, and dram-drinking is nearly as common with one sex as the other. Many of these women are painfully helpless, from long habits of being waited upon; but they often show admirable talents for adapting themselves to their changed circumstances, and learn readily to help themselves. A generous infusion of Northern energy and thrift among the slip-shod customs of these people, during their present transition state, would make these among the richest people in the world in thirty years. They feel the need of it themselves, but generally hate "the Yankees" too cordially to welcome their help just now. Besides, it is problematic if even Yankee energy would survive the enervating influence of this climate for any great length of time.

The greatest promise of future prosperity lies in what they think their great calamity—the necessity of working. They must work or starve, and they have come to a work. With labor will come skill, and perhaps thrift. There is no excuse for abject poverty in such a country as this, in ordinary times. With general industry must come general well-being, and they are on the highway to a more substantial state of competence than ever before.

To help them now to achieve these desirable results, they stand in the greatest need of free communication with the rest of the world. The country is naturally very attractive, but is almost inaccessible. Half a dozen railroads through it would soon make Texas one of the richest and then, perhaps, one of the best contented of States. And, as I heard an immigrant Alabamian say the other day, "the Yankees will have to build them, for you know we never do." The people are very anxious for the building of the Memphis and El Paso Road, and are hoping that Gen. GRANT will favor it. Somebody said he would. If he should, it would make him plenty of friends here, whatever it might do elsewhere. Such a method of pacification would be very popular here.

With open communion with the rest of the world, these Texans might, in time, learn how to be comfortable. They do not know much about it now. Comfort and economy are lessons for the future. They are becoming conscious of waste and discomfort, but have not yet learned the better way. E. C. W.

SOUTH CAROLINA.

Capital Punishment and Common Schools in South Carolina—Legislative Trifling—Illiterate Magistrate—Cotton—Governor's Pardons—Cold.

From Our Own Correspondent.

COLUMBIA, S. C., Friday, Feb. 5, 1869.

The two points that attract most attention in the Legislature of this State are the criminal code, in reference to capital punishment, and educational matters. Neither bill has reached the stage of an act yet; and hence its as premature to make extended notice of them. The probable event of so much discussion on the criminal code will be to abolish the death penalty for everything except murder or homicide in the first degree. The educational schemes promise to result in liberal patronage of the State University, and the establishment of an extensive as well as expensive system of public schools throughout the State.

An interesting, though slightly exceptional specimen of legislating occurred in the House of Representatives some days ago. The important bill to amend and alter the criminal law was discussed four days in Committee of the Whole, and reported to the House, with recommendation that it pass. When the bill came up for a third reading, the first section—limiting the death penalty to wilful murder—passed by a majority of twenty-two. At this point the enemies of the bill, under the leadership of DE LARGE, (mulatto,) commenced their killing-off process. DE LARGE moved to recommit the remaining portion of the bill to the Judiciary Committee. PURVIS (mulatto) moved to lay DE LARGE's motion on the table, and a friend to the latter called for the yeas and nays, which were called. When the clerk reached the name of DE LARGE, that member asked leave to explain his vote, and demanded the yeas and nays. While the yeas and nays on this point were being called, BISHOP (white) asked to be excused from voting; and on this question HYDE (white) called for the yeas and nays. During this calling of the roll, JENKS (white) asked leave to introduce a new resolution, but the Chair ruled the resolution to be out of order. DENNIS (white) moved, on behalf of JENKS, to suspend the rules, and demanded the yeas and nays upon the question. BISHOP then moved to adjourn, and demanded the yeas and nays, and when his own name was called he asked to be excused from voting, and on this question DE LARGE called for the yeas and nays. During this call DE MARS (white) also asked to be excused from voting, and called for the yeas and nays upon the question. While this vote was being taken, DE LARGE moved to adjourn, and called for the yeas and nays. During this call W. H. JONES (mulatto) asked to be excused from voting, and the yeas and nays were again called, resulting in a vote of 43 yeas, 24 nays, and 53 absent or not voting. The motion to adjourn thus came up, and the yeas and nays, which had been demanded, were called, resulting in precisely the same vote as upon the excuse of W. H. JONES above. Accordingly the House adjourned, after about three hours of such proceedings as the above.

LITERATURE AND LEGISLATION.

From the manner in which the following document reaches the public, I have no doubt at all as to its authenticity. It is a letter written by a member of the Legislature, who is also a Magistrate by recent appointment of the Governor. His name is JUNE MOBLEY, and his residence Union County. He is a mulatto of intelligence, like that of many others near him. I give the note, which is dated Jan. 5, 1869, and addressed to another Magistrate:

Der Ser Mr Agnues I thinck you Ort to have thes partys to carer be fore you trow thes peopl out the house I see this to have A contrack from the lady and if this is true you cant put theme out the house.

J. S. MOBLEY.

COTTON.

The high price of cotton is having the effect of putting a great many small planters to raising this staple the present year upon a larger scale than last; and it is probable that if the conditions be equally favorable, the yield of 1869 will be larger than that of 1868 was. The crop of 1868 in South Carolina was 180,000 bales. A good crop year would doubtless run up these figures to 200,000 in 1869. This mania for cotton prevails mainly in the upper portions of the State. But thrift in general has taken strong hold upon our whole people.

EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY.

Gov. SCOTT has made quite a number of pardons and commutations of punishments in the cases of penitentiary convicts, within the last few weeks.

WEATHER.

For over four weeks the mild and frostless weather of April has been prevailing here, mostly duly. A change has come. Yesterday it became cold, and to-day there is a severe frost, and ice is to be seen here and there. No snow since the middle of December, and but a whit[illegible]

BOIB.

[illegible] Among other things the conversation turned upon Lawrenceburg. Knowing that Mr. PRATT had started his Indiana life by teaching here, of course this was just what we wanted to hear about, and were soon gratified. As near as we can remember, the Senator's account of the period of his life spent about here was as follows:

"Lawrenceburg!"—says he, "I never came so near starving to death anywhere in my life as I did in that town of Lawrenceburg. It was in the year 1832—I was just out of college—18 years old. A young man named HOLMES and I had started out together to seek our fortunes in the West. We had footed it part of the way, and came as deck passengers on a steamer to the Lawrenceburg wharf. We were both flat broke, but rich with conceit. We shouldered our little trunks and carried them up to Hunt's Hotel." [Here Mr. PRATT stopped to inquire kindly about Mr. HUNT and his wife, and sons JOHN and ED. Gen. SPOONER here also informed him of the gratification of his old friend, Judge DUNN, at hearing of his election to the Senatorship.] "Well," continued Mr. PRATT, "HOLMES and I, knowing we must do something, concluded to advertise for a school, which we did. It was to be a select school. The opening morning came and with it the last dime I saw of him. He went somewhere to the country and got a good school. Well, the next morning I had five scholars, and the number kept increasing until I had twelve or thirteen. I weathered it along as best I could. CLARKSON was then publishing a paper in Lawrenceburg. He found out I could write a little, and he proposed to pay me for writing for him. He'd tell me who to pitch into, and I'd go for them, not knowing anything about the matter, except as he would tell me. I remember very distinctly about his telling me to pitch into WALTER ARMSTRONG. I did so, writing as strong an article as I could. It was published. The next time I met CLARKSON he had his head tied up. I asked him what was the matter. "O," says he, "that article." ARMSTRONG'S son IRVING had pitched into him and given him a beating. "Well, there was to be a school exhibition at the seminary at Rising Sun, and I concluded to go down." [Here Mr. PHILLIPS gave a very laughable account of his labors in brushing up his Sunday suit, so as to look respectable.] "I was treated kindly, invited out to dinner and to Col. PEPPER's to tea. I suppose he had been appointed a committee to examine me as to my qualifications. He asked me all about where I had been to school, and what studies I had been pursuing, and seemed pleased with my appearance. In the evening I was invited to meet the Trustees of the seminary. The meeting was in an old warehouse, and the people sat around on barrels. It was formally announced to me that I had been elected as Principal of the Seminary. I think that was the only time in my life that I ever exhibited any feeling of dignity. While my heart was in my mouth for fear of losing the station, I had the audacity to inquire about the terms, manner of payment, &c., so as to put on an air of feeling undecided as to whether I should accept their proposal or not. I of course accepted. I here first met PICKNEY JAMES, whose daughter I afterward married. Well, this was the first great triumph of my life. Well do I remember when, on my borrowed horse, and far enough away from Rising Sun on my way back to Lawrenceburg to be out of hearing, how I raised in my stirrups, swung my hat and shouted at the top of my voice, in the full exuberance of my joy. Never since then, so happy, so buoyant, the feeling on receiving the news of my election to the Senate was nothing to it. Well, I returned to Lawrenceburg, and in good faith finished my engagement with my little school there. I had been boarding with HARRIS FITCH. He was a good man." Here Mr. PRATT took occasion to inquire about Mrs. FITCH and Mrs. WYMOND, and was pained to learn that they were both dead. He also inquired kindly about their families. "When I got through with my school," he continued, "I found myself indebted to Mr. FITCH in quite a little sum. I scarcely knew what to do. I had a little mine of wealth in the shape of my school-books. I piled them upon my table and called Mr. FITCH into my room. I told him that I had deceived him—that I owed him and had nothing to pay him with—that I had obtained a good situation, and all I could do was to leave my books with him until I was able to pay him. I always thought I detected a little moisture in his eyes as he patted me on the back and said: 'You're all right—you'll pay me when you get the money—I don't want your books.' So I went to Rising Sun, and sure enough, at the end of the first quarter I was able to pay Mr. FITCH what I owed him; but the kindness of himself and family I will never forget."

The relation of the narrative was often interrupted by remarks of Mr. PHILLIPS and others not relative to this subject, and which we have omitted. Among other things, he and Mr. PHILLIPS made an interesting exhibition of drafts upon their memory of Greek and Latin quotations from their old studies—each failing of what were the hardest parts for them to remember, and both quoting with great fluency. Our impression, from this interview, was that Mr. PRATT is an honest, clear-headed, large-hearted gentleman, who will be a great honor to his party and to Indiana in the position to which he has been elevated.

ALEX

WASHINGTON.

Gen. Butler's Protest Against the Action of the Senate.

The Stormy Debate of Wednesday Continued in the House.

Speaker Colfax Explains and Justifies His Action.

Speeches of Mr. Conkling and Mr. Morrill on the Currency.

Special Dispatches to the New-York Times.

WASHINGTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

If the House of Representatives partially forgot its dignity yesterday, it measurably retrieved that error to-day by a debate of the question involved, which, for masterly ability, eloquence and vigor, will well compare with any in the pages of Congressional history. The hot feeling of last night had almost totally disappeared, leaving its traces only here and there. Members who yesterday thought the dignity and privileges of the House had been infringed, had coolly considered the question and had reversed their decision. The conviction that the action of Mr. WADE, rough and almost uncivil as it was, was nevertheless right, gradually forced its way into the minds of members, and it hardly needed the sledge-hammer blows of SHELLABARGER and BINGHAM to convince the House that to follow BUTLER in his demands was to sustain an act of incipient revolution. All day Mr. BUTLER has been losing ground, and to-night when the day's session closed, the debate still unfinished, he was ready for the second time to modify his resolution, which yesterday denounced the action of the Presiding Officer as "a gross act of oppression and an invasion of the rights and privileges of the House." To-day it has been toned down to a simple protest against the manner of counting the vote.

The debate was opened immediately after the reading of the Journal by Mr. SHELLABARGER, who held the floor from last night, and he proceeded to make a very able and conclusive argument on the legal and constitutional status of the case. His first position was that the joint rules of Feb. 6, 1865, were unconstitutional, because they provided for a counting of the electoral vote by separate action of the two Houses, while the Constitution itself expressly declared that it must be done in the presence of the two Houses. He quoted the Commentary of STORY on this defect of the Constitution, it being perfectly apparent that there was a lack of express declaration on the subject. He also quoted the opinion of Chancellor KENT, that the power to open and count the vote was lodged by the Constitution wholly in the hands of the President of the Senate, and that the two Houses were present only as witnesses to see that the proceedings were fair. He then illustrated with great force the great danger of any practice or provision by which either House might separately refuse to count the vote of any State. Such a provision would enable the House of Representatives, if ever the contest became as close as it had been, to defeat the election had by the people, and throw the choice into its own hands. Reviewing the concurrent resolution passed to meet the case of Georgia, he said it was to his mind entirely constitutional and eminently proper. He vindicated its wisdom in an able argument, and referred to the precedent established by Congress in 1821, when MONROE was elected, and when a scene in some respects not unlike that of yesterday was enacted.

During the latter portion of Mr. SHELLABARGER's remarks Mr. BUTLER interrupted him by remarking that if each House could not act separately on receiving the vote of any State, the same result would be reached by the refusal of the House to sit in the Convention.

Mr. SHELLABARGER said that was to suppose what the gentleman must be fully conscious of, that the House of Representatives would be guilty of a bold and deliberate act of revolution. The speech was listened to with great attention. It cleared up the minds of many members as to the right phase of the question, and strengthened the opinion that Mr. SHELLABARGER is the ablest constitutional lawyer in the House.

Mr. WOODWARD, of Pennsylvania, then obtained the floor, but yielded to Hon. FRANCIS THOMAS, of Maryland, who recited the historical precedent of the case of Michigan thirty-three years ago, when he himself was one of the tellers and participated in the counting of the vote. There was doubt in Congress then as to whether Michican was a State or not, and following the precedent of 1821, a resolution alike in terms to that acted on yesterday, was agreed upon for Michigan. It had received the sanction of the Senate of that day, by a vote of 34 to 9, when such men as CRITTENDEN, CLAY and GRUNDY sat in that body. Those who opposed it at the time, Mr. THOMAS said, were led by Mr. CALHOUN, the mischief-maker of that day. This was a terrible hit at BUTLER.

Mr. WOODWARD and Mr. ELDRIDGE succeeded Gov. THOMAS, in brief arguments against the concurrent resolution, but as it seemed to be a purely Republican fight, they speedily abandoned the field to Mr. BINGHAM, who soon concentrated the attention of the House upon himself, and proceeded to oppose BUTLER's proposition with characteristic fire and eloquence. He analyzed its bearings, commended the firm action of Mr. WADE in enforcing the concurrent resolution, and denounced BUTLER's resolution as anarchy and revolution. He hoped it would be laid on the table, as he expressed it, "there to rot."

Mr. SCHENCK followed, and made an argument in defence of the resolution of BUTLER, during which he arraigned Speaker COLFAX for his action yesterday in ordering the Sergeant-at-Arms to arrest members for disorder, and attributed it to the excitement under which the Speaker labored. Mr. COLFAX, who was on the floor, Mr. DAWES acting as Speaker *pro tem.*, at once replied to the charge in vindication of his action, and showed that what he did was so imperatively required of him by the rules that he would have been liable to impeachment had he not enforced order. He read the provisions of the joint rule on this subject, which expressly provide what the Sergeant-at-Arms of the House shall do under direction of the Speaker. His answer was a complete reply to the imputation of Mr. SCHENCK.

Gen. GARFIELD next got the floor, and defended the action of Mr. WADE in a brief speech, closing by moving to lay the resolutions on the table, but he subsequently withdrew it at the request of friends.

Gen. LOGAN followed, but yielded to BUTLER, who had been chafing for three hours at the merciless manner in which his conduct had been shown up, and his resolutions dissected. He nerved himself for an effort. He at first paid his respects to BINGHAM, making several palpable hits, by sarcastic allusions to certain rhetorical phrases frequently indulged by Mr. BINGHAM. He then turned to the legal aspect of the case, and made a specious argument, defending his position with an apparent consciousness of its weakness, for he closed by offering, as above stated, a second modification of his resolutions.

A vote any time to-day would have laid the matter on the table, and that will probably be its fate to-morrow, when a conclusion will be reached. BUTLER wants it referred to a select committee, himself chairman, but the House, by the light of the able debate of to-day, will scarcely gratify him.

GARRETT DAVIS endeavored to continue the House excitement of yesterday in the Senate to-day by introducing a joint resolution censuring Gen. BUTLER and the representatives who voted with him in the Joint Convention yesterday, characterizing their conduct as disreputable and an insult to the American people. There did not appear to be the slightest disposition in the Senate to consider the subject. The reading of the resolution did not occasion a ripple of excitement, and on the objection of Mr. FERRY it went over to-morrow.

THE POSTAL TELEGRAPH SYSTEM.

The Senate Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, at its meeting this morning, considered the subject of the postal telegraph system, and agreed on some of the important features of Mr. HUBBARD's bill. Another meeting of the Committee will be held on Tuesday next to consider the same subject, and it is very probable that the Committee will recommend the adoption of some plan which will transfer the telegraph business to the Post Office Department. There does not appear to be a very strong inclination in the Committee to recommend an appropriation for the purchase of the lines now in existence, and the granting of subsidies and acts of incorporation to new companies does not meet with much favor.

THE BROOKLYN BRIDGE.

Mr. MORGAN, from the Senate Committee on Commerce, to-day reported the bill providing for the erection of the Brooklyn bridge. The House, it will be remembered, passed the bill without any restrictions whatever. The Senate Committee propose several important amendments, among others, one requiring the Bridge Company to submit plans and specifications to the Secretary of War, who must also be satisfied that the construction of the bridge will not prove any impediment to navigation.

POST OFFICE EMPLOYES.

The House Post Office Committee agreed upon a bill to-day providing that Postmasters, stage drivers and messengers, whose salaries do not exceed $500 per annum, shall only be required to take the oath prescribed by act approved March 3, 1863, entitled an act to amend the laws of the Post Office Department.

Dispatches to the Associated Press.

WASHINGTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

AFFAIRS IN PARAGUAY.

The Secretary of the Navy, to-day, sent to the House, in compliance with resolutions, voluminous correspondence relative to affairs in Paraguay. It appears that on the 4th December last, Admiral DAVIS addressed to President LOPEZ a letter, in which he says:

"It does not belong to me to define, or even to consider, the status of BLISS and MASTERMAN, but on this subject your Excellency will, I do not doubt, repose confidence in the justice and friendship of the United States, which has afforded your Excellency many recent proofs of its respect and sympathy."

Mr. LOPEZ replied: "Those persons were criminals, deeply committed in the affair of a horrible conspiracy, very particularly the former. He said he would cheerfully consent to the delivery of the criminals, provided it were not executed in a manner more in conformity with the fact of their being accomplices of EX-Minister WASHBURN, and the first intimately acquainted with his intrigues in the character of conspirator and agent of the enemy of which he is accused in the national tribunals. To this Admiral DAVIS responded: It was no part of his official duty either to offer or to withdraw any article which would affect the legal criminal condition of those two persons. Again referring to the friendship of the United States toward Paraguay, he asked that BLISS and MASTERMAN be immediately delivered on board his vessel, to the disposition of the Government of the United States, of whose justice and friendly sentiments President LOPEZ could entertain no doubt. President LOPEZ replied to this request that Admiral DAVIS's reasoning, however, it could not be complied with in the sense of a reclamation, but of a graceful courtesy on the part of the Government of Paraguay toward the United States.

GRANT AND COLFAX.

Senator MORTON, Representatives PRUYN of New-York, and WILSON of Iowa, the Committee appointed to notify Gen. GRANT and Mr. COLFAX of their election, will discharge the duty assigned to them on Saturday morning next. They will visit Gen. GRANT at his headquarters, and Mr. COLFAX at his residence.

THE INAUGURATION BALL.

The Committee on the Inauguration Reception have completed their arrangements. It will be given at the north wing of the United States Treasury building, which, being new and admirably adapted for the purpose, furnishes superior and ample accommodation. Gen. GRANT, Vice-President COLFAX and their families will be present on the occasion. Several bands of music have already been engaged. Supper will be furnished by an accomplished caterer, accessible from the opening to the close of festivities. The tickets are $10 each, which will admit a gentleman and two ladies to the reception, dance and supper. There is already a great demand for them. They can be obtained by letter, or in person. The number to be issued is limited, and may be had on application at the headquarters of the Committee, No. 407 F-street, Washington, D. C.

RECONSTRUCTION MEASURES.

The Reconstruction Committee is exhibiting indications of deciding to recall the Mississippi Convention to make another State Constitution. It is said that the Senate Committee on Judiciary has determined to report in favor of a modification of the Constitution of Virginia, as suggested by the Committee of Nine.

PACIFIC RAILROAD BONDS.

The Government has agreed to issue bonds for forty miles more road to the Union Pacific Railroad Company, receiving a large amount of First Mortgage Bonds for the complete construction hereafter. These bonds are deposited in the New-York Sub-Treasury.

LOUISIANA CONTESTED ELECTION.

The Election Committee of the House considered the Louisiana contested election case to-day. Mr. UPSON, who is in charge of the report, presented its points, which were approved by the majority of the Committee. They are, in effect, that SIMON JONES was not elected, but that Col. MANN (deceased) was elected, and that neither Col. HUNT nor Mr. MENARD, who claims it under the recent election, is entitled to a seat, fraud and intimidation having invalidated that election. There will be also a minority report soon.

THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER.

The Committee of Ways and Means has agreed to report the House resolution opening a Conference with the British North American Provinces as to the navigation of the St. Lawrence and as to commercial intercourse, reserving all final action for Congress. The same Committee has also agreed to report a bill exempting from duty the ocean cable bought for the Collins Russo-American Telegraph, and now in bond.

THE PARDON OF DR. MUDD.

The pardon of Dr. MUDD, one of the conspirators for the assassination of President LINCOLN, sentenced by the Military Commission which tried him for the offence to be confined at hard labor for life at Dry Tortugas, was signed by the President to-day and sent to the State Department, where it will receive the signature of the Secretary of State and the seal of the United States, and then be sent to the commanding officer at the Dry Tortugas, where it will arrive about the middle of next week, and Dr. MUDD will be liberated. The pardon is accompanied by a report of the Attorney-General, made by direction of the President, embracing the history and points of the case and reciting the various considerations moving the Executive to grant the same, which are chiefly that there now appears to be some doubts as to the complicity of said MUDD in the conspiracy he only rendering aid by attending to the wounds of the chief conspirator; that the medical profession of Harford County, Md., have satisfactorily shown that it was the professional duty of said MUDD, as a physician, to attend BOOTH when called upon, and further, that he was attentive to the sick at the Dry Tortugas during the prevalence of the yellow fever at that post last Summer, saving the lives of many officers and soldiers, besides that of Mrs. SMITH, wife of Surgeon JOSEPH SMITH, United States Army, who himself died of the fever. It further recites that his pardon has been recommended by thirty-nine Senators and members of the House of Representatives, by over three hundred of the officers and soldiers of the Dry Tortugas, and others.

THE DYER COURT OF INQUIRY.

The Dyer Court of Inquiry, to-day, completed the examination of Col. BALCH, after a long session, and then adjourned until Monday, on the motion of the counsel for the accused, in order to allow the counsel to examine the records of the Ordnance Office.

THE SUPREME COURT.

In the Supreme Court to-day the following cases were heard:

No. 61.—The Board of Supervisors of Lee County, Iowa, plaintiffs in error, *vs.* The United States *ex rel.* The Mississippi and Missouri Railroad Company. Mandamus issued by the county in aid of the Keokuk, Mount Pleasant and Muscatine Railroad Company; cause submitted.

No. 85.—The Agawam Woolen Company *vs.* Jordan. The argument in this patent case was continued.

FORTIETH CONGRESS.

THIRD SESSION.

SENATE.... WASHINGTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

Mr. POMEROY presented a memorial for equal suffrage, particularly in the District of Columbia.

COMMITTEE REPORTS.

Mr. RAMSEY, from the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, reported a joint resolution giving the assent of the United States for the construction of a bridge between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Newport, Ky. Passed.

Also, from same Committee, a bill giving the assent of the United States for the construction of a bridge between New-York and Brooklyn, which, at the suggestion of Mr. MORGAN, was laid on the table.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, from the Committee on the Judiciary, asked to be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution directing the Committee to inquire into the practicability of preventing the discharge of convicted criminals, under Judge UNDERWOOD's decisions in Virginia, on the ground that the Committee did not think it proper to interfere by legislation with special adjudications of a Court.

Mr. CHANDLER, from the Committee on Commerce, reported favorably the House bill for the repeal of the tonnage duties on the Canadian vessels. Also, favorably, the bill appropriating $35,000 for the purchase of additional ground for the Nashville Custom-house. Also, adversely to the House bill to repeal the act of March 2, 1867, regulating the disposition of fines and forfeitures received under the Customs laws, &c.

Mr. MORGAN, from the Committee on Commerce, reported, with amendments, the House bill declaring that the bridge across the East River, between Brooklyn and New-York, shall be a post road when completed, in accordance with the laws of New-York.

The amendment consists of provisos that the bridge shall be so constructed as not to obstruct, impair or injuriously modify the navigation of the river, and that the Secretary of War shall, after full examination of the detailed plans, maps and the information to be furnished him by the Company, approve the plan and location of the bridge as conforming to the prescribed conditions last named. The bridge shall not be commenced until this approval be secured, and all changes of plan made during the progress of the work should be subject to the same approval.

A RESOLUTION OF CENSURE.

Mr. DAVIS offered the following resolution:

Resolved, &c., That the noisy and disorderly conduct of BENJAMIN F. BUTLER, a Representative from the State of Massachusetts, and other members of the House of Representatives, in the Representative Hall on the 10th day of February, during the time the President of the Senate, in the presence of the Senate and House of Representatives, was opening the certificates of the votes of the electors for President and Vice-President of the United States, from the several States, while said votes were being counted, whereby said business was disturbed and obstructed, is disreputable to said BENJAMIN F. BUTLER and the other Representatives acting with him, and a wrong and an insult to the people of the United States.

Mr. FERRY—Let it go over.

The resolution accordingly went over under the rule.

PRIVATE RELIEF.

Mr. CRAGIN called up the bill for the relief of HENRY E. NOYES, of Claremont, N. H., directing the Secretary of the Treasury to issue to him a new $1,000 bond in place of one destroyed.

Mr. CONKLING called attention to the great importance of being satisfied beyond the possibility of doubt that the bond was actually destroyed.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN said the facts and the circumstances of the destruction of the bond were fully proved by the affidavits, and by fragments of the bond itself.

Mr. HOWE wished it to be understood that the Committee on Claims, being composed of human beings, and therefore fallible, could not absolutely guarantee the Government against wrong in such cases.

The bill was then passed.

On motion of Mr. DRAKE, the Senate took up the bill for the relief of CELESTIA P. HART. It pays the heirs $3,000 as compensation for the use by the Government of a gun-elevating screw, invented by her late husband, SAMUEL B. HART.

Mr. CAMERON opposed the bill on the ground that the deceased Mr. HART was an officer of the Government, which was, therefore, entitled to the benefit of his services and skill without giving him or his representatives extra compensation.

Mr. DRAKE said that Mr. HART was not a naval officer, but a naval contractor, and that his invention had been very valuable to the Government, and that he had left a widow and four children who needed and were entitled to compensation.

Mr. ANTHONY said the Committee were so well satisfied of the great value of the invention, and of the justice of the claim of Mrs. HART, that they would have increased the amount of the appropriation, only that the bill would then have to go back to the House for concurrence, and might, therefore, fail to pass this session.

The bill was then passed.

THE CURRENCY BILL.

At the expiration of the morning hour, Mr. SHERMAN moved to proceed to the consideration of the Currency bill.

Mr. CHANDLER moved to take a recess from 4:30 to 7 o'clock this evening, the session to be devoted to the consideration of the River and Harbor bill, and other matters reported from the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. FESSENDEN objected.

Mr. SHERMAN's motion to take up the Currency bill was then carried.

Mr. CHANDLER moved to postpone that bill to allow him to renew his motion for an evening session. Carried.

The motion for a recess was then carried, and the Senate resumed the consideration of the Currency bill, upon which Mr. CONKLING addressed the Senate.

SPEECH OF MR. CONKLING.

Mr. PRESIDENT: It is not my purpose to descant upon finances or currency, nor to discuss the multiplied theories and plans of treatment to which the monetary situation of the country has given rise. Three-fourths of the session has gone, and I cannot doubt that the two Houses of Congress ought so to govern themselves that the necessary measures of legislation will be perfected and disposed of by the 4th of March. Those who look with favor or indifference upon an extraordinary session of Congress at the commencement of inaugurating the new Administration, not a session convened by the President for reasons then apparent and adequate, but a session into which we are to drift inadvertently, or purposely, will, of course, see no objection to considering at large any questions engaging public attention, whether their consideration promises legislative action or not. My own conviction is, that we should bend the energies of the session to the dispatch of such actual measures as command attention by their urgency, as well as by their importance, and resolving to leave nothing undone which may not without serious detriment abide the next Winter session. The subject of the pending measure, however it may be with the measure itself, is of grave importance and commanding urgency. The bill is proposed as a means of changing for the better the financial circumstances of the country. It will do this if it deserves at once our whole attention and all our votes. If, on the other hand, it promises nothing desirable, its presentation will confirm the fear which has of late abided with me that a better administration of our finances and sounder legislative principles respecting them must wait for the advent of a new Secretary of the Treasury, and for methods and assurances to be evolved by him, or at least with his coöperation. To find the true value and utility of the five sections proposed to make them up in order and examination within limits only so large as to embrace such effects as would seem to be easily foreseen. The first section, leaving the proviso out of view for a moment, legalizes contracts specifically answerable in coin. This presents a question of high moment, which I have said. The Senate, however, has heretofore adopted equivalent provisions, and has thus determined the expediency of trying the experiment. Nevertheless, should it be again decided to try it, all must look with some concern for the result. None can know in advance that to withdraw a large body of the commercial transactions of the country from affinity and sympathy with the circulating medium which will still remain, will not tend to depreciation greater than now exists. But the proviso which concludes this section, suggests the first point to which I ask the attention of the Senate. I read the section including the proviso. It was obviously the conviction of those who appended this saving clause, that it would be unsafe to legalize the specific contract originally related, leaving all persons free to make such bargains or not. Hence a guard is set over some persons. Who are they? First, borrowers of money. They must, it is thought, be protected against the rapacity which would, as a condition of the loan, exact coin in return for paper money. But if the soundness of this position be granted, and if it be granted also that the language employed would secure the protection desired, a noticeable commentary arises. We declare that it is wise to make it optional to enter into agreements which coin alone can satisfy, and in the same breath we declare that safety requires one-half the commercial transactions of the country to be preserved from the exercise of such adoption. It is no exaggeration to say that one-half of our commercial operations involve the loan of money directly or indirectly, and if it be true that the parties themselves cannot be trusted to make their own bargains, the fact is not suspicious in the indications it affords of the nature of the proposed experiment and of its possibilities of evil. If we agree with the Committee that borrowers should be insured against the exaction of repayment in coin, we must then consider whether such provision as this would be adequate or efficient. At this point the inquiry is, would not evasion be easy? While a widely different one in value remains between gold and currency, no man would borrow currency to be returned in coin, dollar for dollar. No legislative restriction is made to guard against such imprudence. The transaction against which the Committee must have intended to guard is that of loaning currency the amount of which is adjusted between lender and borrower to be returned in gold, the amount of which is also adjusted in the same way. This being prohibited in the manner proposed, what alteration would be necessary to bring the same loan within the permission of the law? Only to agree in form to lend and pay coin, and therefore the lender to render the sum loaned in currency checks, drafts or other representatives of value equivalent to coin, making first such allowance, and only such allowance, for the difference in value between coin and currency as the parties themselves might fix. The safeguard of the Usury laws would be no better than a bending reed to prop the purposes of such an enactment. Would not the provision thus be worse than a dead letter, worse than unobserved law, because an evaded and safely-violated law? The proviso next seeks to remove, beyond the operation of the section all contracts for the removal or extension of an existing indebtedness. Will val or extension of an existing indebtedness. Will val or extension of an existing indebtedness. Will the object here sought be attained? The lender in the case of an existing indebtedness, like the lender in the case already put, would make himself secure under the law, by simply advancing to his debtor the amount due upon a new agreement to a loan consummated by the standard of coin at a price agreed upon. In both instances, the whole result must be confined to the agreement insisted upon on the one side, and acquiesced in on the other. The attempted protection extended to renewals and extensions has a qualification which it seems to me cannot have been considered in all its bearings and results. The bill declares that the section authorizing contracts dischargable only in coin, shall not apply to agreements for the renewal or extension of an indebtedness under a contract already entered into, unless such contract originally required payment in coin. Transposing this provision, it is that all contracts originally payable in coin may be extended or renewed with a condition requiring payment in gold. I do not stop to consider the scope of such an enactment in its possible application to engagements entered into since the suspension of the specific payments. The honorable Senator from Ohio, (Mr. SHERMAN,) commending the Message to the Senate, reminded me of the doubts enveloping questions hinging upon the fate of the Legal-tender acts. I pass over the suggestions which might be deduced from the hints he gave us, and ask the Senate to observe that the proposed qualification would give a preferential advantage to every indebtedness existing antecedent to February, 1862. Every bond and mortgage gives, as long ago as seven years, all State stocks, all railroad securities and all indebtedness of every description antedating the rebellion would at once be payable in specie, unless discharged without renewal or extension. What would be the effect in this upon debtors everywhere? What would be the effect in States and regions in which Stay laws have been passed as barriers against Sheriffs' sales of household goods? Those who, during past inflations, have not been able to pay their debts, are not likely to be able to pay them now, and are still less likely to be able to pay them should we create at once an all-pervading necessity for simultaneous payment of all the matured individual indebtedness of the country which arose before specie payments were suspended. Is it not manifest that if coin contracts may not safely be permitted in all cases at the option of the parties, they cannot safely be permitted under restrictions no more effectual and discriminating than these? The second section is little more than a repeal of so much of section 5 of the act of Feb. 25, 1862, as devotes an amount of Custom duties equal to one per cent. of the entire debt of the United States, and the interest of that amount to the gradual extinction of the public debt. On the 1st of January, 1869, the interest-bearing debt was: Funded debt, $2,107,835,350; three per cent. certificates, $55,865,000; total, $2,163,700,350. I do not include compound interest notes, because their interest has ceased, or should cease. The annual interest is, say, $126,000,000. Section 2 of the bill proposes to set apart for the payment of the interest and for the reduction of the debt, which sum is to be in lieu of the sinking fund already referred to. The interest being $126,000,000, there would remain of the $140,000,000 but $14,000,000 to including, also, the standard of the world as large) from the almost fabulous amount of gold annually released from the mountains and caves of the earth, that it seemed to me not too much to expect some concerted action for the restoration of the "lawful money" of the act of Congress, temporarily issued by the Government to the value stamped and promised on its face, which, after all, is a standard at least nine per cent. below that of the coinage of Great Britain, the most successful commercial nation of the world. The bills before us convoy a large fleet of amendments more or less important. Senators must be perversely constituted if they cannot find something to approve in the range of all the various propositions before us. I am in favor of a consideration of each of these propositions, and just as much opposed to some others unless they can be radically amended. That it is of primary importance at the present moment to fund our debt at the lowest practicable rate is unquestionable, and I shall therefore propose to amend the section providing for the funding of United States notes, so that any debts bearing a higher rate of interest than five per cent. may also be funded. It is one of the highest duties of Congress to so legislate that money can be readily obtained at a low rate of interest, as the United States Government is the leading favorite for loans in all markets, at the highest rates of interest, States and corporations, companies and individuals must follow closely in its footsteps and pay the same or more extravagant rates. The loan proposed is what is called the five per cent. ten-forty loan. This leaves the controlability of the loan in the hands of the United States after ten years, and by that time we may reasonably hope to obtain even better terms than a loan at five per cent. interest. At this time it cannot be done. If it were done, at present, to keep any part of our public debt at home it is useless to attempt it at a lower rate, and it would be deplorable to send more abroad. Mr. MORRELL then discussed the banking system and continued: I shall support the amendment which proposes to abandon the keeping of any reserve by banks which redeem their notes at their counters in specie, and also redeem them in its equivalent at some principal mart of the country, or wherever they are now permitted to hold any part of their reserve. The proportion of reserve in specie which every bank should hold when pretending to redeem its notes in specie or its equivalent is unequal, and it would seem to be enough if the law should provide good and substantial security to the bill holder, in case of mismanagement and loss upon the part of the bank. This security should have a margin of at least ten per cent. beyond the amount of notes received, and be based upon the coin value of the securities, as bonds worth seventy-five in gold to-day may be worth eighty or more hereafter, and the restoration of specie payments should not be made more onerous than is necessary. In order that the National Banks may be having some little thought of an ultimate resumption, I shall propose that at fixed points of time hence, that they shall redeem a certain percentage of their capital as a part of their assets in coin. This proposition has this advantage over that of requiring them to hold the interest paid to them in coin by the Government, that if they think coin in the coming year will bear a less rate of premium than now, and are willing to run the risk, they can part with their coin now and replace it at a subsequent period, securing whatever of profit may accrue from the transaction. Whether it would be wise to fix a time for the United States to redeem its notes is somewhat questionable. To do so, if the time was not very remote, would cause the notes to be hoarded against the time of redemption, and produce what should be avoided, a stringency throughout the financial circles of the country, and when the time arrived, unless the Government was prepared to redeem the whole amount, might end in ignominious failure. But after a considerable share of the United States notes have been funded, after some portion of the National Banks have commenced operations on a specie basis, and when all have made some sort of preparation for resumption, then the United States notes can be gradually raised to the level of specie and be made receivable for duties on imports, if necessary, and actually redeemed without peril. Any plan looking to the elevation of our paper legal-tender standard to the par of coin will be incomplete unless it embraces and proceeds along with the elevation of the national banking currency, and these banks can only retain popular favor by being willing to be charged with their fair share of the labor of resumption. Any hesitation on their part will seal their doom. It will be a mistake to suppose this can be done without any sacrifice; but if they seek perpetuity as national institutions they must study the general welfare, and in studying that they will most certainly advance their own. But no measures should be forced upon them which would compel them to discharge their debtors. The banks and the United States can approach a sound currency together much easier and safer than either alone. While the United States should do its full part as the author of our present embarrassments, the national banks must come to the relief of the country and come forward at the day of reckoning and say, "Lord, I know thee that thou art a hard man; there thou hast the paper that is thine—take that." It would seem to be obvious, by permitting contracts on the basis of coin and by organizing paying points at which gold and bank bills convertable into specie would be used in the conduct of some respectable share of the business of the country, and to that extent supersede the present office of irredeemable paper currency. Much less than the issues of such paper will be required. If it should be objected that there will be two currencies in circulation, the answer would be that there are two currencies now in circulation, the best being a clandestine one; and the only effect will be to augment the best one, and thus release the coin of our own country from the degradation of circulating only in the dark. And the moment when the bank-notes begin to be redeemed one dollar will almost do the work of two; its greater utility and its quicker movements, it will compensate for the lack of its present bulk. Interest accounts will also be lessened when borrowers no longer have to pay interest on $7 for the use of no more than $5. On the whole, the terms of specie resumption will vanish, and grow less and less the nearer it is approached, and especially if it shall be inaugurated hand in hand with a system which affords an opportunity for a banking circulation coextensive with the wants and ability of the country. I have thus hurriedly reviewed, without much regard to their order, some of the propositions which have been submitted to the Senate, with the purpose of supporting such parts of the report of the Committee on Finance as my judgment approves. It will be seen that I condemn and sustain the policy of funding the United States bonds at a lower rate of interest; the gradual retirement of the United States notes; the legalizing of coin contracts; the organization of free banking on the basis of a circulation equivalent to specie, and a policy generally that will not leave us as far off from a restoration of a sound currency years hence as we are now. It is unnecessary to say that I am an implacable foe to the further inflation of so-called paper money, as well as to any artful dodging of the spirit and letter of our public obligations. The honor of our people may be won or lost here as well as in the field, and though Senators may feel that it is somewhat tarnished by the fact that any allusion to such a contingency can be justified, yet I am confident that here all will finally so act as to leave no taint on the public faith, no scar on the bosom of our country, and so as to secure the largest measure of prosperity to the American people, in whose future centres all our love and our hopes.

Mr. CORBETT followed in explanation and advocacy of the amendment offered by him to the pending bill.

EQUAL RIGHTS.

Mr. SUMNER moved to take up the bill for the further security of equal rights in the District of Columbia, which was thereupon taken up and passed.

The bill strikes out the word "white" from the laws of the District of Columbia and the charters of Washington and Georgetown. The bill had already been passed twice, but in each case within less than ten days of the end of the session, and failed to become a law because it was returned by the President.

IMPORTATION OF MACHINERY.

On motion of Mr. MORRILL, of Vermont, the bill to authorize the importation of machinery for repairs was taken up and passed.

It authorizes the importation of machinery for repairs upon the giving of a bond in double the appraised value thereof, to be withdrawn when the machinery has been repaired and exported.

A few minutes before 4 o'clock P. M.,

Mr. THAYER moved to extend the session ten minutes beyond that hour, so as to give time for a brief Executive session for the consideration of some Indian matters, which was carried. So, at 4 o'clock, the Senate went into Executive session, and soon after took a recess till 7 o'clock this evening.

EVENING SESSION.

The Senate reassembled at 7 o'clock P. M.

SUBMARINE TELEGRAPH.

Mr. CHANDLER moved to take up the bill to authorize the New-York, Newfoundland and London Telegraph Company to land its submarine cable on the shores of the United States.

Mr. CHANDLER suggested that the bill had better lay over for a time, as the Chairman (Mr. SUMNER) of the Committee on Foreign Relations, to which the bill had been referred, was not present.

Mr. TRUMBULL offered an amendment to the bill, providing that the privilege of landing submarine cables shall be given to foreign Powers only when they give to the United States the privilege of landing cables on their shores.

Mr. CHANDLER said he would accept the amendment.

Mr. HENDRICKS asked the Senator from Illinois, (Mr. TRUMBULL,) whether he had examined the question of the right of the Federal Government to grant such a privilege, and whether that right did not rather belong to the State?

Mr. CHANDLER—Let us not go into that discussion now.

Mr. TRUMBULL replied to Mr. HENDRICKS that he had not examined the question, but he could conceive that the United States Government had something to do with establishing telegraphic as well as postal communications between this and foreign countries.

RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS.

The bill was informally passed over, and the Senate, on motion of Mr. CHANDLER, took up the River and Harbor bill, which was read with the amendment reported by the Committee.

The first amendment was to strike out the appropriation of $40,000 for the improvement of the Wisconsin River.

Mr. HARLAN wished to be informed why that was to be struck out.

Mr. CHANDLER said that from statements made to the Committee they had become satisfied that the improvements required to make the navigation of the Wisconsin River satisfactory would cost between three and four million dollars, and that a small appropriation of $40,000, while it might readily be squandered upon the river, could not possibly produce any satisfactory result.

Mr. MORRILL, of Vermont, opposed the appropriation on economical grounds, and because the use to be made of the appropriation was not clearly stated.

Mr. CONKLING thought the latter a very bad reason which, if applied to the Mississippi, Missouri and other Western Rivers, would prevent all appropriations for their improvement. He discussed in detail the merits of the proposed improvement and said he would vote for the appropriation with pleasure.

Mr. CHANDLER congratulated the Senate upon the possession of a genius like the Senator from New-York, who knew more about every question by intuition than others could learn by the most careful investigation. [Laughter.]

This matter was further debated by Messrs. POMEROY, DOOLITTLE, HOWE and HENDRICKS.

The appropriation was struck out by a vote of 37 yeas to 15 nays.

Several amendments, striking out or reducing the appropriations for the Western rivers or harbors, were agreed to, among them one reducing the appropriation for Chicago Harbor from forty thousand to thirteen thousand dollars.

The amendment reducing the appropriation for Michigan City Harbor from thirty-five thousand to ten thousand dollars was vigorously opposed by Messrs. MORTON and HENDRICKS, and, without acting upon it, the Senate, at 10:10 o'clock, adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

The Speaker stated the first business in order to be the question of privilege pending at the adjournment last evening, being the resolution offered by Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, protesting that the counting of the vote of Georgia, by the order of the Vice-President, was a gross act of oppression, and an invasion of the rights and privileges of the House. The Speaker stated that he had asked Mr. DAWES, of Massachusetts, to preside during the discussion of the question of privilege. The Chair had decided that the resolution presented a question of privilege, and had subsequently on the floor expressed the opinion that in fact no right or privilege of the House had been invaded. He therefore felt that it would not be proper for him to preside, and he had asked Mr. DAWES to do so. Before leaving the chair he would ask the House to authorise the Speaker *pro tempore*, to appoint the Committee on the part of the House to wait upon the President and Vice-President elect, and notify them officially of their election.

Mr. BUTLER—As this thing must be discussed at length, and as time is pressing, I suggest to the House, although I have no preference myself, that after the remarks of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. SHELLABARGER,) who is to oppose the resolution, the resolutions shall be referred to a Select Committee, and on the report of that Committee a full discussion can be had.

No understanding on that point was arrived at, and Mr. DAWES took the chair as Speaker *pro tem.*

The Speaker *pro tem.* appointed Messrs. WILSON, of Iowa, and PRUYN, of New-York, to wait on the President and Vice-President elect and notify them of their election.

Mr. SHELLABARGER proceeded to address the House in opposition to the resolution offered by Mr. BUTLER. He prefaced his remarks by according most sincerely and fully to the gentleman from Massachusetts that privilege of free speech and that desire fairly and properly to dispose of the important matter before the House which he claimed for himself. It was not wonderful that they had fallen into doubt and trouble about a matter so new, so undefined, and in regard to which they were so unaided by precedent. Mr. SHELLABARGER proceeded to quote the several commentaries on the Constitution which had any bearing on the question, and summed up the application of his quotations by saying that if Chancellor KENT were right, and if the President of the Senate had the power to do the counting, then clearly the House was invading his rights in attempting in any way to control that count, except to see that it was honest.

Mr. BUTLER—How are we to see that?

Mr. SHELLABARGER—The gentleman must go for his answer to Justice STORY, who regrets that the Constitution had not made a detailed provision for the case. The gentleman cannot defeat the argument by showing that there may be defects, or as *casus omissis* found in the Constitution. If it be not the President of the Senate who does the count, but the two Houses in joint constitution, then, I ask, what privileges of the House have been invaded by the refusal of the President of the Senate to recognize the separate vote of the House of Representatives, which separate vote it had no authority to give. He went on to argue that the concurrent resolution in reference to the electoral vote of Georgia was not unconstitutional, and that it was copied from a similar concurrent resolution adopted at the time of the election of President MONROE.

Mr. THOMAS related how, thirty-two years ago, he had been a teller to count the electoral votes when Mr. VAN BUREN was elected; how a difficulty then existed in relation to the State of Michigan, and how Mr. CLAY, with great foresight and learning, had anticipated and avoided the difficulty by preparing and having adopted a concurrent resolution similar to that under which the joint Convention acted yesterday.

Mr. WOODWARD expressed his regret that the learned gentlemen from Ohio and Maryland, (SHELLABARGER and THOMAS,) had not been present the other night when the concurrent resolution was adopted, to make an argument against it.

Mr. SHELLABARGER said he was here and had voted for it; and that his argument to-day was that it was constitutional and proper.

Mr. THOMAS also corrected Mr. WOODWARD in assuming that he (Mr. THOMAS) was opposed to the concurrent resolution, and argued that there was no analogy in the cases of Georgia and Michigan, as cited by Messrs. SHELLABARGER and THOMAS. The case of Georgia was the exclusion of an organized, recognized State of the Union, having Representatives on the floor of the House. It was not the case of an unformed Territory, where the question was as to its admission as a State, and whether it was fully qualified. He argued that the presiding officer yesterday did what it was his duty to do. He was right in holding the two bodies to the concurrent resolution which they had adopted, whether good, bad or indifferent; it was the law of the body, and the President of the Convention could not depart from it. He would rather vote for a resolution to applaud the President of the Senate than for a resolution to censure him, and he believed that if the resolution should never have been adopted, if Georgia were treated that way this year, what was to prevent other States from being treated in the same way at the next Presidential election? Would it be said that Georgia was not in the Union? Why then were her members on the floor of the House, and what right had they to be there if Georgia was not in the Union? Georgia had been in

SOUTH AMERICA.

Additional Diplomatic Correspondence in Relation to the Detention of Minister Washburn in Paraguay.

We print below an additional number of letters from Mr. WEBB, Mr. SEWARD, Mr. PARANHOS, and others, relative to the difficulty with the Brazilian authorities in regard to the passage of the steamer *Wasp* through the lines of blockade established by the Allies on the Paraguay River:

MR. WEBB TO MR. SEWARD.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, }
RIO DE JANEIRO, July, 1868. }

SIR: I have the honor to inclose a communication from Rear-Admiral CHARLES H. DAVIS, commanding the United States South Atlantic Squadron, in which he advises me that the United States steamer *Wasp*, sent by him to Asuncion, for the purpose of bringing there our Minister, Mr. CHARLES A. WASHBURN, and family, and thereby remove them from their "embarrassing and possibly dangerous situation," has been prevented passing the lines of the Allies by the Marquis DE CAXIAS, the Brazilian commander of the Allied forces.

You will perceive from the correspondence accompanying Admiral DAVIS' communication that the United States steamer *Wasp* arrived at Curupaity, the headquarters of the Allies, on the 26th of April, and remained there until the 11th of June—*forty-seven days*—when the commander was compelled to return to Montevideo without accomplishing the object of his visit, in consequence of the persevering refusal of the Marquis DE CAXIAS to permit the steamer *Wasp* to pass up to Asuncion—although he was very willing to send a Brazilian iron-clad up the river under a flag of truce and the protection of the Stars and Stripes, to spy out the state of affairs in Paraguay. Nay, he was quite willing that on the return of the iron-clads, she should, similarly protected, pass Humaita and land Mr. WASHBURN and family *below* that fortress; which iron-clad, it is said, cannot repass that stronghold!

The Admiral's report of what has occurred on the Paraguay was placed in my hands on the 1st day of July, and on the 2d at 2 P. M. my Private Secretary and Clerk of this Legation, placed in the hands of the Minister of Foreign Affairs the official note of which inclosure B is a copy.

I assume that your opinions, as expressed in dispatches Nos. 170, 171, 174 and 180, and the instructions therein in regard to Mr. WASHBURN'S detention in going to his mission in 1866—there being no other mode of reaching it than by the Paraguay River—are equally binding upon me in regard to his *return*, when at your request a national vessel had been sent for him, in the well-grounded conviction that the settlement of this "annoying question" in 1866 was a finality. The offence at that time perpetrated against the "sovereignty and honor of the United States," might be palliated on the plea of ignorance, but not now; and consequently, its repetition by the Marquis DE CAXIAS, and the circumstances under which it has taken place are doubly offensive, and, doubtless, are intended so to be. Such, at all events, is public opinion here; and as the fact of Mr. WASHBURN'S return was duly known to this Government, and communicated to the Press eight days before the Admiral addressed me on the subject, and as up to this time it has not leaked out that I have moved in the matter, the triumph of Brazil is considered complete.

You will perceive by my note to the Minister of Foreign Affairs that I assume the Marquis DE CAXIAS has acted without authority, and that his conduct will be apologized for and disapproved; and, thereupon, I demand his *censure* in the language you used in instructing me in a similar demand in reference to the conduct of the President of Maranham; and consequently, I urge the immediate issue of instructions to permit one of our national vessels to proceed to Asuncion without delay or hindrance from the Allied forces at Curupaity.

I am, sir, your obedient servant,
J. WATSON WEBB.

Hon. WILLIAM H. SEWARD, Secretary of State.

MR. WEBB TO SENHOR SILVEIRA DE SOUZA.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, }
SAN CHRISTOVAM, July 1, 1868. }

The undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary from the United States, begs leave to inform His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councilor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, that he has this day received a communication from Rear-Admiral CHARLES H. DAVIS, commanding the South Atlantic Squadron, informing him, that the United States steamer *Wasp*, sent by him to Asuncion, for the purpose of bringing from that city the United States Minister, CHARLES A. WASHBURN and family, has been prevented by the commander of the Allied forces, from going to Asuncion, as her commanding officer was ordered to do, in the performance of a duty to which he was assigned by command of Admiral DAVIS, in obedience to instructions from the Secretary of the Navy, based upon an application from Hon. WILLIAM H. SEWARD, the Secretary of State of the United States; and in consequence of such grave denial of the rights of the United States, the commander of the steamer *Wasp*, after making repeated applications to pass the lines of the Allies engaged in a war against the Government of Paraguay, has returned to Montevideo, and reported to the commanding officer of the United States South Atlantic Squadron that he has been prevented from executing the duty upon which he was detached, by the peremptory refusal of the Commander-in-Chief of the Allied forces, Marquis DE CAXIAS, to permit him to pass his lines on the Paraguay.

This very extraordinary and most unjustifiable proceeding on the part of Marquis DE CAXIAS, "Commander-in-Chief of all the Brazilian forces, and in the interim of the Allied armies," as he signs himself, is in open defiance of the rights of the United States; and in view of what transpired between the undersigned and the Government of his Imperial Majesty in August, 1866, an outrage against "the sovereignty and honor of the United States," which calls for prompt and severe censure of the offender.

When, in 1861, the President of Maranham outraged the feelings of this friendly nation, by admitting the pirate *Sumter* within his ports, and furnished her with the necessary coals and provisions wherewith to continue her depredations upon the unarmed and unprotected commerce of the United States, and when the then Minister of Foreign Affairs justified the action of the President of Maranham, the Secretary of State of the United States said, "The proceedings of the President of Maranham and the approval of his conduct by the Minister of Foreign Affairs is intolerable;" and the undersigned was directed to "ask that such measures shall be taken in regard to the case as will make the President of Maranham sensible of His Majesty's displeasure."

The proceedings of the Marquis DE CAXIAS are of more offensive than was the conduct of the President of Maranham; because he (the Marquis) well knew, that in 1866 the United States had formally advised Brazil, that she would not permit no such violation of her sovereign rights as that which had thereupon reconsidered and reversed her offensive action. He has, therefore, acted with full knowledge that he was grossly offending the sovereignty and honor of the United States, and setting at defiance its remonstrances and the recognition of those remonstrances by Brazil.

Real-Admiral DAVIS, in his official note to the undersigned, says; "Acting under instructions from the Secretary of the Navy, I recently sent the United States steamer *Wasp*, of my squadron, to the seat of war, for the purpose of taking Mr. WASHBURN and family out of Paraguay, in compliance with a request from the Secretary of State to relieve WASHBURN from his embarrassing and probably dangerous situation." This humane purpose on the part of the Government of Washington has, as well knowing at the time that he was outraging the "sovereignty and honor of the United States," and setting at defiance the explicit understanding of which the United States and Brazil had arrived in 1866.

The undersigned, therefore, deems it his duty to ask such censure of the Marquis DE CAXIAS, as, in the name of his Government, he demanded, when the President of Maranham offensively placed the piratical vessel of parties in rebellion against the United States in the waters of equality with the national ships of the friendly nation against which they were in rebellion.

That Brazil will promptly and most emphatically repudiate the extraordinary and very unfriendly conduct of the Marquis DE CAXIAS the undersigned does not, for a moment, doubt; and as his indefensible interference has already caused a delay of at least four months in relieving the United States Minister and his family from what his Government consider an "embarrassing" and possibly "dangerous situation," the undersigned earnestly entreats that his Government of Brazil will, without any unnecessary delay, issue instructions that all hindrance to the United States steamer *Wasp* passing through the lines of the Allies, be withdrawn, and promptly advise the undersigned that such hindrance has altogether ceased, in order that he may inform the commanding officer of the United States South Atlantic Squadron that there will be no further interference by any representative of Brazil with the performance of his duty to respect and high consideration.

J. WATSON WEBB.

His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councilor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

SENHOR DE SOUZA TO MR. WEBB.

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, }
CENTRAL SECTION, No. 4. }
RIO DE JANEIRO, July 9, 1868.

The undersigned, Councilor to His Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, has the honor of acknowledging the note of the Hon. JAMES WATSON WEBB, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary for the United States, of yesterday.

In reply to Gen. WEBB'S note, the undersigned has the honor of calling his special attention to the following: The Marquis of Caxias could not recognize Lieut. KIRKLAND, a subaltern of the United States Navy, in command of the *Wasp*, as a competent person to make a direct request for permission for that vessel to pass the war lines of their very legal and effective blockade of the Paraguay River, which he only did toward the end of May, and not four months ago, as by so doing the blockade would have been broken.

In refusing that permission the Marquis of Caxias did not ignore any right whatever of the United States; nor did he in any way wish to offend, as he did not, its honor or its sovereignty. On the contrary, he thereby maintained an unquestionable right of sovereignty on the part of the Allies in the occupation of the waters and territories of that river, where they are at present engaged in war.

To have broken through that blockade in the manner which was and is requested—that is, that the *Wasp* should pass through the war lines of the Allies and their military positions into the heart of the enemy's territory which is blockaded, without disarrangement in the operations of the war, at the very moment, too, when important and perhaps decisive movements were impending, would have been, yes, the disregarding of a right of the belligerents which has never been questioned, would have diminished and weakened the sovereignty of a friendly Power without the least justification, besides subjecting it and its allies to difficulties in the speedy termination of a war in which their honor is compromised.

It is further added that the refusal of the Marquis of Caxias was not peremptory, as Gen. WEBB supposes. The Marquis DE CAXIAS objections to the way in which Lieut. KIRKLAND proposed to aid Minister WASHBURN to withdraw from Paraguay were accompanied by an exposition of the very weighty reasons he had for so doing, and at the same time offered him, with the greatest cordiality and deference, the choice of either of two means of arriving at the same result without producing any of the evil consequences which would result to the Allies from the admission of the proposal made by him in the name of that Minister.

These reasonable means, which would have reconciled, in a most dignified and effective manner, the rights of the Allies with the wishes of both Messrs. WASHBURN and KIRKLAND, were rejected by those gentlemen, who continue to insist in exacting a permission offensive to the sovereign rights of the said Allies.

One of the least of the inconveniences which would happen through satisfying the request of Lieut. KIRKLAND and Mr. WASHBURN would evidently be the nullification of the whole of the blockade established in Paraguay by the Allies, who would be laying themselves open to the reception of similar exactions from any other nation which might, under any pretext, demand a like privilege for its ships.

So true is this, and so worthy of consideration in view of the consequences, on the part of friendly Powers, that Admiral GODON himself, in 1866, when the passage of Mr. WASHBURN to Paraguay was in treaty, was the first to admit, merely begging in his request for the permitting of the passage up the river to Asuncion of the said Minister WASHBURN, that it should be done in any way which would harmonize with the dignity of the United States and have been most convenient to Brazil and its allies, further desiring that Mr. WASHBURN might be helped forward to his destination either by land or by water without placing any obstacle in his way.

This is just what the Marquis DE CAXIAS desired to effect in the present instance, had he not been denied the option.

Gen. WEBB alludes in his note to orders received by Rear-Admiral DAVIS from the Secretary of the Navy, at the request of Hon. Mr. SEWARD, Secretary of State for the United States, for the purpose of assisting Mr. WASHBURN to withdraw from Paraguay.

The undersigned begs leave, however, to impress upon Gen. WEBB that the text of those orders cannot but be subject to an intelligent analysis.

If a nation cannot, in equity, or justice, issue orders contrary to the rights or sovereignty of another, those to which Gen. WEBB refers were without doubt accompanied by some clause which would cause the execution of them to be carried out in a way not to offend those rights or that sovereignty, and having that in view, to enter into some arrangement both expedient and honorable with the nations interested in the matter. Otherwise it would be an outrage, and in the present instance an unwarranted one, since Brazil and its allies proposed and offered to realize a reasonable manner of enabling Mr. WASHBURN to retire from Paraguay, to which the undersigned has already alluded.

Gen. WEBB argues that the decision of the Marquis DE CAXIAS is in direct contradiction to the agreement entered into in August, 1866, between himself and the Imperial Government, and that the said Marquis seriously offended the honor and sovereignty of the United States, being perfectly aware at the time that he was doing so.

This view of the matter on the part of Gen. WEBB is unfounded; not only are the benevolent and friendly intentions of Brazil toward the United States evident in this case, but also that the refusal of the Marquis had nothing in it which was, or could be, offensive to the honor or sovereignty of those States, but on the contrary was the vindication of the legitimate right of the Allies so to act.

Putting aside these, no doubt, convincing considerations to Gen. WEBB, it remains for the undersigned to demonstrate to the General that the agreement of 1866, which he invokes, cannot properly be applied to this case.

In the first place, the Imperial Government never consented, except under protest, to the passing of the *Shamokin* through the war lines of the Allies, as proven for the purpose of carrying Mr. WASHBURN beyond them, and that protest really made against his passage by the Brazilian Admiral, when that steamer was on its way to Curupaity, proves that Brazil did not admit the fact as a right.

In the second place, even had Brazil and its allies, for reasons worthy of attention at that time and at that place, judged it expedient to waive some of their rights as belligerents in favor of a friendly Power, now perhaps they may be influenced by different motives; and that they have other and weightier ones, which prevent them from conceding now what they did then; further, the present request is not of the same nature of the one then advanced, since at that time the terms of that petition were more acceptable.

Finally, the very circumstances of the war are very different now from what they were then; the military movements and operations were not then so active as they are now, being about to terminate.

Gen. WEBB mentions the case of 1861, to ask that the conduct of the Marquis DE CAXIAS in the present shall be censured and peremptorily disapproved of, as he requested that that of the President of Maranhao should be in relation to the Sumter case; but as that affair does not bear the slightest relation to this one, the undersigned declines entering into any discussion whatever upon the subject.

To conclude, the Imperial Government does not consider that the proceedings of the Marquis of Caxias in this transaction are at all open to censure, but that, on the contrary, they merit its approbation; firstly, from the fact that his refusal was in perfect conformity to the rights and sovereignty of the Allies; and secondly, from the circumstance that though it was not in his power to concede the permission as requested by Messieurs KIRKLAND and WASHBURN, he did offer and place at their command, with the heartiest good will, the proper means for the safe and convenient conveyance of the American Minister from Paraguay.

The undersigned hopes that Gen. WEBB, conscious of the justice of the reasons given, and of the serious inconveniences which would have happened to the cause of the Allies from the upward passage along the river to Asuncion (centre of the enemy's operations) of the *Wasp*, under present circumstances, and from her remaining there in communication with that enemy without restriction as to time or manner, will, without hesitation, conform his wishes to that decision, and accept either of the ways proposed for the departure from Paraguay of Mr. WASHBURN, and that in pursuance of such acceptance transmit to Rear-Admiral DAVIS the necessary directions for the effecting of it. Meanwhile the Imperial Government will have no objection to accept any other alternative which may be suggested as equally effective and honorable by Gen. WEBB, provided that it be not the one already discussed, or a similar one, but that its conditions be such as to place no difficulties in the way of its acceptance by its allies at the River Plate, nor interfere with any plan they may conceive for the consummation of the desired end.

By these steps the Imperial Government endeavors to prove how great is its desire to, without injury or offence to its rights and sovereignty, or those of its allies, arrive at an early, and, to the United States, satisfactory termination of the question.

The undersigned repeats, &c. &c. &c.,
JOAO SILVIERA DE SOUZA.

Senhor Gen. JAMES WATSON WEBB, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the United States.

MR. WEBB TO SENHOR DE SOUZA.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, }
RIO DE JANEIRO, July 13, 1868. }

The undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary from the United States, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt, on the 9th inst., of a note, bearing that date, from His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councilor to His Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, in reply to his note of the 1st July instant.

The note of His Excellency, after reciting the contents of the undersigned's note of the 1st, says:

"The Marquis DE CAXIAS could not recognize Lieut. KIRKLAND, a subaltern in the United States Navy, in command of the *Wasp*, as a competent person to make a direct request for permission for that vessel to pass the war lines of their very legal and effective blockade, which he only did toward the end of May, and not four months ago."

In the first place, the marquis *did* recognize Lieut.-Commander KIRKLAND as a proper person to make the request he did, as appears from his prompt reply to his application.

Secondly—Lieut.-Commander KIRKLAND was not sent to Curupaity to make a "direct request for *permission* to pass the Allied lines." His destination was Asuncion; and presuming that Brazil would not again wound the sensibilities of the people of the United States, or outrage their sovereignty and honor, it was not deemed necessary for him to do more than courteously *notify* the Brazilian Commander-in-Chief of his presence, destination and purpose,—accompanied by the assurance that nothing would be done or permitted, militating against the rights of either of the belligerents or in derogation of the national character of his ship and flag.

Thirdly—His Excellency has misapprehended the remarks of the undersigned in regard to the delay of four months already produced by the action of the Marquis DE CAXIAS. If the *Wasp* had not been obstructed in her passage to Asuncion on the 25th April last, Mr. WASHBURN and family would probably have reached Montevideo early in MAY, whereas it is not possible for him to do so now until SEPTEMBER; and it may be still later, if the *modus operandi* of getting him and family from their "*embarrassing* and possibly *dangerous* situation" is to be determined at by Washington.

His Excellency the Minister of Foreign Affairs next denies that, in refusing to let the *Wasp* pass, the Marquis DE CAXIAS offended the sovereignty and honor of the United States, as is alleged by the Secretary of State, Mr. SEWARD, and he insists that, by so doing, he only maintained an unquestionable *right* of sovereignty on the part of the Allies. And it is insisted also that in stopping the *Wasp* the Marquis in no way violated the arrangement made between the late Chancellor, with Mr. WASHBURN on board, who was only allowed to pass the allied lines under *protest*.

On the subject of this protest the Honorable Secretary of State wrote to the undersigned as follows:

"The President, however, marks the incident that the opposition to the passage of Mr. WASHBURN was withdrawn under a protest. So far from considering the question of the *right* of Mr. WASHBURN to proceed to his destination as a debatable one, the United States cannot consent to agree to that question."

In the second place, you are authorized to state that the sensibilities of the American people have been wounded by the transaction.

I am not prepared to say that, in the absence of explanations by the Allies, the settlement of the question which has been made will be held to be satisfactory.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
WILLIAM H. SEWARD.

Your Excellency will perceive from the foregoing extracts from instructions from the Secretary of State of the United States, why the undersigned has not entered into any discussion of the rights of his Government in the question pending between it and the Allies, prosecuting a war against the Government and not the people of Paraguay. He has but one course left him to pursue, and that is, to urge upon Your Excellency's mind the "Chancellor's note of the 9th inst. And again, in the words of his note of the 1st inst., he earnestly entreats that the Government of Brazil will, without any unnecessary delay, issue instructions that all hindrance to the United States steamer *Wasp's* passing through the lines of the Allies on the Paraguay be withdrawn, and promptly advise the undersigned that all hindrance has altogether ceased."

The undersigned avails himself of this occasion to renew to his Excellency the assurances of his distinguished consideration.

J. WATSON WEBB.

His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councilor to his Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

[In response to this dispatch, the new Minister of Foreign Affairs, PARANHOS, reiterated the *approval* by Brazil of the conduct of the Marquis DE CAXIAS, and its determination not to permit the *Wasp* to pass their lines. Gen. WEBB, in reply, increased the severity of his remarks, and named a day on which he must have his passports unless his demands were complied with. Conferences ensued; the last dispatches of both parties were withdrawn, and the *Wasp* was permitted to go up.]

MR. WEBB TO MR. SEWARD.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, }
BOA VIAGEM, opposite RIO, Aug. 7, 1868—6 P.M. }

SIR: In my last I stated, that the Minister of Foreign Affairs desired me to ask for a conference, which I had declined doing; at the same time expressing my readiness to wait upon him at the Foreign Office whenever desired to do so. I was in consequence, invited to a conference on the 1st of August.

We accordingly were in conference two and one-half hours on the 1st, three hours on the 4th and nearly three hours to-day. I having just returned from the Foreign Office.

The result was, that I left with the Minister my dispatch herein inclosed and marked No. 4, he having previously explained that in no event should the *Wasp* be impeded in her course to Asuncion. It is understood that PARANHOS' note, "subject only to such trifling delay as may arise from the active execution of any military operation which may transpire at the time;" means, simply, that if, when the *Wasp* arrives at the front, the Brazilians are actually firing at the enemy, they shall not be required to cease firing; but that, in no event, the *Wasp* shall wait until the firing ceased. To this I assented, stipulating, however, that if the *Wasp* thought proper to pass between, and under the fire of the belligerents, she should have a right to do so; and in like manner the belligerents would be at liberty to sink her if they can, and she is sufficiently foolhardy to invite destruction.

* * * * * * *

I have the honor to be, very respectfully, your obedient servant,
J. WATSON WEBB.

Hon. WILLIAM H. SEWARD, Secretary of State, Washington, D.C.

In a letter dated Rio de Janeiro, Aug. 5, 1868, Senhor PARANHOS replies to Mr. WEBB'S note of July 13, relative to the passage of the *Wasp* through the blockade established by the Allies in the waters of the Paraguay River. He states that the question was simply as to the *entry* or *manner*, and not as to the *right*, of the Minister to pass through the lines. Senhor PARANHOS concludes his letter as follows:

In pursuance to an agreement with Mr TORRENT, and in the hope that that agreement will be approved by the Governments represented by the Allied Governments at the River Plata, the undersigned proceeds to propose to Gen. WEBB a solution of the question, which cannot fail to be considered as satisfactory, as well by the Government of the United States as by its representative accredited near His Majesty the Emperor.

Mr. WASHBURN thought it impossible that President LOPEZ would consent to the passing of a Brazilian steamer under a flag of truce, for the inoffensive purpose of aiding him to retire, as mentioned before. Well, then, the Government of His Majesty, in its own name and those of its Allies, will most willingly accede to the descent from Asuncion of the United States Minister, on board of a Paraguayan vessel, under a flag of truce, to Curupaity, or to the most advanced post of the Allies, the *Wasp* to go up to that point whenever it may suit, and there to receive on board the diplomatic agent of his country.

Apparently the material difficulty pointed out by Mr. WASHBURN will be thus removed; there will be complete reciprocity of the just and friendly propositions which are advanced on both sides; in a word, the rights and supreme necessities of the belligerents will be in harmony with the passing and returning of the representative of the United States.

But the Imperial Government and its Allies will carry their deference to the United States beyond that. Its representative near this Court and at the Republic of Paraguay hold that they should not accept either of these methods, or that the return of Mr. WASHBURN can be only effected safely and conveniently by the *Wasp's* going to receive him at the point of embarkation, when the Allies will agree that a United States vessel of war shall proceed to that point for that purpose, that vessel being subject only to such trifling delay as may arise from the active execution of any military operation which may transpire at the time; the Allies being well assured that the assertions of Gen. WEBB (which they never have doubted) with regard to the observance of the duties of a strict neutrality on the part of the vessel and of the representative of the Union shall most rigorously be carried out.

The undersigned avails himself of the opportunity to renew to Gen. WEBB the assurances of his high consideration.

JOSE MARIA DA SILVA PARANHOS.

MR. WEBB TO SENATOR PARANHOS.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, }
BOA VIAGEM, Aug. 5, 1868. }

The undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary from the United States, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a note from His Excellency JOSE MARIA DE SILVA PARANHOS, Councelor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, dated yesterday, August the 5th, and which is in reply to a note from the undersigned addressed to the predecessor of His Excellency, dated July the 13th, remonstrating against the decision of the late Government, approving of the conduct of the Marquis DE CAXIAS in refusing to permit the United States steamer *Wasp* to pass his military lines on her way to Asuncion, the capital of Paraguay, for the purpose of bringing from that capital His Excellency CHARLES A. WASHBURN, the duly accredited Minister of the United States to Paraguay, and thus relieve him and his family from what the Government of the United States declared to be "disagreeable and probably dangerous situation."

The undersigned is greatly impressed with the friendly spirit in this note from His Excellency the Minister of Foreign Affairs, and tenders to him his sincere acknowledgments for the cordial and frank manner in which he has complied with the wishes of the Government of the United States.

His Excellency, in reconsidering the too hasty action of his predecessor, and in recognizing the propriety and necessity of a movement by the Government of the United States for the relief of its diplomatic agent in Paraguay, and the mode adopted for that relief, has given another and most acceptable proof, on the part of the Government and Emperor of Brazil, of their determination to reciprocate the friendly feelings which have always controlled the action of the United States in its intercourse with all the Governments of the Continent of America. An "American policy," based upon the advanced civilization of the world, the progress of the age, the interests and wants of new peoples—in contradistinction to a policy which is, of necessity, the offspring of the past, and fettered by the thought in action of the period in which it originated—is recognized by all American statesmen, whether of the North or of the South. And to secure to the Governments of America the benefits of such an "American policy," it is of primary importance that the United States, and all the Governments on this Continent—all of them being constitutional Governments, should cultivate among each other the most friendly intercourse and the closest political alliance compatible with the different forms of government, to accomplish this great work, and to draw closer together the American States and Brazil, has been the one great object of the undersigned during his long residence at this Court; and he is happy to recognize in the dispatch of his Excellency the evidence of a similar feeling and purpose.

Orders have been forwarded by Rear-Admiral DAVIS, commanding the United States South Atlantic Squadron on this station, to Lieut.-Commander KIRKLAND, commanding the United States steamer *Wasp*, to proceed at once to Asuncion for Mr. WASHBURN and his family; and it would be idle for the undersigned to assure your Excellency that, under no circumstances and in no conceivable event, will the progress of that vessel to or from Asuncion, impede, or in any way be injurious, to the interests of the Allies waging war against the Government of Paraguay.

The undersigned is happy to avail himself of the occasion presented, to renew to your Excellency, the assurances of his cordial respect and grateful consideration.

J. WATSON WEBB.

JOSE MARIA DA SILVA PARANHOS, Councillor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

MR. SEWARD TO MR. WEBB.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, }
WASHINGTON, Aug. 17, 1868. }

SIR: Your dispatch of the —— day of July, No. 68, has been received.

I learn from it that Rear-Admiral DAVIS, who commands the United States South Atlantic Squadron, has sent the United States ship-of-war *Wasp* up the Parana, with a view to bring the United States Minister, CHARLES A. WASHBURN, and his family, from Asuncion, the capital of Paraguay, and thereby remove them from their embarrassing and possibly dangerous situation; and that the Marquis DE CAXIAS, the commander of the allied forces, refused to permit the *Wasp* to pass through his blockade up to Asuncion, in consequence of which refusal the *Wasp* returned to Montevideo, after a delay of forty-seven days, without having accomplished the object of her voyage. Your dispatch is accompanied by a copy of a note which, on the first of July last, you addressed to His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs of his Majesty the Emperor of Brazil, in which note you made complaint of this proceeding of the Marquis DE CAXIAS, and request that the same might be disapproved, and that the *Wasp* might, without delay, be allowed to proceed to Asuncion for the purpose of removing Mr. WASHBURN and his family. I find in an appendix to these papers a correspondence concerning the events which were the basis of your note to the Brazilian Secretary, in which correspondence are contained letters from Rear-Admiral DAVIS, Commander KIRKLAND, of the *Wasp*, CHARLES A. WASHBURN, Esq., United States Minister in Paraguay, and Marquis DE CAXIAS. I have also received from Mr. MORAN, late a United States Charge d'Affaires in London, a cable telegram, from which it would appear that you have intimated a purpose to ask for a passport in case the Brazilian Government should refuse to allow the request contained in your note before mentioned. I further find a reference to this latter point in your dispatch of the 8th of July, No. 69, which has been previously acknowledged. From this last paper I learn that at the time it was written no answer to your note had been received from the Brazilian Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and that, in the event of an unfavorable reply, you contemplated making a request for a passport and an immediate withdrawal from Brazil.

The grave and critical issue which it thus appears was pending at the date of your despatch has probably before this time reached a solution, although many days may elapse before that conclusion shall become officially known to this Government. It would be impossible, under these circumstances, to give you definitive instructions upon the course to be pursued in the possible event of a sanction of the proceeding of the Marquis DE CAXIAS by the Brazilian Government; nor am I now authorized, nor is it deemed necessary, to ask such unauthentic information as we have, to pronounce the sense of the Government concerning your contemplated demand for a passport and the consequent close of your mission at Rio.

It is thought, however, to be neither improper nor premature to consider the several matters in regard to the *Wasp* which are expressly brought in review by your dispatch.

The proceedings of Rear-Admiral DAVIS in directing the *Wasp* to go up the Parana to Asuncion for the purpose mentioned is approved.

This Government holds that it has a lawful right to send a ship of war up the Parana to Asuncion, for the purpose of receiving the United States Minister and his family, and conveying them from the scene of siege and war to neutral territory or waters; that the refusal of Marquis DE CAXIAS to permit the *Wasp* to pass up to Asuncion violates becoming comity on the part of Brazil and the Allies toward the United States, and is in contravention of the law of nations; and to its contravention of the law of nations that Mr. WASHBURN and Commander KIRKLAND justifiably and properly declined the alternatives offered by the Marquis DE CAXIAS. The requests upon the Brazilian Government, expressed in your note to the Secretary of Foreign Affairs, are approved and adopted.

Should this dispatch find you in the performance of your official duties, you will read it to the Brazilian Secretary of Foreign Affairs, and deliver him a copy if he shall desire it.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
WILLIAM H. SEWARD.

JAMES WATSON WEBB, Esq., &c.

MR. SEWARD TO MR. WEBB.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Sept. 15, 1868.

SIR: I have to acknowledge the receipt of your dispatch of the 7th of August, which is without number, and which is accompanied by a copy of the final correspondence which has taken place between yourself and Mr. PARANHOS, the Brazilian Secretary for Foreign Affairs, relating to the opposition which was offered by the Marquis DE CAXIAS to the voyage of the *Wasp* up the Parana to River, with the purpose of relieving Mr. WASHBURN and his family at Asuncion.

Your proceedings in the settlement of that vexatious case, as they are represented in your dispatch and the accompanying correspondence, *are approved and commended*.

You will assure the Marquis de PARANHOS that this Government highly appreciates the just and friendly manner in which the transaction has been brought to a satisfactory end on the part of the Government of Brazil.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
WILLIAM H. SEWARD.

JAMES WATSON WEBB, Esq., &c., &c., &c.

THE GAMBLE CASE.

The Remains of Mrs. Gamble Found in this City—Action of Coroner Schirmer—The Body to be Reinterred.

It will be remembered that in the early part of the month of November, one MICHAEL MURPHY, of Hudson City, N. J., applied to Coroner FLAVIN, of Kings County, for a warrant against MATILDA HUJUS and ROBERT J. GAMBLE, of Clarkstown, N. Y., husband of MARGARET J. GAMBLE, who died at Clarkstown, N. Y., on the 2d of last August under suspicious circumstances. The charge made against these persons was that they had poisoned Mrs. GAMBLE, and on the inquest Prof. R. OGDEN DOREMUS testified that he had discovered traces of poison in the body of the deceased fourteen days after death. As the Coroner could find no proper evidence against either of the accused they were discharged. An injunction was subsequently granted by one of the Supreme Court Judges to restrain Coroner FLAVIN from further proceeding in the case, and an injunction had previously been issued upon Coroner QUACKENBUSH, of Rockland County. Since the cessation of official inquiry on the part of the Kings County Coroner the body of the deceased has been in this City without burial, and on the request of the Board of Health Coroner SCHIRMER assumed jurisdiction in the case, and yesterday granted a permit for the removal of the remains.

In order to do this in proper form, Coroner SCHIRMER yesterday summoned a jury at the Bellevue Hospital Dead-house, and proceeded to make such investigation in the case as was deemed by him necessary. The Coroner first administered an oath to the jury, requiring them to make an investigation over the remains of a woman, contained in a coffin then lying at the Dead-house, supposed to be those of one MARGARET J. GAMBLE. As the jury appeared a little confused at this language, the Coroner briefly addressed them and made some explanations, as follows:

GENTLEMEN: I now intend before we proceed with this case to state to you how the case came into my hands. On the 29th day of last November the following letter from the Sanitary Superintendent of the Metropolitan Board of Health:

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY SUPERINTENDENT }
METROPOLITAN BOARD OF HEALTH, }
No. 301 MOTT-ST., NEW-YORK, Dec. 30, 1868. }

William Schirmer, M. D., Coroner City and County of New-York:

SIR: I have respectfully to transmit the inclosed preamble and resolution of the Metropolitan Board of Health, and to request that you will reply as soon as possible, informing me of what steps you propose to take in the case. Very respectfully, your obedient servant,
E. B. DALTON,
Sanitary Superintendent Metropolitan Sanitary Department.

The following are the preamble and resolution alluded to in the above letter:

OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN BOARD OF HEALTH, }
NO. 301 MOTT-STREET, NEW-YORK, Dec. 30, 1868. }

Dr. E. B. Dalton, Sanitary Superintendent:

SIR: At a meeting of the Metropolitan Board of Health, held at its office, on the 29th of December, 1868, the following preamble and resolution were adopted:

Whereas, The body of a deceased woman supposed to be that of Mrs. GAMBLE, has been found upon a vehicle, in the streets of this City, and has been placed at the Morgue, and the circumstances relating to the same are such, in the opinion of this Board, as to demand an inquest, and it not appearing that any inquest has been held, be it

Resolved, That the Superintendent of this Board transmit a copy of this resolution to some Coroner of this City, and request such Coroner to at once hold inquest on said body, and that the Superintendent report to this Board the reply and action of such Coroner.

(Signed) EMMONS CLARK, Secretary.
E. B. DALTON, Sanity Superintendent M. B. H.

I made an immediate report to Superintendent DALTON, in which I announced to him that I had examined the condition of the remains, and that I considered it both proper and necessary that some action should be taken to have the remains, in the condition of the remains, through decomposition, was prejudicial to the public health. Although the case was properly outside of the jurisdiction of the Board of Coroners, and in the County of New-York, I said I would be ready to proceed in the matter as soon as the necessary evidence was furnished. The Board of Health agreed to co-operate with me in furnishing such evidence. We found the greatest difficulty in obtaining access to the witnesses we shall to-day examine before you, as they were hindered from communicating with me by persons whose names I will not here repeat, as their conduct does not form a matter for your inquiry or consideration. After a long and continued effort, I have ultimately succeeded in procuring the attendance of the witnesses, who will appear before you in a few minutes. These persons will be examined simply to ascertain whether they can identify the remains as those of MARGARET J. GAMBLE. Their identification or non-identification of the body will determine the course of our conduct. The witnesses to be examined are the two brothers and the sister of MARGARET J. GAMBLE, and we now proceed to view the remains of the deceased woman now in my custody, which I will here remark have been preserved intact by the kindness which I found them on assuming charge of the case on the 31st of December.

The Coroner, his jury and the three witnesses then proceeded to the charnel-house and viewed the remains. They were contained in a coffin, the lid of which had been screwed down, and the coffin had been boxed up for the purpose of removal. The remains were in a very offensive condition, owing to the decomposition which had naturally occurred. As soon as the relatives had made such examination of the coffin and its contents as was possible, the Coroner proceeded to the inquest chamber and elicited the following evidence:

Mary Murphy, (Sister Cornuna,) a Sister of Charity in the Orphan Asylum, testified, I recognize the remains shown me as those of my sister, Mrs. Margaret J. Gamble, from the fact that I recognize the plate of the coffin shown me as correctly inscribed; second, by the wreath and pictures contained in the coffin, the pictures bearing words in my own handwriting; third, by the rosary box which I identify as once belonging to my deceased sister; fourth, I also recognize the remains from the peculiar shape of the feet and toes; fifth, by a slight deformation on left hand, the result of burns; I am unable to recognize the features in consequence of decomposition.

Thomas Murphy, being sworn, was cautioned by the Coroner that he must confine his testimony to answering just such questions as might be put to him. Coroner SCHIRMER remarked that if the witness did not do so he would allude to persons and facts that the Coroner could take no official notice of. The witness then testified as follows: I reside at Hudson City, N. J.; I am a carman; I have viewed the box and coffin containing the body of deceased; I recognize the box and coffin as those I carried from Greenwood Cemetery to Piermont, Rockland County, N. Y., and from thence to the office of the Metropolitan Board of Health, at No. 301 Mott-street, and from thence to the Morgue; in consequence of the advanced stage of decomposition, I find it impossible to identify the remains in any other way; I am satisfied that the body is that of my sister.

Michael Murphy also testified: I reside at Hudson City, N. J., and am a blacksmith; I recognize the body just shown me by the plate of the coffin, the appearance of the thumb on the left hand, and the shape of the feet; I also recognize the prayer book and picture in the coffin, and by the garments; I am quite sure that the deceased was my sister, as I recognize the teeth; is the same coffin in which the deceased was found when disinterred in Greenwood Cemetery.

John O'Brien, Keeper of the Bellevue Hospital Dead-house testified—On the 26th of November (Thanksgiving Day) a box and coffin containing the dead body of a woman was brought to me by an officer of the Board of Health, who delivered an order to Warden Brennan, directing him to keep the body for all some investigation could be had; on the 21st of December Coroner Schirmer visited the dead-house, and by his direction I opened the

for 200 boys, and an average of 170 have made it their home during the past year. 6,944 different boys have been lodged and fed there during the year, an increase of 709 per cent. over any previous year. The current expenses of the institution for the year 1868 amounted to $12,197 61, but including the expense of moving and setting up the new Home, it amounted to $16,696 74. The expenditures are for below the average increase of its usefulness, taking into consideration the high prices of living.

The night school has an average attendance of 80 boys, who manifest great interest in their studies. Of the 6,944 boys entertained in the Home last year, 4,617 could read and write, 2,327 could read only, and 1,761 could neither read nor write. 3,295 were orphans, 4,002 were half orphans, and 1,627 had both parents living. 896 lost boys were restored to their friends during the year and 745 were placed in Western homes; 54,093 meals and 49,618 lodgings were furnished them.

The ages of the boys range between four and eighteen. There were but five at the youngest and seventy-three at the oldest limit in the Lodging-house last year; 3,063 of them were thirteen years old, and 2,341 fourteen years. The nativities of the lads were: United States, 4,410; Ireland, 3,289, and the remaining 1,945 embraced all other nationalities. The boys contributed $5,044 toward the expenses of the Home last year, being an increase of fourteen per cent. over the previous year. Meals and lodgings are furnished at six cents each, and about seventy per cent. of the lads pay for them.

There is a saving bank connected with the institution, in which sixty-six boys monthly make deposits. Last year 796 boys saved $9,067 76, or an average of $2 59 each in this bank. There is a loan fund also connected with it, from which honest and industrious boys can borrow small sums to start them in business. One hundred and fifty-eight dollars were loaned from this fund last year, on which $310 were realized exclusive of the investment.

ASSISTANT ALDERMEN.

Twenty Thousand Dollars Appropriated for the Celebration of Washington's Birthday—The War Expenses of the City.

The Board met at 2 P. M. yesterday—the President in the chair.

A resolution that all public offices of the Corporation be hereafter closed at 3 P. M., was adopted.

A resolution to donate $10,000 to the Superiors of the Order of St. Dominque, was referred.

Resolutions empowering the Clerk of the Board to appoint an engrossing clerk and second and third assistant clerks, each to receive a salary of $2,500 per annum, were laid over.

Resolutions from the other Board appointing a weekly and two of the penny evening papers Corporation papers, were concurred in.

The following was read:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to refund to John H. McCabe, contractor for building sewers in Eighty-fifth, Eighty-sixth and Eighty-seventh streets, between the Fourth and Fifth avenues, in the City of New-York, on his assigns, the sum of $404, the said sum having been deducted from the amount of his contracts for the construction of the above-mentioned sewers, for 101 days overtime in finishing said sewers; also for the sum of $244 for 61 days over-time, deducted from contracts for the construction of sewers at the foot of Forty-sixth-street and Hudson River and Forty-first-street and Hudson River.

Mr. GIBNEY held he did not understand the resolution.

Mr. ROBERTS said that he was opposed to this and all similar resolutions. Mr. MCCABE had entered into a contract with his eyes open, and he should be compelled to fulfill it.

Mr. PINCKNEY said that if the resolution was adopted the Board would virtually be violating the charter by giving the contract not to the lowest but to the highest bidder.

The resolution was laid over.

The resolution from the other Board appropriating $20,000 for the celebration of the anniversary of WASHINGTON'S birthday was called up.

Mr. PINCKNEY wanted to know how the cash was to be expended. Twenty thousand dollars was a large sum—too much to spend on an annual celebration. He moved that the amount be reduced to $5,000.

This motion was lost.

Mr. ROBERTS said that last year the fireworks on WASHINGTON'S birthday were not witnessed by over one thousand persons. It would be far better to give the money to the poor, and he moved that the $20,000 be handed over to the Commissioners of Charities and Correction. If WASHINGTON were alive he would approve of this manner of disposing of the money.

The motion was of course lost, and the resolution was adopted.

The following general order was called up:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of WILLIAM A. BOOTH, for the sum of $2,500, for extra services rendered in disbursing the sum of $1,379,613 34, at various times during the years 1863-4-5-6-7-8.

Mr. PINCKNEY said they had no information as to what this money was disbursed for. Mr. HERRING was appointed City Auditor in 1863, with a salary of $4,000; then it was raised to $6,000, and now he gets $8,000. He thought this ample remuneration for all the work performed. At any rate, he knew plenty of competent persons who will take the position for the same money.

The resolution was concurred in.

The following was read:

Resolved, That a Special Committee of five members of each branch of the Common Council be appointed to proceed to the City of Washington, and confer with the proper officials of the United States Government the necessity and propriety of settling the claim of the City of New-York, being for moneys voluntarily tendered at a time when such tenders were of very great importance to the General Government, and upon the promise then given, or at least implied, that such money would be at once returned, or returned to the City of New-York, the expense attending the journey to and from Washington City, and all other incidental expenses to be paid by the Comptroller, on presentation of the proper vouchers, signed by a majority of the Special Committee.

Mr. PINCKNEY said he did not think the Committee would get the cash. At any rate, he felt disposed to limit them in their expenditures. Their fares to and from Washington would cost $900; hotel expenses for one week, $350; carriages, $150; incidentals, $100—making, say $1,500 in all. He moved that that amount be inserted in the resolution.

The motion was, as usual, lost and the resolution was concurred in.

Resolutions to pave Allen-street and Coenties-slip with Belgian pavement were adopted.

A communication was read from Typographical Union No. 6, stating that WYNKOOP & HALLENBECK refuse to pay the Union scale of prices, and asking that the Corporation printing be therefore given to some firm that will pay Union prices. It was referred to the Committee on Printing.

A petition of WILLIAM C. RHINELANDER and others, that Park-place be extended by widening Robinson-street, from College-place to West-street, was read, and in connection therewith the following resolution of the Board of Aldermen:

Resolved, That Park-place be extended westerly in a straight line to West-street, by widening Robinson-street on the northerly and southerly sides thereof, from College-place to West-street, so as to make the said Robinson-street of a uniform width of 80 feet throughout, from College-place to West-street, and that the Counsel to the Corporation is hereby directed to take the necessary legal proceedings to carry this resolution into effect.

The resolution was adopted and the Board adjourned to Monday next.

Fruit-Growers' Club.

This Club convened yesterday at the usual place, with Dr. J. E. SNODGRASS in the chair, who commenced the exercises by referring to the operations of the Agricultural Bureau at Washington, which have hitherto been somewhat inefficient. His proposition was to have the Club take some action with reference to inducing Congress to pass a law which will place the Agricultural and Horticultural Department on an even basis with other Departments of the Government. This subject was referred to the Committee.

The subject of repelling borers from ornamental trees was then discussed at some length.

W. S. CARPENTER stated that strong paper may be wrapped around the body of a tree, and tied.

Mr. FULLER suggested that the paper be tarred.

THOS. CAVANAGH stated that in Brooklyn, coal tar has been employed extensively to protect trees from borers and goats, by smearing the bodies of trees with the tar.

Mr. CARPENTER objected to this application as liable to be dangerous to the health of the trees.

Mr. FULLER then offered a resolution to the effect that the Chairman and six members of the Club be designated as a committee to communicate with the President of the United States and Congress, with reference to the subject already alluded to.

Dr. F. M. HEXAMER was then introdneed, when he proceeded to read an interesting paper on the cultivation of the potato. He stated that a potato is neither a fruit nor a vegetable; but an under-ground stem. A given mode of cultivating potatoes in one locality, cannot be recommended as suitable for another part of the country. A clover sod plowed in the Autumn and cross plowed in the Spring, is one of the most satisfactory preparations of potato-ground that can be recommended. Small potatoes, he said, are not fit for seed. The best six varieties he thinks are the Early Rose, Early Goodrich, and for medium or main crop, the Harison, as it is comparatively free from rot. The next best is the Lapstone Kidney. For a late potato, the Peach Blow. This is one of the choicest varieties of potatoes that are now cultivated. I would also, he said, plant the Gleason, which is one of the best late potatoes. He also named the Early Cottage, Calico, Garnet, Chili, Dyke man, the seed of which, he said, is more sought for now than almost any other potato. He would not advise farmers to spend their money for seed potatoes of fabulous prices. He showed one potato that Mr. BLISS holds at $50. After varieties have been proved satisfactorily, he said, then let farmers purchase, if they like. The table was spread with about fifty varieties of potatoes, bearing comparatively new names.

Mr. CARPENTER gave his manner of cultivating potatoes. He makes his teams do a large proportion of the labor. He deposits the seed about five inches deep. If the cultivation be well done, the use of a hand-hoe is scarcely necessary. The common plow, he thought, is the most efficient implement for digging the potato. A very important consideration in the cultivation of potatoes is a change of seed. He would change his seed every season. He could not recommend the peachblow, as it is not worth growing on account of its great liability to rot.

Mr. CAVANAGH, of Long Island, stated that most farmers on Long Island send North for their seed every season.

Mr. O. P. BROWNING stated that he had known of the finest potatoes where potatoes were planted for several years in succession on the same field to be the means of preventing the rot.

Dr. HOLBROOK related his experience in the culture of potatoes, which was satisfactorily successful by planting potato tops, filling in a few inches of tanbark, covering it with two inches in depth of soil, scattering a liberal quantity of salt on the surface, and planting the seed on this well-prepared bed, about four inches lower than the surface of the ground.

Much more was said about degeneration of varieties which was about as reliable as random guessing.

The American Pomological Society.

This Society, as noticed more fully in the DAILY TIMES of Thursday, continued in session all day yesterday, at the Astor House, with Hon. MARSHALL P. WILDER presiding, and F. R. ELLIOTT, Secretary. The task of reviewing and revising the catalogue of all kinds of fruit is still in progress. An invitation was tendered by the Fruit Growers' Club to the American Pomological Society to attend the session of the Club yesterday, but the Society declined, on account of the urgency of the task in which they were then engaged.

Alleged Fraud Upon Piano Dealers.

Two persons giving the names of W. J. AIMES and CHARLES L. DENMAN, were yesterday arraigned before Justice MANSFIELD, at the Essex Market Police Court, charged with a peculiar and adroit fraud. It was alleged, in behalf of SCHMIDT, SCHMIDT & Co., No. 719 Fifth-street, that AIMES had called at their warerooms, and stating that he was acting for DENMAN, purchased a piano worth $800, which he directed to be sent to the residence of the latter, No. 42 South Oxford-street, Brooklyn, to whom the bill was to be presented, at his office, in Pine-street. The bill was presented to DENMAN, and that person having succeeded in arresting a belief in his solvency, the representative of the firm took in payment the note of DENMAN for $800, payable in thirty days. The piano was delivered, and when the note was due it was discovered that no person named DENMAN had an account, and it was of course not paid. Subsequently it was found that the piano had been removed from No. 42 South Oxford-street, where DENMAN was only a boarder, within a few days after it was delivered. Both prisoners were held for examination upon this charge, and also upon a similar one made by RITTER & CO., No. 229 East Twenty-second-street.

New Buildings Authorized.

The following are the localities where applications have been granted for the erection of buildings, during the past week, by the Superintendent of Public Buildings:

South side of 131st-st., near 10th-av., by John Breen; No. 35 2d-av., by Patrick Durkee; No. 35 Norfolk-st., by Philip Ottman; northwest corner 2d-av. and 77th-st., by John D. Hems; No. 339 East 119th-st., by James K. Wasson; No. 53 Washington-st., by Dr. Thos. C. Chalmers; No. 200 West 43d-st., by Louis Dorr; No. 606 East 16th-st., by Thos. Cunningham; Nos. 236 and 238 West 28th-st., by John Farrar; northwest corner 14th-st. and 16th-av., by N. B. Cotrell; corner 1st-av. and 65th-st., by Chas. C. Reed; south side 106th-st., near East River, by D. M. Koehler & Co.; south side 106th-st., near East River, by Eugene A. Livingston; No. 113 East Houston-st., by Wm. Wright; Nos. 208, 210, 212 and 214 Forsyth-st., by Wm. S. Wright.

These buildings are all to be of brick, except that of N. B. COTTRELL, which is to be an office of corrugated iron. That of Dr. CHALMERS will be a marble fronted store. Those of CHARLES C. REED and D. M. KOEHLER & Co. are small factories, the former of two stories, for the manufacture of varnish, and the latter of one story. PHILIP OTTMAN'S building is to be an ice-house, and that of JOHN BREEN a school.

The Supply of Ice.

A comparison of the reports of different ice companies leads to the conclusion that the supply of ice, although it will probably meet the demand next Summer, will not be so abundant as usual. Up to yesterday the Companies had their houses, on an average, three-quarters full. If the river should freeze again the houses might be filled, but a few days of such weather as that of yesterday will put an end to the ice harvest.

The New-York companies have also supply the companies in Philadelphia, Baltimore and Washington, where hardly any ice at all has been laid in. The price of ice will be somewhat higher than it was last year. It is said that the cost of getting the ice is greater this year than heretofore, owing to strikes on the part of the workmen, increased wharf rent, and other causes.

Camp-stools in Theatres.

The practice, common in the theatres of this City, of crowding the aisles with camp-stools when the seats become filled, has been vigorously opposed by the Commissioner of Public Buildings, and is no longer followed. A man is sent to each theatre regularly every night, who takes note of any transgression of this law, and obtains the number of persons in the audiences. It is thought that the crowding of aisles would be a serious impediment to the egress of spectators in case of fire. Much fault is found by the Commissioner with the present defective arrangements of certain theatres in regard to means of escape, which will be embodied in his forthcoming report.

Hon. DANIEL P. LEWIS, one of the original Union men in Alabama, has written a letter urging the people of his State to regard reconstruction as a fixed fact, recognise universal suffrage, and accept with confidence and trust the administration of Gen. GRANT, "not laying at his door the injustice which stings the Union men of 1860."

There is trouble about the office of Chief of Police of Utica. One party has brought suit against the incumbent to remove him on the charge of usurpation, and another party has obtained an injunction restraining them from interfering with him.

Mr. JOSIAH STICKNEY, of Boston, has given the income of $12,000 for thirty years to the Massachusetts Horticultural Society for the purchase of books, to the end of thirty years it is to go to Harvard College.

MONETARY AFFAIRS.

Sales at the Stock Exchange—FEB. 11, 1869.

$20,000 U. S. 6s, '81, R.	112	15,000 C., C. & I. C.1st.	96
40,000 U. S. 6s, '81, C..	113⅝	20 Tenth Nat. Bank.	100
52,000 U. S. 5-20, C., '65	112¼	5 Amer. Ex. Bank..	113½
40,000 U. S. 5-20, C., '65	112⅝	17 Merchants' Bank.	125
new	109⅝	60 B'k of Commerce.	128
110,000 U. S. 5-20 C. '67.	109⅝	200 Canton Co....	63¼
110,000 do.....s3	109⅝	100 do.....b60	64
10,000 do.....b10	110	145 Del. & Hud. Can.	128
10,000 U. S. 5-20 C., '68	110⅛	21 West U. T.....	38
5,000 U. S. 5s, 10-40 R.	103	200 do.....b30	38¼
16,000 U. S. 5s, 10-40 C.	109½	100 Mariposa M. Co....	9
3,000 Brook. 6s, W.L.	98½	100 do.....b10	9
20,000 Tenn. 6s, new	66½	100 do.....	9⅛
25,000 do..bet. call	66½	100 Mariposa Pf.....	28
4,000 do..2d call	66½	100 Pacific Mail.....	114½
10,000 do.....	66	200 do.....	114¼
5,000 Tenn. 6s, ex. c. 2d call	66¼	100 Adams Express...	68¾
2,000 N.Y. St. 7s, Bty.	109¼	200 do.....	69
20,000 Ga. 6s, new bds.	93½	1300 N. Y. Central....	105
2,000 N. Car. St. 6s...	62	300 Harlem.....	17½
21,000 Va. St. 6s, ex. c	56¼	8 Mich. Central....	119⅜
bet. call.....	56¼	100 Mich. Southern..	94¾
12,000 do.....b5	56½	500 do.....	94⅞
11,000 Va. St. 6s, new bet. call....	62⅜	100 do.....b15	94¾
5,000 Mo. St. 6s, bet.c.	93⅛	10 do.....s30	92⅞
25,000 do.....c.	86⅛	25 Clev. & Tol.....	104⅜
5,000 Ala. St. 5s, Bds. bet. call....	94	400 do.....	104⅜
3,000 Erie 2d M.....	92⅛	100 Lake Shore.....	103⅝
4,000 Erie 4th M.....	88	100 do.....	103¾
10,000 Mich. So. S.F.B	96⅛	420 Mil. & St. Paul..	68
1,000 Mich. Com. S., new Bds.....	119⅛	100 do.....s5	68⅛
20,000 C. & N. W. Con. Conv't Bds....	94	100 do.....b5	68¼
5,000 do.....bet. call	94¼	200 P., Ft.W. & C....	113½
2,000 Mich. S. 2d M..	93	100 do.....b60	113¾
1,000 T. & W. Equip. B	78	75 Chi. & Alton Pf..	136⅛
5,000 C. & R. I. 1st M. P. R. 7s.....	95	100 Ohio & Miss.....	35¼
2,000 C. & R. I. 1st M. B	101	300 Han. & St. Jo. Pf.	100
1,000 Mor. & Es. 1st	97⅞	bet. call.....	110
5,000 M. & St. P. 8s, 1st	103¾	100 Col., C. & I. Con.	48
2,000 Chi. & G. E. 1st.	85½		

SECOND BOARD—12½ P. M.

$30,000 U. S. 6s, '81 C...	113⅝	$27,000 U. S. 5-20 C. '65	
10,000 U. S. 6s, '81, R..	113	b5	109⅞
10,000 do.....s5	112¾	210,000 do.....	109⅞
61,000 U. S. 5-20 C. '65	112⅝	22,000 U. S. 5s, 10-40, R.	103⅝
17,000 U. S. 5-20 C. '64.	110⅞	20,000 do.....	103⅝
5,500 U. S. 5-20 C., '65, new.....	109⅝	10,000 U. S. 5s, 10-40 C.	103⅝
		100,000 U. S. 6s, Cur..	101⅜

SECOND BOARD—2½ P. M.

$10,000 Tenn. 6s, new.	66½	200 Reading.....	95
5,000 do..bet. call	66¾	200 do.....	94⅞
10,000 Tenn. St. 6s x c	66⅞	400 Mich. Southern..	93⅜
10,000 N. Car. 6s, new	61⅝	400 do.....	93¾
5,000 Mo. St. 6s.....	85¼	10 Chi. & N. W. Pf..	91
1,000 N. J. Cen. 1st M.	102	200 Clev. & Tol.....	104¾
20 West Chester R....	83⅛	100 Tol., Wab. & W..	66⅛
100 Boston W. P.....	15½	100 do.....s60	66
200 Quick. Mtn. Co...	23	100 do.....b60	66⅛
100 do.....	23	300 R. & St. Jos. Pf...	110
100 do.....	22½	100 Chi. & Alton.....	136
200 Pacific Mail.....	113¾	100 C., Cin. & I. C....	89
200 do.....b30	114⅛	100 Lake Shore.....	104
100 A. M. U. Ex.....	48	200 Morris & Essex..	87

OPEN BOARD—10 A. M.

100 Canton.....	63¼	400 Mil. & St. P. Pf...	69
200 Mariposa Pf.....	28	100 do.....	69⅛
300 do.....b3	28½	200 Mich. So. & N. I.	94
100 do.....	27⅜	400 Clev. & Tol.....	105
100 do.....c	27⅜	100 do.....	105
100 do.....b10	104¾	300 Clev. & P. 1st M.	103
200 do.....	104⅝	100 C., R. I. & P....	83¼
100 do.....b10	104⅝	200 do.....	83¼
200 do.....	104⅝	100 Mil. & St. Paul..	68⅜
100 do.....	104⅝	100 Chi. & N. W.....	87¾
600 T., W. & W.....	65⅞	600 Chi. & N. W. Pf..	92¾
200 do.....	66	1200 do.....	92¾
200 Reading.....	95⅛	500 do.....	93
100 Fort Wayne.....	118¾	100 do.....	93
200 do.....	118⅞	100 do.....	93⅛
100 do.....	119	200 do.....	93⅜
100 Ohio & Miss.....	35⅜	200 do.....b10	93⅝

OPEN BOARD—1 P. M.

5,000 N. Car. 6s, new.	62	200 T., W. & W.....	66⅛
400 Adams Ex.....	68	200 Fort Wayne.....	118⅝
200 Quicksilver.....	23⅛	200 Chi. & N. W.....	87¾
100 Central Co.....	23	200 do.....s30	87¾
700 do.....b30	23⅛	200 Mich. S. & N. I..	94⅜
400 Pacific Mail.....	114	200 do.....	94⅞
700 do.....b30	114⅛	200 do.....	95
200 Marip. Pref.....	28	200 Clev. & Tol.....	104⅝
100 do.....b5	28	200 do.....	104⅝
200 New-York Cen...	104⅜	200 Clev. & Pitts.....	91
100 do.....	104⅝	100 do.....	91⅛
100 do.....b30	104⅝	200 do.....	91⅜
200 Hudson.....	127	200 do.....	91⅝
200 Reading.....	95⅛	200 Chic. & N. W. Pf.	91⅞

THURSDAY, Feb. 11—P. M.

The wholly speculative nature of the present movement in the Gold Room, as against the current of the Foreign Exchanges, was further developed to-day through the extreme rates of interest, 6@7 ₱ cent. paid by the *Bull* clique of the Room to carry over their balances. The sales of the day were above the average transactions of yesterday, notwithstanding the apparent ease of the Cash Gold and the comparatively dear rates of interest referred to. The prices were 135⅜@135¾ ₱ cent. The party operating with so much confidence for a rise in the market are said to rest their calculations upon the theory that the *Bearish* interest is largely in excess of the probable supply of Gold at present figures, if they should attempt or be compelled to cover their *short* contracts. We do not know how this may be. Our impression is that the Foreign Exchanges are too decidedly in our favor to make Gold for Cash delivery very scarce, unless the accumulation in the Treasury, from Customs Duties, hence to the first of May, (when the next important disbursement of Gold Interest takes place,) should, in the absence of sales for Government account, provea more disturbing element in our market than usual. That the Customs for February, March and April, at all the ports, will be heavier than last season, we have little or no doubt. The three months will probably yield, at the ports, about $48,000,000, while for the same period the Gold Interest payments will scarcely reach $6,000,000.

In the Foreign Exchanges to-day we again find a large variety of offerings, as well on 60-day Bills as Short Sight, and the rates on London, to effect actual transactions, are quoted 109⅜@ ⅝, 60 days, and 109⅝@⅞ short sight. The Continental rates are adjusted, as a rule, upon the London standard. We learn that the supply of Cotton Bills on England is now on the increase from New-Orleans.

Money to the Brokers to-day rates 5@6 ₱ cent. on Government collateral; 6@7 ₱ cent. on Gold, and 7 ₱ cent. as the rule of the Street, on Railway and Miscellaneous Stocks. There is fair employment in the way of Demand Loan at 7 ₱ cent. on the Railway Stocks, and on Gold at 6@7 ₱ cent., the speculation in both being decidedly Bullish.

Another heavy business was done in the Public Funds, and generally at the full advance of yesterday, with a further rise of ⅛@¼ ₱ cent. on the May and November 5-20s of 1864 and 1865. The latter were in large request and sold at 113¼ ₱ cent. and the price bid at the close. The Southern State Bonds were steady in prices and in fair demand; although there is said to be a *Bearish* feeling in the new Tennessees, and some considerable sales have been ventured upon *short* account. We have no direct information from the State to authorize this depression. Some large private transactions are reported in Virginias, old and new, at about 56½ and 62½ ₱ cent. respectively, and Georgias have advanced to 93 ₱ cent.

The Railway market was irregular between the Morning sales and later Boards, and the feeling in the main was dullish, although prices were fairly supported with two or three exceptions in the Afternoon, when there was a disposition to sell Ohio and Mississippi, and Cleveland and Pittsburg. These two stocks are supposed to be controlled by the same clique, and it does not follow that the occasional break of 1@2 ₱ cent. amounts to anything more serious than an effort to test fresh activity to and perhaps tempt a short interest in the Stocks. We discover nothing of real weakness in the market to-day, although there is doubtless more indifference on the part of buyers outside the prominent cliques in the Western Roads, than the latter could wish. New-York Central advanced to 104 @104⅛@105 ₱ cent., but subsequently returned to 104@103½ ₱ cent. On the Miscellaneous list, Pacific Mail was less firmly supported than yesterday.

After the late Boards broke up the following quotations were made, (bidding prices,) as compared with Wednesday night.

	Wednesday.	Thursday.
United States 10-40s	109	109¼
United States 5-20s of 1862	113⅞	113⅞
United States 5-20s of 1867	109⅞	109⅞
American Gold Coin	135½	135½
Bills on London, 60 days	109⅜	109⅜
New Bonds of Tennessee	66	66
Virginia Bonds, old	56½	56½
Missouri Bonds	86½	86½
North Carolina Bonds, old	64¾	64
New-York Central	104	103⅞
Reading Railway	95¼	94⅞
Chicago and Rock Island	132½	131
Northwest Common	84	83¾
Northwest Preferred	92	91¾
Michigan Southern	94⅜	93⅝
Pittsburg and Fort Wayne	118	117¾
Cleveland and Pittsburg	92½	91¾
Pacific Mail Company	115¼	112⅞
St. Paul Preferred	80	79½
St. Paul Common	66¼	65¾
Toledo and Wabash	66¼	66¾
Cleveland and Toledo	104⅜	104⅝
Ohio and Mississippi	36¼	35½

The following bids were made for the various Public Funds of the United States, at the close of business:

6 ₱ cent. reg., 1881	111⅞	5-20 coup., 1865	112⅜
6 ₱ cent. coup., 1881	112⅜	5-20 coup. '65, n. is.	109⅝
5-20 reg., 1865	109⅝	5-20 coup., 1867	109⅞
5-20 coup., 1865	112⅜	10-40 reg., 1868	110
5-20 reg., 1864	109⅝	10-40 reg.	103
5-20 coup., 1864	111	10-40 coup.	109⅛
5-20 reg., 1865	109⅛	6s Currency	101⅝

And the following for the State Bonds:

N. Y. Reg. B'y Loan.	109⅛	Virginia 6s, ex. c.	56⅛
N. Y. Coup. B'y Loan.	109⅜	Virginia 6s, new bds.	62⅛
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '72	103	Georgia 7s, new bds.	92⅜
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '73	102	North Carolina 6s	64
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '74	102	North Car. 6s, n. bds.	61⅜
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '75	102	Missouri 6s	86⅛
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '76	102	Louisiana 6s	64
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '77	102	Connecticut 6s	99⅛
N. Y. 6 ₱ c. Canal, '78	102	Rhode Island 6s	99
Tennessee 6s, ex. Coup.	66	Alabama 5s	95
Tennessee 6s, n bnds.	66	Alabama 8s	93⅛

And the following for the City Bank Stocks:

New-York	134	Metropolitan	140
Merchants'	104	Grocers'	120
Manhattan	128	Commerce	105
America	146	Corn Exchange	127
Phenix	108	Continental	99
Fulton	108	Importers	108
National	110	Ocean Bank	105
Merchants' Exch'ge	116	Commonwealth	110
Seventh Ward	125	Imp. and Traders'	128½
State of New-York	114½	Park	134
Commerce	123¼	Mannf'e and Mech'a.	101¾
Ocean	105	Central National	109
American Exchange	113½	Fourth National	108
Bank of the Republic	118	Tenth National	123
Hanover	168½	Gold Exchange	132
Irving	108½		

And the following for the Railway Mortgages:

N. Y. Cen. 6s, 1883	91	Lack. & Western bds.	95
N. Y. Cen. 6s, R. Est.	97	Del., L. & W. 1st M..	95
N. Y. C. 6s, Subscr'n.	97	Tol. & W. Equity. bds.	78
Erie 7s, 1st Ind., 1875	103	Chi. & Alton 1st M..	100
Erie 7s, 2d Ind., 1877	98	Chi. & Alton 2d M..	94
Erie 7s, 4th Ind., 1880	88	Chi. & R. I. 1st M....	100⅜
R., N. Y. & E. 1st '77.	89	Chi. R. I. & P.	94⅝
Hud. R. R. 1st M., 1885	99	N. J. Cen. 1st M.....	101
Harlem 1st M.....	101⅜	N. J. Cen. 2d M.....	101
Mich. C. & C. '82, 7 ₱ c.	99	Morris & Essex 1st M.	97⅜
Mich. C. 1, 1st M. 8s, '82	119⅛	Morris & Essex 2d M.	93
Mich. C. 2, 1st M. 8s, '82	119	Clev. & P. 1st M.....	97
Mich. S. 7 ₱ c. 2d M..	93	Clev. & P. 2d M.....	87
M. S. & N. I. S. F. 7 ₱ c.	96⅛	Clev. & P. 4th M.....	78
Alton & T. H. 1st M..	93	Chi. & Alton 1st M....	100⅜
Alton & T. H. 2d M..	77	Chi. & Alton 2d M....	94
Alton & T. H. M. 1st 7 ₱ c.	78	Ohio & Miss. 1st M....	94
Chi. & N. W. Sink. fd.	85	Mil. & St. Paul 1st M.	108⅞
Chi. & N. W. Int. bds.	85	Mil. & St. Paul 2d M..	91
Chi. & N. W. Mort. bds.	90¼	Mil. & St. Paul 1st M.	90
Con. 7 ₱ cent. con....	94	Mil. & St. Paul 2d M..	85
Han. & St. Jo. 1st M..	110	Mil. & St. Paul 1st M.	84¾
Han. & St. Jo. con....	110	C. & G. Eastn. 1st M.	68¾

A new banking institution, to be known as the Harlem Bank, has commleted its organization, and will open for business about March 1, near the corner of Third-avenue and One Hundred and Twenty-fourth-street. The capital is $100,000, and books are open for subscription for stock at the office of ADDISON SMITH, Esq., No. 1,680 Third-avenue.

The day's business at the Office of the United States Assistant Treasurer was as follows: Total receipts, $1,336,926; total payments, $695,169; balance, $87,440,990. The receipts include for Customs, $558,000; for Gold Notes, $62,000.

The Customs for the four days of the week amount to $2,204,000.

It is announced from New-England that the Boston, Hartford and Erie Company have leased the Norwich and Worcester Road for ninety-nine years. The bargain has been concluded by the Stockholders in both sides. The Norwich Stock is to receive 10 ₱ cent. a year, net. The Boston and Erie Company have also arranged with the New-London Northern Road, by which a through line between New-York and Boston is to be insured, without change of cars or the use of the present Shore Line Ferry at the Connecticut River, after July next, by which time the River will be bridged.

The business of the Illinois Central Railroad Company, for January, 1869, was as follows:

Acres construction lands sold	8,322 for $81,049
Acres interest fund lands sold	230 for 2,300
Acres free lands sold	1,580 for 22,631
Total sales during the month of January, 1869	10,072 for $106,487
To which add town-lot sales	345
Total of all	10,072 for 106,832
Cash collected in January	953,821
Receipts from freight	$873,577 00
Receipts from passengers	120,487 53
Receipts from mails	5,359 33
Receipts from rent of road	4,000 00
Receipts from other sources	90,000 00
Total receipts in month of January, 1869	$594,423 88
Total receipts in month of January, 1868	519,497 04
Increase	$74,925 84
Estimated earnings in the month of January, on the leased Dubuque and Sioux City Road, not included above	97,223 02
Corresponding month of 1868	52,509 01

The Chicago and Alton Company have declared a half-yearly Dividend of 5 ₱ cent. on both classes of their Stock, payable March 1.

The following are the comparative earnings of the Michigan Central Railroad for the first week in February:

1869	$182,540
1868	176,166
Increase	$7,375

The Cotton Circular of Messrs. W. P. WRIGHT & Co. of yesterday, (for the Cunard steamer,) reports as follows:

	1869.	1868.
Receipts at ports	$1,468,000	$1,405,000
Overland	158,000	130,000
Total since Sept. 1	$1,681,000	$1,525,000
Total exports	713,000	614,000

The following are the comparative earnings of the Michigan Southern Railroad for the first week in February:

1869	$80,441
1868	70,931
Increase	$9,510

Comparative earnings of the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad Company for the first week in February:

1869	$182,540
1868	175,165
Increase	$7,375

The Titusville *Herald* says:

"The stock of Petroleum in the United States on Jan. 1, 1869, according to the closest estimates we have been able to obtain, was 780,000 barrels of crude or its equivalent, against about 1,000,000 barrels at the same date in 1868, showing a reduction during the year of little over 200,000 barrels. The foregoing applies to oil produced in Pennsylvania, and does not include a stock of about 10,000 barrels in West Virginia and Ohio on Jan. 1, 1869, and one of about 10,000 or 15,000 barrels in the same States on the 1st inst.

The annexed table shows the stocks on hand on the first day of January, 1868 and 1869:

	1869. Bbls.	1868. Bbls.
In New-York	75,233	91,000
In Pittsburg	225,000	100,000
In Philadelphia	90,798	111,150
In Cleveland	100,000	44,000
In Boston and Baltimore	20,000	20,000
In Erie, Corry and Buffalo	5,000	25,000
In Oil Regions	64,800	554,650
On Railroads and Allegheny River	30,000	50,000
Total	780,831	997,004

In making out our estimate for last year, the stock at Pittsburg was set down at 75,000 barrels, but by later advices we learned that it was fully 100,000 barrels. In the same estimate we did not include the amounts *en route* by river and railroads from the producing region to the principal home markets, which, then, was 50,000 barrels.

We compile the following account of stocks in, and amounts afloat for, all European ports, from the circulars of JOH. H. SCHRÖDER, Petroleum Broker, Bremen; MORGART BROTHERS, London, and others. The figures relating to the seven ports first named and to Genoa and Trieste are considered reliable, but to all others they are but approximate:

	Bbls. About.		Bbls. About.
Bremen	58,000	Outports of the United Kingdom	5,000
Afloat	53,000

The New York Times.

TRIPLE SHEET.

NEW-YORK, FRIDAY, FEB. 12, 1869.

Amusements this Evening.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC.
14th-st. and Irving-place.
NORMA. (Italian Opera.) Characters by Mme. La Grange, Miss McCulloch, Messrs. Bostti, Antonucci, and others.

BROOKLYN ACADEMY OF MUSIC.
Montague-st., near Clinton-st.
GRAND CONCERT. Artists: Miss Agatha States, Herr Ole Bull, Messrs. S. B. Mills, Steins, Colby, and others.

GRAND OPERA HOUSE.
23d-st., corner 8th-av.
ORPHEE AUX ENFERS. Characters by Mlle. Tostee, Mlle. Ducios, Mlle. Delphine, Mlle. Cadie, Messrs. Dore, Leduc, Duschesne, Guidon, Lagriffoul, and others.

BOOTH'S THEATRE.
23d-st., corner of 6th-av.
ROMEO AND JULIET. Characters by Messrs. Edwin Booth, Mark Smith, Adams, T. J. Hind, Monroe, Norris, Miss Mary McVicker, Miss Fanny Morant, Miss Proudfoot, and others.

WALLACK'S THEATRE.
Broadway, corner 13th-st.
MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING. Characters by Messrs. Lester Wallack, Gilbert, Fisher, Stoddart, Ringgold, Miss Rose Eytinge, Mrs. Clara Jennings, Miss Annie Ward and others.

BROUGHAM'S THEATRE.
24th-st., near 8th-av.
AN IRISH STEW and THE DRAMATIC REVIEW FOR 1868. Characters by Mr. John Brougham, Mr. Stoddart, Miss Newton, Miss Fisher and others.

NIBLO'S GARDEN.
Broadway, between Prince and Houston sts.
FORTY THIEVES; OR, STRIKING OIL IN FAMILY JARS. Characters by Misses Lydia Thompson, Webzr, Markham, Grattan, Mr. Beckett and others.

OLYMPIC THEATRE.
Broadway, between Houston and Bleecker sts.
HUMPTY DUMPTY. Characters by Mr. Geo. L. Fox, Mr. F. Lacy, Mr. C. K. Fox, Mlle. Laurent, Mrs. C. Edmonds, Miss E. Harrison, Mr. Sinclair and others.

FRENCH THEATRE.
14th-st., near 6th-av.
FLEUR DE THE. Characters by Mme. Desclauzas, Mlle. Rizarelli, Messrs. Carrier, Lefevre, Beckers, Genot, Petit and others.

THEATRE COMIQUE,
No. 514 Broadway.
PLUTO, and COMIC SKETCHES and LIVING STATUES. Characters by Mr. W. H. Lingard, Misses Alice Dunning, Norman, Lingard, Laton and others.

BROADWAY THEATRE.
Broadway, near Broome-st.
THE LIVE INDIAN and THE PEOPLE'S LAWYER. Leading characters by Mr. John E. Owens.

NEW-YORK THEATRE.
Broadway, near 8th-st.
OTHELLO. Leading characters by Mr. McKean Buchanan and Miss Virginia Buchanan.

WOOD'S MUSEUM AND THEATRE.
Broadway, corner of 30th-st.
Afternoon—IXION. Evening—FIELD OF THE CLOTH OF GOLD. Leading characters by Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Florence. EXHIBITION OF CURIOSITIES, Open day and evening.

BOWERY THEATRE.
Bowery, near Canal-st.
MACBETH, JOCKO, BUTLER TROUPE, &c. By a powerful cast.

THE TAMMANY.
14th-st., near 3d-av.
GRAND COMBINATION OF MUSIC, PLAYS, PANTOMIMES, ACROBATIC FEATS, &c.

NEW-YORK CIRCUS.
14th-st., opposite Academy of Music.
EQUESTRIAN AND GYMNASTIC PERFORMANCES.

SAN FRANCISCO MINSTRELS.
No. 585 Broadway.
SHAKESPEREAN FESTIVAL, (Burlesque,) NEGRO COMICALITIES, &c.

BRYANTS' MINSTREL HALL.
14th-st., near 3d-av.
MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING, (Burlesque,) VOCALISTS, HUMORISTS, &c.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Page 1—Concerning Crime; An Underground Railway. Page 2 Affairs in Spain; Affairs in Peru; Affairs in Georgia; Texas and the Texans; South Carolina; The New Indiana Senator; Alexander H. Stephens; Homicide in Pittsburg, &c. Page 3—Washington Despatches and Congressional Report. Page 4—Diplomatic Correspondence; The Gamble Case; Terrible Tragedy; The Newsboys' Lodging-house. Page 5—Assistant Aldermen; Fruit-growers' Club, and other local reports. Page 6.—Editorials and Minor Topics. Page 7—Telegrams; Albany Letter and Legislative Proceedings. Page 8—Law Reports. Page 10—Congressional Reports Continued; Local News. Page 11—Letters to the Editor; Temperance; Conviction of a Prize Fighter; A College Burned; Miscellaneous Matters. Page 12—Dr. Holmes' Lecture on Doctors.

Terms of the New-York Times.
MAIL SUBSCRIBERS.

The DAILY TIMES, per annum	$12
The DAILY TIMES, exclusive of Sunday Edition	10
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, one year	4
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, two copies, one year	7
The WEEKLY TIMES, one year	3
Five copies	12
Ten copies	15

These prices are invariable. We have no traveling agents. Remit in drafts on New-York or Post Office Money Orders if possible, and where neither of these can be procured send the money in a *registered* letter. All Postmasters are obliged to register letters when requested to do so, and the system is an absolute protection against losses by mail. Address
H. J. RAYMOND & CO.,
TIMES Office, New-York.

Notice.

We cannot notice anonymous communications. In all cases we require the writer's name and address, not for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

We cannot return rejected communications, nor preserve manuscripts, unless specially requested so to do.

To Advertisers.

Advertisers in the TIMES are requested to bring in their notices at as early an hour in the day as possible. If received after 8½ o'clock, it will be impossible to classify them under their proper heads.

NEWS OF THE DAY.

EUROPE.

The Spanish Constitutional Cortes assembled yesterday at Madrid. Great interest in its deliberations are manifested throughout the country. It is rumored that a majority of its members are in favor of tendering the crown to FERDINAND, father of the King of Portugal, and that in the event of his refusing it, the Duke DE MONTPENSIER will be asked to wear it. The Carlists are very active in several districts, and twenty-eight who attempted to cross the border from France were arrested by French troops.

REVERDY JOHNSON dined with the Corporation of Glasgow yesterday. He also made a speech. In the course of his remarks he quoted Earl RUSSELL as having said that the escape of the *Alabama* from a British port was due to the fact that the then law officer to the Crown was seized with insanity just at that time.

CONGRESS.

Mr. DAVIS introduced a resolution to the Senate yesterday reciting that the conduct of Mr. BUTLER and other Representatives who acted with him in the House on the previous day, while the electoral vote was being counted, was an insult to the Senate and the people of the United States, and disreputable to the persons mentioned. At the instance of Mr. FERRY the resolution goes over. The Currency bill was then taken up and discussed at great length by Messrs. CONKLIN, MORRILLE and CORBETT. The bill to secure equal rights in the District of Columbia, by striking the word "white" from the city charters of Washington and Georgetown, was taken up and passed. It has been passed twice before, but never obtained the President's signature. A bill appropriating $3,000 for the relief of Mrs. C. P. HART, whose husband invented a gun-screw which the Government has used without paying for, was passed. The Senate then held a brief Executive session for the consideration of some Indian matters, and took a recess till evening. The River and Harbor Appropriation bill was discussed and variously amended at the evening session, but was not definitely acted upon.

The Speaker announced that the first business in order was the consideration of the question of privilege submitted by Mr. BUTLER on the previous day, which was the resolution protesting against the action of the Senate in counting the electoral vote of Georgia in the Joint Convention of the two Houses. The Speaker appointed Mr. DAWES Speaker *pro tem.*, who, on taking the chair, appointed Messrs. WILSON and PRUYN a committee on behalf of the House to notify the President and Vice-President elect of their election. Mr. SHELLABARGER then spoke at length in opposition to Mr. BUTLER'S resolution. Mr. ELDRIDGE spoke on the same side of the question. Mr. BINGHAM held that the President of the Senate was bound to count the vote of Georgia in the manner prescribed by the joint resolution adopted by both Houses, and that consequently Mr. BUTLER was wrong from the beginning of the controversy. He denounced the resolution in vigorous terms as revolutionary and disgraceful. Mr. SCHENCK defended Mr. BUTLER, and in the course of his remarks reflected upon the action of Mr. COLFAX in directing the Sergeant-at-Arms to arrest refractory members. Mr. COLFAX replied in a spirited manner, defending his course. Mr. BUTLER replied to the attacks made upon him, and made a lengthy argument in support of his resolution. This he offered to modify so as simply to protest against the action of the Senate, but no vote on the question was reached. The debate occupied the entire day, and will be resumed this morning.

GENERAL.

Two of the leaders of the insurrection in Cuba are said to have been, until very recently, officers of the United States Government. They are brothers, named ADOLF and FREDERICK CAVADA. The former was Colonel of a Philadelphia regiment of souaves during the war, and latterly Vice-Consul at Cienfuegos, from which position he was removed at the instance of Captain-General LERSUNDI. His brother, FREDERICK, was our Consul at Trinidad, but recently resigned his position to join the insurrection.

Under instructions from the United States Attorney-General, a *nolle prosequi* has been entered, at Richmond, in the cases of JEFFERSON DAVIS and thirty-eight other persons indicted for treason. Among these persons are WILLIAM SMITH SEDDEN, BRECKINRIDGE, LONGSTREET, EARLY, EWELL and FAULKNER. The securities of DAVIS are released from their bond. This action is the result of the last proclamation of amnesty.

The message of JUAREZ to the Mexican Congress congratulates that body on the celebration of the late treaty relating to the claims of Mexican and American citizens; on the concessions granted to the Mexico and Vera Cruz Railroad; on the increased individual security of men; on the law which relates to the free exportation of minerals; on that relating to public instruction, and on the one providing for the institution of military juries. It refers also to the general peace existing throughout the country, and regrets the revolution in Tamaulipas has not been suffocated, but thinks that an increase of the federal forces now in operation against the revolutionists in that State, would cause the prompt suppression of the uprising. Congress adjourned Jan. 21.

The United States steamer *Delaware*, which was sunk in 1861 by the side of the United States man-of-war *Columbus*, in the southern branch of the Elizabeth River, near Norfolk, is now being sheathed, preparatory to being raised.

Brig.-Gen. SAMPSON, of the Second Division of the Eighth Brigade of N. Y. S. N. G., died suddenly, at Rondout, N. Y., on Wednesday, from an abscess in the back.

WHALEN, the murderer of Hon. D'ARCY MCGEE, was hanged yesterday at Ottawa, Canada. A large number of persons witnessed the execution. He did not make a speech from the gallows, as it was reported he would do, but it is stated that he left a communication with the Sheriff regarding the crime for which he suffered.

The purser of the steamship *San Francisco*, which reached this port from Sisal, Vera Cruz and Havana yesterday, has our thanks for favors.

The obsequies of JAMES T. BRADY took place at St. Patrick's Cathedral yesterday. An immense congregation was present. A solemn mass of requiem was offered up, and an eulogium pronounced on the eminent lawyer by Rev. Dr. MCGLYNN, of St. Stephen's, Twenty-eighth-street. The remains were interred in the family vault under the Cathedral.

JOHN J. ECKEL, recently convicted of defrauding the Government, was yesterday taken to the Albany Penitentiary, where his sentence is to be carried into effect.

Rev. HENRY WARD BEECHER delivered his lecture on "Rational Amusements," at Cooper Institute, last evening, before a very large audience.

In consequence of the funeral of JAMES T. BRADY yesterday, the Supreme Court, (except Chambers,) the Superior Court and Court of Common Pleas, in all their branches, were closed. The offices of each Court were open in conformity to law, but, for all practical purposes, might as well have been closed for the occasion also.

The sailors held a meeting last night at Botanic Hall. Certain men of standing have taken hold of the Association, and measures are to be taken to interest the public in it.

The Board of Assistant Aldermen yesterday appropriated $20,000 for the celebration of WASHINGTON'S Birthday, and concurred in the resolution to send a Committee to Washington to obtain payment of moneys expended by the City during the war. The resolution to extend Parkplace by widening Robinson-street was also concurred in.

An inquest was held yesterday over the remains of Mrs. MARGARET J. GAMBLE, who died at Clarkstown, N. Y., on Aug. 2 last, under circumstances that led to the belief that she had been poisoned. The inquest, which was merely formal, resulted in a verdict of death from unknown causes. The Coroner announced that he should give a certificate to this effect in order that the body may be interred.

Cotton was quiet and rather weak in price yesterday. Breadstuffs were held with more firmness on an improved demand. Provisions were inactive; hog products were depressed. Groceries were more sought after; sugars were dearer. Freights were dull and rates lower.

Counting the Electoral Votes—What Congress Has to Do With it.

It cannot be denied that the question raised by Gen. BUTLER, in the House, on Wednesday, is one of a good deal of importance, though it is equally clear that the time and the manner of his raising it were both unfortunate. The Constitution provides that the electoral votes of the several States for President and Vice-President shall be opened and counted by the President of the Senate, *in presence of the Senate and House of Representatives*; but it makes no provision whatever for the organization, proceedings or control of the assembly created by the meeting of the Senate and House. How questions of order or of law, or of practical action that may arise in that meeting shall be entertained or decided, is not prescribed. Indeed, there is no provision whatever for *any* organization. The meeting is not even styled a Convention, nor is it presumed, so far as appears, that it shall have any power whatever, or even any independent existence, as a separate assembly.

The Constitution prescribes that certain acts wholly ministerial in their nature, shall be done by a certain person, the President of the Senate,—in the *presence* of certain other persons,—the Senate and House of Representatives. That is all.

But the usage has been for these two bodies to regard their meeting in each other's presence as a separate assembly,—as a Convention independent in form of either of the two Houses which compose it,—and to make certain rules for the control of its proceedings, these rules being made by concurrent action of the two Houses of Congress, but in the form of joint resolutions, not of laws. One such rule was adopted by joint action, known as the twenty-second joint rule, on the 6th of February, 1865, when it became evident for the first time that the question might arise whether the vote of some one State, should, or should not, be counted. That rule provided that whenever such question should arise the two Houses should retire, each to its own chamber, and decide the question without debate. The concurrence of the two would of course be requisite to the validity of a decision; it was to be decided, however, simply by the action of the two Houses,—not by a law to which the Executive assent should be essential. This rule proceeded on the theory that the meeting of the two Houses to witness the counting of the votes, was not such an independent assembly as would constitute a Convention, capable of making its own rules and controlled by a majority of its own members,—but that each House preserved its right of separate and independent action, and that, by such independent action of the two Houses only, could rules be adopted for the regulation and control of its proceedings.

But when it became evident, as it did a few days since, that there would be a difference of opinion as to counting the vote of Georgia, the two Houses of Congress adopted a joint resolution prescribing exactly what should be done with the vote of Georgia,—requiring that it should be counted, and that it should be reported by the President of the Senate in one form if it did, and in another if it did not, affect the general result.

During the process of examining and declaring the votes of the several States, Gen. BUTLER objected to the vote of Georgia being received or declared, and made several propositions and points of order which the President of the Senate, "sitting in presence of the House of Representatives," refused to entertain. The two Houses then retired, each to its own chamber, and in accordance with the twenty-second joint rule, proceeded to vote on the question raised by Gen. BUTLER, whether the vote of Georgia should or should not be declared, ignoring the joint resolution previously adopted by the two Houses on that very point. The House decided, 150 to 41, that the vote of Georgia should *not* be counted; the Senate decided that it should be counted in the form prescribed by the joint resolution. When the Senate again came into "the presence of" the House, the examination of the certificates and the declaration of the votes were resumed and completed, in strict compliance with the form prescribed by the joint resolution, and of course in accordance with the decision of the Senate.

When the House resumed its independent sitting, Gen. BUTLER offered a resolution "that the House protest that the counting of the vote of Georgia by the order of the Vice-President *pro tem.* was a gross act of oppression and an invasion of the rights and privileges of the House," and in the debate on this he developed his theory of the joint meeting which had just dissolved. He held that it was a Convention, of which the President of the Senate was Chairman, to be governed by its action and subject in all things to its decisions. He was bound to entertain points of order and appeals when made from his rulings upon them. Gen. BUTLER did not distinctly claim,—though he seemed at one time inclined to do so,—that the two Houses in joint meeting might decide all questions that should arise by a majority of all the votes, which, as he justly said, would swamp the Senate altogether; but it is not easy to see precisely how he can maintain his theory of the character of the assembly without also asserting its right to decide such questions by a majority vote.

But Gen. BUTLER objected mainly to the *power of the Vice-President*, as exercised by Mr. WADE, and as sustained by the Senate against the House of Representatives. He put in a very strong light the wrong that might be done by an officer disposed to do it, under the precedent established in the present case:

"Suppose," he said, "that the Vice-President had seen fit to declare that HORATIO SEYMOUR had been elected President: or suppose the Senate had gone to its Chamber and had declared, after the votes of New-York and New-Jersey had been counted, that no more States should be counted, and having decided that, had ordered its President to take the stand with the gavel in his hand and pound down everybody else until he had declared HORATIO SEYMOUR President of the United States; *what would have been the remedy?* From this moment, and under this precedent, unless the House asserts its privileges, from this moment, I say your liberties and the liberties of the country are gone, and fall in the hands of an executive body known as the Senate of the United States."

This presentation of the possible evils that may result from the power given to the Vice-President and to the Senate in this matter is very striking, and is entitled to weight. But Gen. BUTLER is really objecting to the provision of *the Constitution*, rather than the joint resolution of the two Houses of Congress in this matter. He declares that resolution to be, in his judgment, utterly "unparliamentary and unconstitutional," and of no more value than the paper on which it was written. Possibly;—but *why?* On what other ground can he base this opinion of a joint resolution of the two Houses, except on the ground that it conflicts with the Constitution? But he will find that the Constitution is still more explicit in conferring *all the power* to be exercised over the electoral votes by any body upon the President of the Senate. It creates no Convention,—no joint meeting of the two Houses to be organized presided over and guided in its action by its presiding officer, or by rules of its own adoption. It prescribes merely that "the President of the Senate" [in that character, and not as President of the Joint Convention,] "shall, in the presence of the Senate and House of Representatives, open all the certificates" [received from the electors of the respective States] "and the votes shall then be counted,"—whether by the President of the Senate or somebody else, it is not provided. No authority is given to the "President of the Senate" to decide or entertain in any way, questions of order or any other questions that may arise in the joint meeting of the two Houses. He is there, at that meeting, not as *its* presiding officer, but only in his capacity as *President of the Senate* and for the performance of a single specific duty, namely, to open the certificates of the electoral votes. But there is nothing whatever in the Constitution to indicate that the House of Representatives has anything to do with the proceedings; that body is required to be present merely as a witness of what is done by the President of the Senate. It is very clear that in the view of the Constitution, the office of the President of the Senate in this matter is purely ministerial, and that the whole proceeding is for the exclusive purpose of ascertaining certain facts, of *inspecting certain records of what has been done elsewhere*,—and no provision whatever is made for settling questions that may possibly arise in so simple a process. It was evidently never supposed that a time would ever arrive when the question whether the vote of a State should, or should not, be counted, could possibly arise; and no provision was therefore made for deciding it.

But the question *has* arisen, and of course it may arise again; and it is scarcely safe to say that it may not bring with it all the consequences predicted by Gen. BUTLER. A Senate may declare that no votes of States, known to have voted against the Senate's choice, shall be counted, and the President of the Senate *may* refuse to count them. But it is scarcely safe to predict that Congress or the Government would be powerless to avert the ruinous consequences of such an act. It is generally considered the part of practical wisdom not to spend time or thought in fighting imaginary, or only apprehended, difficulties and dangers. Mr. LINCOLN expressed the common sense of the English and American mind when he said, that it is not worth while to worry about a bridge until we come to the stream. Our people and our Congress have been content, as a general thing, to contend with difficulties when they arise, and not before. It was doubtless this feeling which dictated the joint resolution in the case of Georgia. If it had been found that the vote of Georgia *would* affect the result of the election, we should have had a very different state of things, and very different action on the part of Congress.

But Gen. BUTLER'S movement, as against the Senate, is by no means without significance. It indicates a *growing impatience of the pretensions of the Senate to supreme control in the Government.* These pretensions are shown in its claims to executive power, to control over appointments and removals of all executive officers, to initiate as well as ratify treaties, to the entire direction of all matters involving our relations with foreign Powers, and to the primary and paramount authority in all affairs of domestic legislation. Senator SUMNER, we believe, has said in so many words that *the Senate is the Government;* and that body seems by its action inclined to make the declaration good. Gen. BUTLER is disposed to resist these pretensions, and the House has thus far given reason to believe that it will stand by him in so doing. It voted by a very large majority to repeal the Tenure-of-Office bill, and again on Wednesday it voted, in face of the joint resolution and of the Senate, that the vote of Georgia should not be counted. The Senate will be wise enough, we hope, to avoid such a controversy by abating something of the pretensions out of which it seems likely to grow.

Congress and the Supreme Court.

The disposition of Congress to invade the domain of the Supreme Court manifested itself during the progress of reconstruction. An apprehension of judicial interference with the operation of the Reconstruction acts led to the introduction of measures designed to affect the action of the Court; and the debates on these occasions, in both Senate and House, developed the aversion of the extreme Republicans to its authority in matters affecting the relation of the General Government to the States. The feeling did not result in positive interference with the judicial function, as bearing upon the constitutionality of reconstruction, but the positions assumed by ultra Senators and members indicated a readiness to make Congress the sole judge of the constitutionality of its action in the controversy then pending.

An incidental allusion to the debate on the proposed suffrage amendment elicited from Mr. DRAKE a declaration which shows how far he at least is prepared to push the pretensions of the legislative body. In reply to a remark affecting the probable effect of a decision adverse to the constitutionality of reconstruction, the Missouri Senator is reported to have "denied that the Supreme Court had a constitutional right, under any circumstances, to pronounce *any* act of Congress unconstitutional."

Mr. WHYTE, (Democrat) of Maryland, asked him whether, if the Supreme Court should decide the Legal-tender act to be unconstitutional, the decision ought to be respected?

Mr. DRAKE replied—Not at all. He would be found standing to his position, and *both Houses of Congress would have to come to that position at last, or else sweep from the bench of the Supreme Court the men who dared to attempt to cripple, by their judicial decisions, the law-making power of the country.*

We do not find that this extraordinary assertion received the indorsement of any other Senator; but, on the other hand, not one repudiated it, or exposed the revolutionary tendency which it displays. It is not probable that Mr. DRAKE'S view would at present receive the sanction even of his fellow extremists, but his avowal is worthy of remembrance as an indication of the drift of things in the quarter where violent measures are most in vogue.

The time may come when the law-making power shall be absolute—when the Executive shall be reduced to the rank of a subordinate officer, and when the Supreme Court shall cease to sit in judgment upon the constitutional phases of legislation. When this point is reached, Congress will be politically omnipotent, and the only Constitution will be its will. But the road is not so smooth nor the end so near as Mr. DRAKE supposes. He evidently labors under the delusion that the extraordinary powers possessed by the Government in the midst of revolution may be extended indefinitely; and that because the people sustained Congress against States in rebellion they will also sustain it against the Constitution. We believe that this is a slight mistake, and that none more clearly realizes the fact than the great body of the party with which Mr. DRAKE professes to act. The refusal of Congress to legislate universal suffrage into all the States, and the reliance it places upon a constitutional amendment as the only means by which the object may be attained, are circumstances which prove that Republicans have not yet abandoned the Constitution as a dead letter. While that instrument continues in force the Supreme Court will exercise its functions, whether the Legal-tender act be shaken or sustained by its decision.

The Smedick Murder—Justice and Juries—Our Professional Criminals.

The astounding feature in the trial of REAL for the murder of Officer SMEDICK, was the "recommendation to mercy" which the jury coupled to its verdict of guilty.

If such deliberate murder as the shooting down of SMEDICK be ground for "recommending to mercy," pray let us know for what sort of murders is *justice* reserved? Never, probably, was a more flagrant case of willful and cold-blooded assassination recorded; never, certainly, was evidence of it more direct and damning. This the jury recognized by their prompt verdict of guilty; and, indeed, the defence took refuge mainly in the plea that REAL had once been beaten by SMEDICK, and feared that he was *going* to be beaten again at the time he killed his victim.

But it was proved, on the contrary, by unimpeached witnesses, that the ruffian hid behind a coal-box on the officer's beat, lay in wait for him, pistol in hand, shot him down from that ambush, and then, rushing out, finished him with another ball. REAL himself, when arrested, voluntarily declared at the station that "he had shot SMEDICK," that he had "put three balls in him, and if he didn't kill him it wasn't his fault."

Nor was he content with this open avowal of the murder; he went on to declare that he committed it intentionally, deliberately, with a distinct determination to do it, formed long before and nursed for days. He avowed that he killed the officer from motives of revenge, because he had arrested him and had threatened, and was likely to do it again. He "had had satisfaction," he said, and he didn't care what became of himself. We never heard of an assassination committed in colder blood, or more distinctly with malice aforethought, than this.

Why then was this confessed murderer—this avowed assassin, who gloried in his act of murder and proclaimed *revenge* to have been his only motive,—why was this man "recommended to mercy" by the jury? What was there about him, or his offence, to lead the jury to such a recommendation? It was not previous good character; for he was one of the most notorious and reckless ruffians in the City. His murder was not an act of sudden passion,—not of constructive insanity, "temporary" or otherwise; but plotted in cold blood,—deliberately followed up and perpetrated in the most remorseless manner.

REAL belonged to a large gang of notorious roughs and ruffians,—a detachment of the great army of scoundrels who follow crime of all sorts, as other men follow trades, for a living, and for the gratification of their natural instincts and appetites, who have come to regard themselves as having *rights* and immunities with which neither the law nor its officers must be allowed to interfere, and who combine to resist, resent and punish such interference. In murdering a Police officer REAL had not only taken revenge for his own wrongs, but he had acted as the champion of this gang to which he belonged: and they repaid his service by mustering for his defence. They thronged the Court-room on his trial: they were present to overawe the Judge and the officers of the law: it was announced by Judge BARNARD, before the case opened, that "some of the witnesses for the prosecution had received notice that, if they testified in this case, they would be assassinated before leaving the building;" and individual members of the jury were followed and threatened with a similar fate if they did not acquit the prisoner. A Police force of about 200 was required to protect the Court-house, and prevent a forcible attempt of these scoundrels to break up the Court and rescue the murderer.

It is gratifying to be able to state that in spite of all these demonstrations, and in spite of the enforced recommendation to mercy by the jury, Judge BARNARD promptly sentenced the assassin to be hung on the 2d of April next. The delay is somewhat longer than either justice or the public good require; but if the sentence shall be even then carried into execution, we shall have the satisfaction of knowing that there is one desperate scoundrel less among us, and the class of professional thieves, assassins and ruffians may possibly be disabused of the idea that they are wholly above the law and are absolutely masters of the situation. They certainly need such a lesson very much.

The Debate on Counting the Electoral Votes.

The clearness and accuracy with which the President of the Senate ruled the points of order raised by Gen. BUTLER, on Wednesday, must have inspired in the mind of that belligerent statesman fresh regrets at the failure of his favorite project of impeachment. Its success would have made Mr. WADE President of the United States *pro tem.*, and the whole country would, in that case, have had the benefit of the brilliant intelligence, and peremptory energy, which are now confined within narrower limits. Gen. BUTLER, however, we observe, did not express anew the regrets he must have felt over the failure of impeachment, in the debate on Wednesday. Possibly time, aided by Mr. WADE'S action on that day, may have somewhat abated those regrets and assuaged the poignant grief in which the General has more than once indulged on that subject heretofore.

We fail to see in the occurrences attending the counting of the electoral votes any such national disgrace or infamy as the Press has been quick to detect, or any occasion for the resolution of censure introduced in the Senate by GARRETT DAVIS. It is to be regretted that any difference of opinion should have arisen; it is always more agreeable to have everything, in such ceremonies, go off smoothly. But it could scarcely be expected that Gen. BUTLER would submit in silence to such peremptory rebuffs as Mr. WADE administered to him, or that he would fail to pay him off in full on the first opportunity. In the issue which he raised with the Senate, on behalf of the House, he evidently had the sympathies of the body to which he belonged.

The debate on his resolution was renewed yesterday, and Mr. SHELLABARGER, with his usual clearness and precision, showed that the Vice-President acted in strict accordance with the Constitution, however much his action may have shocked Gen. BUTLER'S ideas of the respective rights and prerogatives of the two Houses of Congress. Mr. SHELLABARGER holds the doctrine we have set forth more fully in another article, that the Constitution confers all the power over the counting of the electoral votes which it confers on anybody, on the President of the Senate; and that *neither the Senate nor the House has anything whatever to do with the matter, except to be in his "presence,"* so as to be witnesses of his action, in opening the certificates and counting the votes. This doctrine may be very hostile to the liberties of the country, as Gen. BUTLER says it is; but it is the doctrine of the Constitution nevertheless.

The discussion will do good, rather than harm. It will tend to clear up a point of some interest and importance, to which public attention has hitherto been but little directed.

The Status of Georgia.

The position conceded to Georgia in the counting of the electoral vote for President, seems to determine the question until now in dispute in regard to that State. The fact that its vote was received and recognized, disposes of doubts as to its title to Congressional representation. A State which may vote for the President must be in the Union; and, being in the Union, it has a right to representation in the Senate and the House.

The joint resolution by which Senator EDMUNDS hoped to escape the difficulty really amounted to nothing. There was not even ingenuity in the declaration that the Georgia vote should be counted if the result were not thereby affected, but excluded if the effect were otherwise. Its terms admitted of no other interpretation. Expounding them officially, the President of the Senate said that their purport was, "that if the votes of the State of Georgia did not alter the result of the election they might be counted, and if they did alter the result, they were not to be counted." But the artifice is too puerile to serve the purpose for which it was intended. For the right of a State is not contingent upon the political complexion of its vote. Whether it might elect GRANT or whether it might defeat him, had nothing to do with the point to be decided. That was a simple yea or nay on the question of admitting or excluding the vote. And when an affirmative decision was rendered, as it was on Wednesday, the *status* of Georgia was practically settled.

The acceptance of the vote is an unconditional recognition of the power to vote. No special pleading based upon the Edmunds joint resolution or on any other ground, can at all modify the essential fact. The joint action of the two Houses in receiving the vote admits of no appeal. It is positive and conclusive as well as to the legal regularity of the vote as to the authority of the State to give it. There is no middle ground in the premises. That State cannot be out of the Union, or as a State subject to Constitutional penalties, whose voice for SEYMOUR and BLAIR was formally listened to by the President of the Senate in the presence of both Houses.

The result is especially noteworthy, because directly occasioned by the Senate, which has thus far refused admission to the Georgia Senators. Had Gen. BUTLER'S objections prevailed, we should have said that exclusion from the Electoral College in this case implied exclusion from the Union. But these objections having been overruled—arbitrarily and irregularly, we must admit, but still overruled—the theory of the Senate Judiciary Committee is exploded, and the unwillingness to admit Mr. HILL ceases to be excusable. We do not look for absolute consistency in these matters, but when an important constitutional principle is at stake, we must assume that the Senate will adhere to the logical consequences of its own proceeding. After declaring that the votes of Georgia should be received, it cannot, without self-stultification, deny the right of the State to Senatorial representation.

The Erie Railroad Suits.

We published in the TIMES of yesterday Judge CARDOZO'S decision in the Erie Railway case,—vacating the previous order of Judge SUTHERLAND by which the illegal action of the directors of the Erie Railroad was restrained and Ex-Judge DAVIES appointed receiver of the road. The opinion was very long and elaborate and had the effect, of course, of denying the relief for which BELMONT and others had applied to the Court against the wrongful and injurious acts of the directors of the road.

Mr. BELMONT, however, has commenced a fresh suit in the United States Court, which will subject this whole matter to a decision in that tribunal.

MINOR TOPICS.

Some of the newspapers are doing very great mischief by persistently asserting that races are got up between ocean steamers by their owners or captains, and that they have large sums of money staked on the result. The fact that both owners and captains deny these assertions in the most explicit and peremptory manner seems to make not the slightest difference. The newspapers ignore all this, and reiterate their statements over and over again as if their truth had been established. The public is thus kept in a state of anxiety and alarm about the safety of friends who have embarked, and discredit is thrown upon all the managers of the various lines of ocean steamers. The newspapers gain by their reckless assertions nothing but the cheap satisfaction of having created a short-lived sensation,—which apparently is all they want.

Recorder HACKETT performed another good day's work yesterday among the burglars—sending another batch of them promptly to the State Prison for long terms. There can certainly be no doubt of the sincerity of Recorder HACKETT'S efforts to repress crime, so far as the terrors of the law will act in that direction. He now expresses the determination to carry on the work at any cost and against every adverse influence.

An evening paper says there is reason to believe that the whole matter of City locomotion will speedily be solved, inasmuch as somebody has invented a method of using *steam* as a propelling power on city railroads, and that it is to be tested in Williamsburg. We do not derive any great consolation from this fact. The thing to be done is to get our railroad managers to adopt such a mode of propulsion, and to induce our Common Council to permit it to be used. When *that* is discovered and put in practice we, too, shall have hope that the whole problem will be solved,—but not before.

It is scarcely necessary to call the reader's attention to the carefully prepared article on crime in New-York, printed on the first page of the TIMES this morning. The facts presented speak for themselves. The frequency, impunity and increase of offences against life and property in this City, the remissness of the Police, the shameless partiality of the magistracy, and the general inefficiency of our whole system for the prevention, detection and punishment of crime, are matters that deserve the thoughtful attention of every citizen.

BUTLER has succeeded in raising another storm in both branches of Congress. See the proceedings of yesterday, in addition to those of Wednesday. He will doubtless find encouragement from the resolution of censure which Senator GARRETT DAVIS yesterday introduced to the Senate.

Some of the book-printers on a strike have taken our advice about starting a coöperative printing establishment; and they are to hold a meeting to-night to admit shareholders. It is important that the business of organization should be done properly. We have heard of some features in the present scheme which we fear are not favorable to its success. The proper way would be to organize it on the same plan and principles as govern the coöperative printing company already in existence,—which is a success.

In another part of this morning's paper our London correspondent gives a full, clear and interesting account, from personal observation, of the operation of the Underground Railroad in London. It will repay careful perusal, as it throws light on the improvements of a similar kind projected for our own City. Just at present, however, the Elevated Railway offers the most hopeful prospects of success and adoption.

WHALEN, the Fenian murderer of D'ARCY MCGEE, was executed yesterday at Ottawa, Canada. His last words were, "God save Ireland! God save my soul!"

Everything seems to show that our law Courts cannot cope with the growth of crime in our cities—at least on the present system of action—and that some supplemental influence must be brought to bear on the difficulty. Malefactors should not be allowed to swarm together in a festering mass. They should be scattered—the worst sent to some distant and penal settlement, for life, and the reclaimable helped into some way of retrieving themselves and benefiting society. As it is, and in the natural growth of population in this land of rough, irrepressible freedom, New-York would, in a decade or so, require an army of 10,000 men, cavalry, infantry and marines, to make a show of saving people from one another. Our *shystering* law-work and its jugglery must give way to something more peremptory and more simply just to society at large.

It is said that the reason the jury recommended the murderer REAL to the leniency of the Court, was because of the intimidation that had been exercised against them by the audacious gang of ruffians who are the murderer's companions. Really, the criminals are attempting to carry things with a high hand in this City. But what is to be thought of a jury who can thus be intimidated?

It does not tend to increase the confidence of the public in the efficiency of the Police to find that members of the force will use their own discretion, at times, in the matter of giving or withholding important evidence. On the trial of REAL for the murder of SMEDICK it appeared that Officer LAMBERT kept back, at the time of the Coroner's inquest, a piece of testimony which told with most effect against the prisoner. The impropriety of this must have been evident to every one; and such exercise of individual judgment should be discountenanced as much as possible in an institution so important to society as that of the Police.

Mr. MCALPINE, in his lecture on Modern Engineering the other evening, informed his audience, as an instance of the progress of modern engineering, that the express locomotives on the "Paris and Dover Railway" have twelve wheels, and "weigh upward of sixty tons." We have never traveled on the "Paris and Dover" Railroad, but this statement regarding its engines is important, if true.

Everybody who has visited Havana has seen the immense and palatial residence of Señor ALDAMA—a man who, though one of the wealthiest of Cuban nabobs, has long been known for his liberal political principles. We have lately had a mention of the assassination of his daughter, Senorita ALDAMA, at the theatre; but the Havana correspondent of the New-Orleans *Times* gives a different account of the affair from that already published. It appears that, at the period of the recent political outbreak, the young lady was one evening present in the theatre, seated in her father's box, when the Cuban revolutionary song happened to be sung; and the audience, seeing on her left breast the American colors, with the inscription, "Long live the Republic of Cuba," sprang to their feet and cheered her enthusiastically. As she rose to acknowledge the salute, she was shot with a revolver and instantly killed—the deed being done by a Spaniard in the audience, who, in turn, was instantly shot by an American who occupied the box adjoining Senorita ALDAMA. Instantly, the whole theatre was the scene of the greatest confusion, and the Spanish troops rushed in and commenced firing upon the masses present, as described in letters we have already published. We suppose that when the time comes that Cuba shall be liberated from Spanish domination, (and it must be liberated some time,) the name and figure of Senorita ALDAMA will shine out lustrously and beautifully, and she will pass into the history and traditions of a liberated country, to be worshiped forever as a heroine and martyr.

Yesterday's cable informed us of two interesting points in English politics. The first, that the Conservatives are preparing for a vigorous opposition in Parliament—which is a matter of course if they are still alive, as they indubitably are. The second, that Mr. GLADSTONE proposes to abolish the University tests. This is indeed a fine "leap ahead," in good earnest. If

TELEGRAMS.

SPAIN.

Meeting of the Constitutional Cortes—Movements of the Carlists.

MADRID, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The greatest interest is felt in the proceedings of the Constituent Cortes, the sessions of which commence here to-day. RIVERO will certainly be chosen President. A majority of the members favor FERDINAND, father of the reigning King of Portugal, as the future ruler of Spain. Should he refuse the Duke of Montpensier will probably be chosen.

The movements of the Carlists in Guivuscoa, Biscay and Alava (commonly known as the Basque Provinces) are quite active. The French Government is watching the frontier closely.

Ten thousand troops are to sail immediately for Cuba.

PARIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

Twenty-eight Carlists, who were attempting to cross the frontier yesterday, were arrested by a body of the French Corps of Observation and sent to Bayonne.

LISBON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The United States steamer *Richmond* arrived here to-day on her way to join the European Squadron. All on board are well.

GREAT BRITAIN.

Reverdy Johnson and the Alabama Treaty—Banquet to the Ministry.

LONDON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

REVERDY JOHNSON was the guest of the Corporation of Glasgow at dinner yesterday. After the usual toasts Mr. JOHNSON made a speech, in the course of which he defended the treaty recently made for the settlement of the *Alabama* claims. He regarded this treaty as one settling the points at issue and preventing further trouble in future. He quoted from Earl RUSSELL as saying that the escape of the *Alabama* from a British port was due to the insanity of the law officer of the Crown.

The House of Lords met to-day, but, without business of importance, adjourned until the 16th inst.

LONDON, Thursday, Feb. 11—Midnight.

A banquet was given to the Ministry this evening, at Fishmongers' Hall. Mr. GLADSTONE made a speech. He reviewed the progress already made in carrying into effect the resolves for the disestablishment of the Irish Church, and declared his intention of proceeding speedily and prudently, encouraged by constitutional knowledge, by the character of the Queen, who associates herself with the interests and affections of the people, by the character and ability of his colleagues, by the favorable judgment of the country and by the justice of the cause.

Mr. BRIGHT also addressed the company. He condemned the profuse expenditures of past years, and urged the necessity on the part of the House of Commons of being more positive and decided on the question of economy.

GREECE.

The Chambers to Meet Immediately.

ATHENS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Chambers have been convoked by the new Ministry, and will meet within a few days.

PORTUGAL.

The Iberian Union Project Revived.

LISBON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

A dispatch from Lisbon mentions that the project for an Iberian Union is again renewed.

CUBA.

Two American Officers Become Insurrectionary Leaders.

HAVANA, Thursday, Feb. 11.

It is reported that the leaders of the revolution in the sugar districts of Cienfuegos, Villa Clara and Trinidad are ADOLFO CAVADA and his brother, FREDERICK CAVADA. The former was recently American Vice-Consul at Cienfuegos, and was removed at the request of Captain-General LERSUNDI. He was Colonel of a Philadelphia regiment of Zouaves during the civil war in America. The latter was until last week the American Consul at Trinidad de Cuba, and has just resigned.

Telegraphic communication between Havana and Sagua-la-Grande, and Villa Clara, which had been destroyed by the revolutionists, has been restored.

HAVANA, Thursday, Feb. 11—Evening.

Arrests continue to be frequently made by the military and the Police of suspected parties in the city and throughout the island. Among the prisoners are many well-known planters, merchants and lawyers. The English Consul at Cardenas is also among those arrested.

The *Prensa* says that at present JIMINEZ, PLANITA and CASSANOVA are the only rebel leaders in the Central Department. None of the other chiefs with their men have appeared. The number of the rebel forces at Camarones is supposed to be three thousand.

The meeting of the merchants at the Palace last night to raise funds for the Government had no decisive result. A mixed commission of merchants and planters was appointed with instructions to report a feasible plan for supplying the needs of the Government quickly and securely, without imposing additional burdens upon the middle or poorer classes.

The yacht *Henrietta* has arrived from New-York with Gen. VAN ALEN and his friends on board. Gen. VAN ALEN dined with Admiral HOFF to-day.

CANADA.

Execution at Ottawa of Whalen, the Murderer of D'Arcy McGee.

OTTAWA, Canada, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The morning opened dull, accompanied with drifting snow from the east. The country people began to arrive at an early hour, and set about securing good positions to see the scaffold and hear the last words of WHALEN, who was to be there executed. Up to 9 o'clock A. M. there were about 300 people on the east side of the jail. By 10:30 o'clock the crowd had increased to 7,000 or 8,000, but all was quiet and orderly. At 10 o'clock the Prison physician reported WHALEN in good nerve, and that no agitation or flurry was noticeable in him. A short time after, the Sheriff announced that everything was in readiness for the execution. At 11 o'clock WHALEN was pinioned, and, accompanied by the Sheriff and three priests, led from the prison, looking very pale and excited; but he moved along with a firm step and mounted the stairway to the scaffold, responding, as he walked, distinctly to the prayers of the priests. After the *Paternoster* had been repeated he said: "I beg pardon for any offence I may have committed. I forgive all parties who have injured me. I ask forgiveness from any one I may have injured. God save Ireland, and God save my soul!" The executioner then fitted on the white cap and drew it over his face, adjusted the rope, and in a few moments the drop fell, and WHALEN hung by the neck for four minutes, suffering intensely, and then breathed no more. The execution took place at 11:15 o'clock A. M., precisely. It is rumored that WHALEN left a written paper in the custody of the Sheriff, the purport of which has not yet been made public.

Appearance of the Indians at Fort Mc Pherson.

ST. LOUIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Indians again made their appearance on the south side of the Platte, near Fort McPherson. Two companies of troops were sent from Omaha last night in anticipation of serious disturbances, as the appearance of the Indians in that neighborhood is in violation of the treaty and indicates mischief.

The Cornell University—Meeting of the Directors.

ALBANY, N. Y., Thursday, Feb. 11.

A meeting of the Board of Directors of the Cornell University is now being held at Geological Hall, Hon. EZRA CORNELL in the chair, and Hon. A. B. WEAVER Secretary. Mr. CORNELL read a statement relative to the condition and progress of the new building. The resources of the University amount to $76,774 per annum; the expenses for professors to $58,300 per annum.

Hon. GEO. W. SCHUYLER, Treasurer, read a report showing the receipts to be $105,000, and expenses $171,610. Hon. A. D. WHITE, President of the University, presented his report, giving in detail the operations of the institution.

WOMAN SUFFRAGE.

Two Conventions of Women in Chicago in One Day—The Sorosis Divided.

CHICAGO, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The two Woman Suffrage Conventions commenced their sessions to-day. Some months since the Sorosis Society determined to hold a mass convention, and appointed committees, invited speakers, &c. Simultaneously slighted members of the same Society met and arranged also to hold a convention. Both accidentally chose as one of the days for the Convention, that on which Miss ANNA DICKINSON lectures, and both committees were anxious to secure her services. The Sorosis Division No. 1, aristocratic branch, met in Crosby's Music Hall, and Division No. 2 in the Library Hall, the latter meeting being the stronger. Mrs. STANTON and Miss ANTHONY countenanced it. At 11 A. M. No. 2 was called to order, and Rev. E. J. GOODSPEED was elected Chairman *pro tem.*, and Mrs. LIVERMORE vigorously stated the object of the meeting. Miss ANTHONY sketched the history of the movement, and rejoiced at the agitation and division of the Chicago Sorosis, as a proof that women were asserting their rights. The Northwest ought to demand, through the Convention, that Congress should include women in the new amendment of the Constitution. Mrs. STANTON always believed in woman's right to vote, the best way to develop a high manhood being to elevate woman.

Rev. ROBERT COLLYER opened the afternoon session with prayer.

Mrs. LIVERMORE, of Chicago, was elected permanent President. Prominent ministers, Judges and ladies were elected Vice-Presidents.

On motion of Judge BRADWELL, a committee was appointed to visit Springfield and urge the Illinois Legislature to pass laws protecting widows and orphans.

In the evening there was a rush to hear Mrs. STANTON, and an immense audience filled the Library Hall. This is probably the greatest woman's suffrage Convention ever held. At 8 o'clock Mrs. STANTON, being introduced, delivered an address, she has sent to Congress in support of a series of resolutions favoring woman suffrage. The reading of the address occupied nearly three quarters of and hour, and it was listened to with close attention. The discussion then took a rambling form, resulting in the adoption of the resolutions. The Convention will continue in session to-morrow.

CHICAGO, Thursday, Feb. 11—Noon.

The Convention met this morning at 10 o'clock, and was organized. Mr. W. PERKINS, of Ottowa, Ill., was elected Chairman *pro tem.* Rev. R. LAIRD COLLYER regretted the split in the Sorosis. He favored neither female nor universal suffrage, but approved of all real human progress. Mrs. WILLARD, of Boston, said man's inventive genius had relieved women of manual labor and given her a chance to become educated and time to attend to the government of society. The report of the Committee on Permanent Organization was adopted, electing Mr. PERKINS President, and five Chicago ladies Vice-Presidents. Prof. DEWEY complained of Rev. R. L. COLLYER's lack of sympathy, and contended that suffrage ought to be universal. Mrs. MILLS gave an historical sketch of the Chicago Sorosis, and explained the cause of the division. In the afternoon the Committee on Resolutions reported resolutions condemning Congress for proposing an amendment to the Constitution without extending the franchise to the woman; and declaring that a woman is a man's equal and coöperator, and should also be his equal in legislation and politics.

The evening session was very thinly attended and devoid of particular interest.

TENNESSEE.

Certificates to Congressmen—The Militia—Fire in Nashville.

KNOXVILLE, Tenn., Thursday, Feb. 11.

Gov. BROWNLOW issued a proclamation, this morning, granting certificates of election to LEWIS TILLMAN in the Fourth Congressional District, as against SHEAFE, and to W. J. SMITH, in the Eighth District, as against JOHN W. LEFTWICH.

The Legislature yesterday voted to request the Governor to disband the militia now in the field, and it also defeated the Omnibus Railroad bill.

NASHVILLE, Tenn., Thursday, Feb. 11.

A fire occurred this forenoon, between 5 and 6 o'clock, at Franklin, in this State, and before it could be arrested property to the amount of $100,000 was destroyed. The fire originated in a dry-goods store and continued until the entire row of buildings, from the southwest corner of the Public-square to HARRISON'S, on West Main-street, was consumed. The fire was the work of a negro incendiary, who was shot down as he emerged from the store he had fired. Most of the property was insured, but the catastrophe nearly puts an end to the business of FRANKLIN.

It is probable that the resolution adopted by the House requiring the Governor to disband the militia will meet the concurrence of the Senate, though strong efforts will be made to defeat it.

MEMPHIS, Tenn., Thursday, Feb. 11.

An affray occurred last night between two negroes, named DOBSON and SNYDER, in which the former, after being shot at by the latter, drew a carving knife and cut SNYDER in a dreadful manner. DOBSON fled.

Important Railroad Movement—A Through Route to Montreal.

RUTLAND, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Lebanon Springs Railroad, just completed and soon to be put in operation, has been leased perpetually to the Bennington and Rutland Railroad, and the latter road has been purchased, and is now owned by WM. BUTLER DUNCAN, of the firm of DUNCAN, SHERMAN & Co., of New-York; OLIVER CHARLICK, President of the Long Island Railroad; L. W. PARK, Gen. H. HENRY BAXTER, SAMUEL J. TILDEN, Major A. A. SELOVER and others. The owners yesterday organized by electing a Board of Directors, consisting of Oliver Charlick, Wm. Butler Duncan, A. A. Selden, Samuel J. Tilden, of New-York; L. W. Park, John N. Baxter, Henry Blood, Carlton T. E. Stevens and E. J. Phillips, of Vermont. The Board elected OLIVER CHARLICK, President, and L. W. PARK, Vice-President. The Company have made arrangements with the Harlem Railroad for a close connection for a long term of years. Palace and sleeping cars are to be put on when the road is opened, and will be run from New-York to Montreal without change of cars.

Abandonment of the Prosecution Against Jeff. Davis and Other Rebels.

RICHMOND, Va., Thursday, Feb. 11.

In the United States District Court to-day, the District-Attorney, under instructions from the Attorney-General, in accordance with President JOHNSON's Amnesty Proclamation, entered a *nolle prosequi* in both indictments against JEFFERSON DAVIS, and in those against LEE, WADE HAMPTON, BRECKINRIDGE, LONGSTREET, WISE, PRYOR, SEDDEN, MAHONE, EARLY and thirty others. On motion of ROBERT OULD, the Court ordered that the securities on DAVIS' bail bond be discharged from further consideration.

The Illinois Legislature.

CHICAGO, Ill., Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Lake Park bill, which was passed in the Illinois Assembly yesterday, cedes to the City of Chicago a strip of land four hundred feet wide and half a mile long, east of Michigan-avenue, partially submerged by the lake. The proceeds of the sale or lease are to constitute a park fund for the city.

The land east of the Illinois Central Railroad track and breakwater, for one mile, all under water, is granted to that Company.

Gen. FULLER's second railroad bill is more objectionable than the one that was vetoed. It not only compels the Railroad Company to adopt a cheap uniform fare, but prevents their granting commutation or excursion half-fare tickets.

The Nebraska Legislature.

ST. LOUIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Special Committee of the Nebraska Legislature having the matter in charge, reported back the memorial joint resolution praying for female suffrage, and recommending its passage.

The Fraudulent Naturalization Cases at Trenton, N. J.

TRENTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

WM. A. TREADWELL, arraigned to-day on five indictments for forging naturalization papers, and conspiring with others with same intent, pleaded not guilty, and was bailed for $5,000 to appear daily, pending trial, with NOAH TAYLOR and SAMUEL KAY, sureties. ABM. LEAK, charged with falsely swearing to certificates of naturalization, was admitted to bail for $5,000 to appear on the 23d of February. The trial of GEORGE W. THORN, Collector in Fifth District, for breach of bond, involving $100,000, was opened to-day.

The Duties of the President of Harvard College.

BOSTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

At an adjourned meeting of the Overseers of Harvard College to-day, the proposition to enable the corporation to nominate a candidate for President was discussed, the prevailing opinion expressed being that the duties of the office of President should be definitely laid down before an election. An adjournment of two weeks was carried, when the committee on the subject will probably report.

The Funeral of Ex-Gov. Hubbard, of Maine.

AUGUSTA, Me., Thursday, Feb. 11.

Ex-Gov. HUBBARD was buried this afternoon at Hallowell. The funeral services were held in the Baptist Church, and were conducted by all the clergymen in that city. Gov. CHAMBERLAIN and the Council, a large number of members of the Legislature, and a great concourse from different parts of the State were in attendance.

The Kansas Legislature.

ST. LOUIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

Both Houses of the Kansas Legislature have adopted a memorial to Congress in aid of the central branch of the Pacific Railroad, the St. Joseph and Denver Road, and the eastern division of the Union Pacific Road. Also, a memorial to Congress to tax the lands held by the Indians under patents.

Thomas Lafon Convicted of Manslaughter.

NEWARK, N. J., Thursday, Feb. 11.

The jury in the trial of THOMAS LAFON, for the killing of JOSEPH HERRING, rendered a verdict for manslaughter with a recommendation to mercy. The jury was out about four hours. The sentence will be passed Saturday.

The South Carolina Legislature.

COLUMBIA, S. C., Wednesday, Feb. 10.

The Governor sent in a veto message to the Legislature to-day, returning with his objections the act to lend the name and credit of the State to the Greenville and Columbia Railroad Company.

Miscellaneous Telegrams.

ELMIRA, N. Y., Feb. 11.—WM. WALSH, a laborer, was killed about 9 o'clock last evening, a few miles east of this city, by the cars on the Erie Railway. He stepped from one track to the other to allow a train to pass, when a train coming from the opposite direction struck him, killing him instantly.

NEWARK, N. J., Feb. 11.—JOSEPH SCOFIELD, an intemperate hatter, committed suicide at his boarding-house this morning by blowing his brains out with a pistol. He leaves a family residing in Brooklyn.

BINGHAMTON, N. Y., Feb. 11.—The town elections held in Broome County this week resulted in a Republican gain. The Board of Supervisors this year consists of sixteen Republicans and four Democrats.

WORCESTER, Mass., Feb. 11.—The case of PIKE, charged with poisoning his wife, was again before the Police Court at Fitchburg this morning, but after a long argument of the question of postponement, the examination was continued to the 25th inst.

BOSTON, Feb. 11.—SOL. AARON, a well-known pugilist, is under arrest on indictment for aiding in the late prize fight at Lynnfield.

EUROPEAN NEWS BY MAIL.

ARRIVAL OF THE CUNARD STEAMSHIP CHINA.

The Cunard steamship *China*, which left Liverpool Jan. 30, and Queenstown Jan. 31, reached this port yesterday, with one day's later news than was at hand by the *St. Laurent*.

The SIX directors of OVEREND, GURNEY & Co. (limited) were on Jan. 27 committed for trial on the charge of conspiracy to defraud the shareholders. The Court was densely packed in every part, and on the Lord Mayor announcing his decision, loud cheers were given, and were taken up by an immense crowd which had gathered outside. In reply to the usual caution, Mr. J. H. GURNEY, Mr. BARCLAY, Mr. GORDON and Mr. RENNIE each made a statement to the effect that they had no intention to defraud; that in forming the Company they had acted in perfect good faith, and that they desired the fullest investigation. The defendants were released on bail, each in £10,000, and two sureties of £5,000.

A mysterious affair has created a sensation in the Faubourg St. Germain, Paris. A son of the Compte DE MOUSTIER, nearly connected with the Ex-Minister, aged 17, attending the course of preparatory lectures for St. Cyr, quitted his parents' house on Sunday week, having previously asked for sixty francs to distribute among some poor relations he was in the habit of visiting. He neither returned home nor appeared in his accustomed place at the lecture. On Jan. 22 he was picked up on the sea coast near the Hague, in a state of asphyxia, gagged, dripping wet, and wounded in the shoulder. In his pocket-book were found twenty francs, and most fortunate his name and address. The French Legation at the Hague was instantly apprised of the fact. The Secretary to the Minister telegraphed to Comte DE MOUSTIER, who instantly started, and found his son partly paralyzed, and only able to speak after two days of medical treatment. He had been brought to Paris, but he could give no account of what happened to him.

The Prussian journals state that on the occasion of the anniversary of King FREDERICK II.'s birthday, the founder of the "Order of Merit," the Superior Chapter, sitting at Berlin, has just conferred the title of Knight on the King of Saxony, for a remarkable translation of DANTE's *Divina Comedia*. The Prussian representative at Dresden presented the insignia to His Majesty at a special audience on Jan. 25.

The property of King GEORGE of Hanover, at present placed under the administration of the Prussian authorities, amounts in value, according to a statement in the Berlin papers, to 22,074,800, which, placed in the Prussian funds, would bring in an annual revenue of about 88,200. The expense of management, which is under the direction of the Superior President of the Council of Hanover, reaches £27,000.

The King of Prussia, according to some of the Berlin journals, has just made his *début* as a journalist by publishing in a small print, much read in the army, an article entitled "In January, 1865," vaunting the new organization of the military service of the country, and criticising the conduct of the deputies whose objections, had they been listened to, would not have permitted Prussia to inscribe Sadowa in her military annals.

ALBANY.

The New State Constitution—Tolls on Railroad Freight—Absence of the Railroad Lobby—The Governor's First Veto.

From Our Own Correspondent.

ALBANY, Thursday, Feb. 11, 1869.

The Senate, in Committee of the Whole to-day resumed the discussion of Mr. FOLGER's bill for submitting the new Constitution to a vote of the people on the fourth Tuesday in April next. The question as to what should be the indorsement on the ballots for or against negro suffrage was finally decided in favor of the provision of the bill as reported—leaving the indorsement as follows: "Constitution—property qualification." Senator O'DONNELL surprised the Senate by moving an amendment to the bill so as to submit section 15 of article 8 of the Constitution to a separate vote. The section as it now stands provides that "real and personal property shall be subject to a uniform rule of taxation." This Mr. O'DONNELL considered wrong, and unless he could be permitted to dissent from that section he would be compelled to vote against the entire Constitution. The people should not be prevented by the organic law from imposing special taxes on those corporations which were best able to bear taxation. He charged that this section was inserted in the new Constitution through the influence of corporations—an influence whose baleful effects were seen in all the legislative halls of the country. Something had got to be done to lighten the taxation on real estate; the farmers were overburdened with taxes, while rich corporations appeared to conspire together to evade taxation.

The Committee rose before taking a vote on Mr. O'DONNELL's amendment.

IMPOSING TOLLS ON RAILROADS.

Senator CREAMER introduced a bill to compel all railroads running parallel, or nearly parallel, to canals, &c., within thirty miles of the same, to pay toll on all property transported by them other than the luggage of passengers—the amount of toll to be the same as that paid by the canals, and into the same fund.

THE ASSEMBLY

spent most of the morning session in Committee of the Whole on General Orders, and many bills of a local character were ordered to a third reading. A special session is to be held this evening, to continue the discussion of the New-York Commission, and also to debate Mr. LA BAU's resolution asking Congress to amend the Naturalization laws.

AFTER THE RAILROADS.

Mr. CLARK, of Brooklyn, offered a motion, which lies over, calling for all sorts of information from the Erie Railroad Company. A very strong desire is manifested, by a portion of the Legislature, to do something that shall bring the railroad kings to Albany again this Winter. This is the secret of most of the bills, resolutions and investigating committees about railroads. The enormous sums of money spent here last Winter, during the quarrels of the railroad men, seem to have utterly demoralized a portion of the Legislature, and they long for a return of that festive season when greenbacks were plenty as snowflakes, and votes were worth five, ten or twenty thousand dollars apiece. But the railroad men have learned wisdom. It is reported that they have determined not to spend a cent this Winter, but to rely for protection on the Courts, being confident that the lawyers will be able to drive a locomotive, or a whole train of cars if necessary, through any bill that the Legislature can enact.

THE FIRST VETO.

Gov. HOFFMAN exercised his veto prerogative for the first time to-day, in returning to the Assembly a bill authorizing the First Presbyterian Society of the town of Perry to dispose of its real estate. The veto is as follows:

STATE OF NEW-YORK, EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT, ALBANY, Feb. 11, 1869.

To the Assembly:

I return without my signature an act entitled "An act to authorize the Trustees of the First Presbyterian Church and Society of the town of Perry to convey certain real estate," which was passed in the Assembly, Jan. 14, 1869, and in Senate, Feb. 9, 1869. No special legislation is necessary to attain the object of the bill. The Legislature, long ago, very wisely provided, by a general law, for such cases. It will be seen by reference to that portion of our Revised Statutes which relates to religious corporations, that the Supreme Court may, upon application, make an order for the sale of any real estate belonging to such corporation, and direct the application of the moneys arising therefrom to such uses as the Court may deem to be proper and for the interests of the society. The wisdom of the Legislature, in placing this power, by a general enactment in the Supreme Court has never been doubted, and need not now be discussed. It may be convenient in some instances to obtain authority directly from the Legislature for the sale of the property of a Church; but it is always safer to refer the applicants to the Court, the rules and regulations of which provide for proper and necessary inquiries into the merits of each application. I am sorry to be compelled to interpose my veto in the case of a bill of so little apparent importance; but I have long deplored the fact that so many applications are made for special legislation in cases which are or should be covered by general laws. These applications press heavily upon the valuable time of public men, and add largely to the public expenses. They should be discountenanced and discouraged. General laws should be passed to meet, as far as possible, the requirements and wants of the people; and special legislation should always be refused in cases which are already covered by general enactments.

(Signed) JOHN T. HOFFMAN.

The veto was unanimously sustained. The Governor will be lucky if his future vetoes meet a similar fate.

THE EXCISE LAW.

Mr. BLISS, counsel for the Excise Commissioners, is here, and will have a hearing before the Committee on Internal Affairs this afternoon on the various amendments that have been offered to the present Excise law. There is a general sentiment among the Republican members of the Legislature in favor of some modifications of the law, and it will doubtless undergo some changes this session. The counsel for the Commissioners does not oppose reasonable modifications, and is willing to consent to an extension of the hours during which liquor shops are allowed to keep open, and also to a more equal distribution of the tax in the matter of license fees. S.

THE STATE LEGISLATURE.

SENATE..ALBANY, Thursday, Feb. 11.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

By Mr. GENET—To complete high-service water works at Carmansville, in the City of New-York.

By Mr. MURPHY—To amend the code of procedure.

By Mr. TWEED—To incorporate the New-York Real Estate Banking Company, with a capital of $500,000. The first corporators named are Samuel A. Lewis, Griffith Rowe, Benjamin Nathan, James M. Sweeney and Terrance Farley.

By Mr. HUMPHREY—To incorporate the Trustees of the Wadsworth Library at Genesco. Also, for the further endowment of the Geneseo College. Also, to legalize the acts of JOHN M. BEACH, of Geneseo.

By Mr. VAN PATTEN—To amend the charter of the village of Herkimer. Also, to authorize the Regents of the University to apply $2,500 to purchase books for the benefit of Academies.

By Mr. FOLGER—Relative to a review by the Assessors of the assessment rolls.

By Mr. PARKER—To amend the laws relative to rural Cemetery Associations.

By Mr. O'DONNELL—To authorize the construction of a bridge over Beaver River, in Lewis County.

By Mr. CAULDWELL—To lay out a highway in West Farms, Westchester County; also, to establish the office of Receiver of Taxes in New-Rochelle, Westchester County.

By Mr. MATTOON—That every notary public may continue to discharge the duties of his office after his term may have expired, till a successor has been appointed.

By Mr. CREAMER—Providing that any railroad company running parallel with the canals, and within thirty miles of such canal, such company shall pay to the canal fund on all property the same toll upon that part of the road running parallel to the canal that would have been payable to the State if such property had been transported over the canals.

By Mr. PIERCE—To incorporate the Passenger Transit Company between the Cities of New-York and Brooklyn.

Senator GENET's bill proposes empowering the New-York City authorities to expend the sum of $290,000, in addition to the amount heretofore given, for high-service water works, and $190,000 for completion of storage and reservoirs in Putnam County.

BILLS PASSED.

In relation to normal schools. To authorize the town of Manheim to construct a bridge across Rocket Creek. To amend the charter of the village of Red Creek. To incorporate the Board of Education of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Requiring the accounts of the Superintendent of the Poor of Allegany County to be audited by the Board of Supervisors. To provide for the improvement of Racket River. To authorize towns in Jefferson County to takestock in the Carthage, Watertown and Sackett's Harbor Railroad Company. Relative to the purchase by the Flushing and Northside Railroad Company, of the Woodside Railroad. To authorize the extension of the Clove Branch Railroad. For the construction of a Court-house in Salem, Washington County. Senator MURPHY presented the memorial of the Kings County Supervisors, asking for the continuance of the General Storekeeper in the Kings County Almshouse. Adjourned.

ASSEMBLY.

PILLS PASSED.

To authorize the Village of Hamilton to issue bonds and to take stock in the Utica, Clinton and Binghamton Railroad. To release the interest of the State in certain lands in Highland, Sullivan County. To change the name of the "Devoe Pratt Manufacturing Company," To incorporate the Round Lake Camp-meeting Association. To incorporate the Andrew Johnson Club of New-York City. Authorizing the General Theological Seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church to confer honorary degrees in theology. To legalize the acts of JOSEPH GUTMAN, Jr., a notary public. To legalize the acts of JAMES MARSTON as a Justice of the Peace of Lewis County. To settle the claim of THOMAS D. GILSON, a former clerk of Clinton Prison. Making the waters of Harrison Creek in Canton, St. Lawrence County, a public highway. For the drainage of certain lands in Ira, Cayuga County. To authorize the borrowing of money for the erection of a school-house in Sheoman, Chautauqua County. To confirm the official acts of certain town officers in the County of Westchester. To release to SUSAN QUINN the State's interest in certain lands. To facilitate the service of civil process on non-resident stockholders. To provide for taking affidavits in other States, to be used in this State. To incorporate the Flushing Library Association. To establish a police force and commission in Syracuse. To change the name of the Manhattan Mutual Benefit Company, of the City of New-York. In relation to the opening of roads through lands devoted to vineyards. To permit the Fulton Manufacturing Company to reduce the number of trustees.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

By Mr. HUSTED—To provide for the grading of Centre-street, Peekskill.

By Mr. HITCHMAN—To authorize the Commissioners of Public Charities and Correction, of New-York, to establish an industrial school on Hart's Island.

By Mr. CONGER—Relative to the elections in Bethlehem, Albany County.

By Mr. RICH—Authorizing the trustees of the Society of Disciples, of Newstead, Erie County, to sell property; also, relative to the tolls on the Buffalo and Williamsville Road.

By Mr. DIMICK—To authorize the village of Adams to aid in the construction of the Rungerford Collegiate Institute.

By Mr. JACOBS—To extend the term of service of the Police of Kings County. To authorize the Kings County Court Judges to send persons to the Penitentiary.

By Mr. CLARK—To amend the laws relative to the inspection of boilers in Kings County. To provide for the payment of the salaries of the Wadsworth Library.

By Mr. SMITH—To incorporate the trustees of State Arsenal at Rochester. Also, for the relief of the Rochester and Brighton Railroad.

By Mr. SCHOONMAKER—To protect the civil and religious rights of citizens. Also, relative to the New-York Savings Bank.

By Mr. HITCHMAN—To incorporate the State Poultry Association.

By Mr. KREGOR—To incorporate the New-York Plate Glass Insurance Company. Also, to promote the safety of travelers on railroads.

By Mr. PORTER—To amend the laws relative to the Carmansville high-service water works.

By Mr. HITCHMAN—To amend the charter of the Western Homeopathic Dispensary of New-York.

By Mr. STEVENS—To provide for canal improvement in Oneida County.

The Committee on Federal Relations, to whom was referred the resolutions in relation to the deferred payment of the bounties of volunteers of 1864, reported the following resolution:

Resolved, If the Senate concur, that, in the judgment of this Legislature, good faith on the part of the Federal Government requires that provision should be made by Congress for the full payment of the proffered bounties to soldiers who served for at least three-fourths of the specified time.

Also, requesting the State Representatives at Washington to lay the subject before Congress and use their best endeavors to procure the requisite legislation. Carried.

The Governor returned to the Assembly to-day without his signature the bill authorizing the First Presbyterian Church and Society of the town of Perry to convey real estate. The message says no special legislation is necessary to attain the object of the bill, as the Legislature long ago very wisely provided by general law for such cases. The veto was sustained.

Mr. H. M. CLARK offered the following:

Resolved, That the President and Treasurer of the New-York and Erie Railroad Company be required, within ten days from the passage of this resolution, to report in writing, under oath, to the Speaker of this House the exact amount of stock of the said Company now outstanding; also, the amount in detail of the funded and floating debt of the said Company, such information to be up to and including the date of the passage of the resolution.

Debate arising, the resolution was tabled.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the Assembly of the State of New-York recognize the claim for the pension of the surviving soldiers of the War of 1812 not provided for by the existing laws, and said Assembly respectfully memorialize Congress in their behalf.

Resolved, That His Excellency the Governor be respectfully requested to transmit a copy of this resolution, duly certified, to the Senators and Representatives in Congress from this State, to be brought by them to the attention of the Senate and House of Representatives respectively.

Carried.

The House then took a recess.

EVENING SESSION.

The House, in Committee of the Whole, considered the message of Gov. HOFFMAN, Messrs. HITCHMAN, NACHTMAN and PLUNKETT supporting the measures recommended in it, by eloquent arguments.

The naturalization resolutions of Mr. LAIDD, asking Congress to pass general naturalization laws were then taken up, but without debate were made the special order for Thursday evening.

AMUSEMENTS.

Musical.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC.

Mr. MARETZEK's short season of twenty performances commenced last evening with the usual result. The man was at the wheel, but those who said he should not be there were absent. In other words the performance was good, and the attendance wretched. The opera was the "Sicilian Vespers," a work that is familiar but which possesses many dramatic opportunities for new artists. Mme. AGATHA STATES was admirable as the *Duchess Elena*. Her large, grand voice was heard to advantage—a voice, we take occasion to say, which is not excelled on any stage. If the lady had not the misfortune to be an American she would probably be considered the most amply gifted *artiste* that has ever touched these shores; but she is American and must linger. Signor BRIGNOLI was the *Arrigo* and sang charmingly. He is still the best soft tenor of the stage. Signor ORLANDINI was simply bad. Signor ANTONUCCI, with his large, true voice, once more maintained the position of an artist. He sang well, and took some interest in the performance, which is more than can be said of any one except Mme. STATES. The whole performance is not worth referring to except in a brief and uncritical way. It is now sadly but distinctly apparent that opera can be given anywhere—except at the Academy of Music.

Matinees To-Morrow.

There will be *matinées* to-morrow at both the theatres sacred to opera bouffe. At the Theatre Français, "Fleur de Thé," a work in which music, drollery and pageants are happily combined, will be sung by Miles. DESCLAUZAS and RIZZARELLI, and MM. CARRIER, BECKERS and PETIT. At the Grand Opera House, Mlle. TOSTES, with MM. DECRES, DUCHESNE, LEDUC and LAGRIFFOUL will interpret "Orphée aux Enfers."

Personal.

Count Henri Conrad Irzcinski and Count de Wierzbicki, of France, are at the Hoffman House. Gen. George S. Batchelder, of Albany; Gen. Sutton, of Salem; and Hon. John Lynch, of Maine, are at the St. Nicholas Hotel. Admiral Christmas, of the British Navy, is at the St. Denis Hotel. Hon. Marshall Jewell, of Hartford; Hon. A. Brandegee, of New-London, Conn.; Hon. A. Wing, of Albany; and Hon. T. E. Graves, of Boston, are at the Fifth-avenue Hotel. Gens. John C. Wyman and John L. Swift, of Massachusetts, are at the Westmoreland Hotel. Gen. Johnson, of Boston, is at the St. James Hotel. Gen. Samuel Curtis, of the United States Army, is at the Westminster Hotel. F. Giriades, of London, is at the St. Charles Hotel.

Death of Bamberger.

BAMBERGER, who shot Miss BORD, and afterward shot himself, at No. 116 Seventh-avenue, at 6 A. M. yesterday, as reported in another column, died at a late hour last night.

Correction.

The statement that the Plasterers' Society is about to strike for increased wages is an error. They have had no such project under consideration. The standard wages during the last few years have been $4 50 a day, and the plasterers have no intention of making further demands.

The West Side.

A reference to our advertising columns will show another important sale, to take place on Monday next, of lots in this favorite district. It consists of the entire block bounded by Eighth and Ninth avenues, and Seventieth and Seventy-first streets. It has a full front of 200 feet on the Central Park, at a point combining, doubtless, more attractions at a single view than can be found at any other one point on the whole line. The upper and lower Ball Grounds, the Mall, the Music Stand, the Lake and the Ramble, the whole bounded by the magnificent setting of the Fifth-avenue, are here brought into one view, and that such a view of beauty, magnificence and life as, in a few years, will probably have no parallel on the globe. The Ninth-avenue must, from necessity, become the business avenue of that district, since the Eighth (soon to have a more aristocratic name) and the Boulevard will be appropriated by magnificent residences, and ornamented grounds. This must give the lots on this avenue a great value. Everything indicates that this favored district, bordered on the one hand by the Central Park and on the other by the magnificent scenery of the Hudson River, and bisected throughout by the Grand Boulevard, is the chosen ground of the future fashion and wealth of New-York. As such, we dare not venture to predict what its future value may be. There is no rule by which to measure the value of property in New-York, when touched by the golden wand of fashion. She wills it, and the cost disappears from the calculation. Some men's fortune is in their foresight. Such are laying their fortunes now, in the West Side district.

Sale of Diamonds.

In accordance with announcement, the very large and valuable collection of diamonds and gems of Mr. ISAAC HERMANN, were yesterday offered at public sale by A. M. CHESTALAR & SON. The attendance was numerous and included mostly business men. The catalogue consisted of two hundred and three lots, some represented by clusters of perfect six and seven carat diamonds, and others substantialised by mere pinches of glittering sand. The bidding, although spirited, was hardly as animated as might have been looked for from connoisseurs. As a consequence, most of the valuable lots, among which were some stones unique in size and beauty, remained unsold. The total value of the collection is estimated at $200,000.

BALLSTON SPA.—Artesian Lithia Spring Water cures rheumatism, gout, dyspepsia, kidney and other diseases. The only spring water in the world that contains all the ingredients of the European and Saratoga Springs with twice their strength. For sale by druggists.—*Advertisement.*

MISSISQUOI SPRING WATER.—The only known remedy for Cancer and all diseases of the Kidneys. For sale by druggists. Depot, 536 Broadway, N. Y.—*Advertisement.*

Church and Parlor ORGANS AND MELODEONS.

45,000 NOW IN USE.

GEORGE A. PRINCE & CO.,

BUFFALO, N. Y. | CHICAGO, ILL.

We have manufactured Melodeons and Organs twenty-two years, and will not risk our well-earned reputation by making cheap instruments.

We have not made a great reduction in prices, and cannot, until a reduction in the price of labor and material will warrant us in doing so. Organs are advertised at $60, Gold Watches at $15, &c. Sensible people can judge of their value.

Instruments of our make (twenty years old) are now valued by their owners as highly as the day they were bought, and it is our intention to sustain our old reputation.

Illustrated catalogues and price lists sent free to any address. A liberal discount to Churches and Clergymen.

GEO. A. PRINCE & CO.

Agent in New-York.....S. T. GORDON
Agent in Philadelphia.....JAMES BELLAK

Velocepedian Mania.

GREAT STEEPLE CHASE BETWEEN GREELEY, DANA AND RAYMOND.

A most amusing Cut representing the above will appear in

THE EVENING TELEGRAM, SATURDAY, Feb. 13.

Velocepedian Mania.

GREAT

LAW REPORTS.

COURT CALENDARS FOR FRIDAY.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

Part I.—Held by Barnard, J.

Adjourned to Monday.

Part II.—Held by Ingraham, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Short Causes.

Nos.
2286—Russell vs. Sanborn.
2380—Bliss vs. Price.
2408—Ermer vs. Miller et al.
2276—Hotchkiss vs. Blakely, imp., &c.
443¼—The Neptune S. S. Co. vs. Savage et al.
980—Brown vs. Felt.
1153—Wright vs. Billings.
1044—Wickes vs. Reed et al.
2286—Emberson vs. Wicks.
2535—Shea vs. Richardson et al.
2406—Rosenthal et al. vs. Lowenstein.
2372—Straub vs. Millemann.
2710—Fourth Nat. Bank of New-York vs. N. A. Steamship Co. et al.
2042—McGrath et al. vs. Youngs.
2338—Townsend et al. vs. Carpenter.
2350—Reed vs. Saunders et al.
2000—Whitehouse vs. Hebberd et al.
2010—Cook et al. vs. Caulker et al.
3114—Welles vs. Crooker.
3184—Demarest & Co. vs. Healy.
2222—Fitzgerald vs. Colligan.
2224—Johnson vs. Jackson et al.
2342—Arnoux vs. Bennett.
2330—Selden vs. Bayley.
2362—Same vs. Same.
2330—Hall vs. Upright.
2332—Aub et al. vs. Swart.
2356—Thorburn vs. Seymour et al.
2376—Burrass et al. vs. Shute et al.
2412—Cladius et al. vs. Regensburger.
2402—Bridgman vs. Wilder Sal. Safe Co.
2436—Fryer vs. Goodwin.
2440—Kelly, Sheriff, &c., vs. Whitney et al.
2446—Nolen vs. Myer et al.
2445—Ruppell vs. Myer et al.
2494—Mott vs. Jewett et al.

Part III.—Held by Clerke, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Short Causes.

Nos.
4286—McGrane vs. Lucas and others.
977—Barton vs. Isaacs et al.
2449—First National Bank of Richmond vs. Walker.
2485—Bayley vs. Phelps.
2635—Birdseye et al. vs. Montfort Horse Shoe Company.
2377—Hancock vs. Gomez et al.
2243—Shea vs. King.
2945—Potter vs. Hyman et al.
2997—Meyer vs. Lester.
2297—Conant vs. Ten Eyck.
3073—Bartram et al vs. Leith.
3287—Washington Iron Works vs. Chamberlain et al.
3401—Wapler vs. Olney.
3403—Haas vs. Holz et al.
3415—Decost et al vs. Papy.
3429—Vidcaux et al vs. Wentworth.
3431—Scribner vs. Mitchell
3463—Stohr vs. Mead, impleaded.
3475—Tweddle et al vs. Schafer.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Held by Sutherland, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Demurrers.

Nos.
8—Wilks vs. The Mayor, &c.
9—Tindlen et al. vs. The Mayor, &c.

Issues of Law and Fact.

Nos.
159—Percival vs. Gilman et al.
29—Knapp vs. Met. Collar Co.
129—Close, Assignee, vs. Wooster Sherman's Sons.
129½—Hartshorne et al. vs. Rolff et al.
123—Pistor, Surv., vs. Hatfield et al.
135—Dick vs. Glover, Executor, et al.
143—Goodwin et al. vs. Einstein et al.
146—Norton et al. vs. Taylor et al.
148—Sixth-avenue R.R. Co. vs. McIntyre et al.
150—Elmore vs. East N. Y. and Jamaica R. R. Co. et al.
156—Voorhis, Jr., vs. Kiernan et al.

Nos.
153—Close, Assignee, vs. Sherman et al.
164—De Lavye, Assignee, vs. Pike et al.
161—Loughran vs. Kerr.
169—Chapman et al. vs. Hubbard et al.
171—Wilson et al. vs. Ninth-avenue R. R. Co.
175½—Lyon vs. De Comeau et al.
177—Lathrop S. M. Co. vs. Bond & Lathrop Manuf. Co. et al.
181—Cranston vs. Mason.
184—Voorhis, Admin., vs. Sherman et al.
188—Hirsch vs. Berrand et al.
196—Booth vs. Lent et al.
202—Fielder vs. Young.
205—Martin, Trustee, vs. Brunswick Canal R. R. Co.
206¼—Webb vs. Buckelew.

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS.

Held by Cardozo J.—Court opens at 10 A. M.—Call of Calendar at 12 M.

Reserved Cases.

Nos.
71—Livingston, &c., vs. Birdsall et al.
168—Culkin, Receiver, vs. Manhattan Oil Co.
195—Maloney vs. Fowler.
220—Ruchert vs. Lorillard Fire Ins. Co.
226—Winans vs. Izod.
268—Birdsall, &c., vs. Livingston, &c.

Nos.
238—In re Cecil vs. Met. Gaslight Co.
294—Claflin et al. vs. Christal, &c.
299—Bloss vs. Roper et al.
303—Noah vs. Man. Coop. Relief Association.
304—Same vs. Same.
305—Johnson vs. Ashmeyer.
307—Clash vs. Donnelly.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Part I.—Held by Monell, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Short Causes.

Nos.
466—Smith vs. Duchardt.
1234—Bartram vs. Bendall.
1315—Bennett et vs. Wardwell.
1251—Griggs et al. vs. Davidson Express Co.
1119—Kirkham vs. Fell.
1363—Egan vs. Bolte.
1341—Bonnell vs. Richards.
1464—Pelzer vs. Cong. Bilkur Cholim Ykradisha.

Nos.
1384—Soule et al. vs. Chase.
1479—Noe vs. Thomson, &c.
1367—Matthews vs. Maurer, impl'd.
1445—Rausch vs. Miller et al.
1313—Van Saun et al. vs. McMillan.
1429—Nicholson v. East River Bank.
1510—Stern vs. Bacharach, impl'd, &c.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Part II.—Held by Jones, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Nos.
848—Scheele et al. vs. Lippman.
602—Cooper vs. Stieghtz.
726—Buttles vs. Hitchcock.
730—Crowley et al. vs. McKnight.
804—Moylan vs. Crawford.
126—Mathews vs. Spaulding.
614—King vs. Heinsel.
826—Jenett vs. Crook et al.
676—Ross vs. Whitefield.

Nos.
710—Reed vs. Brunner et al.
718—Dingel vs. Gordon.
512—Conlon vs. Watjen.
750—Masterson vs. Schanck et al.
756—Barnum and Vanamburgh Co. vs. St. Mark's Fire Ins. Co.
758—Barnum and Vanamburgh Co. vs. commerce Fire Ins. Co.

MARINE COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Calendar called by Alker, J., at 10 A. M.

Nos.
1638—Uthoffe vs. Courtney.
1623—Kallaher vs. George.
1616—Sanderson vs. Gonsalenda.
1689—Durnin vs. Brett.
1673—Walter v. Gibbons.
1707—Kerr vs. Dibble.
1712—Kelaer vs. Mooney.
1714—Cowie vs. Reed.
1548—O'Mahoney vs. Taylor.
1682—Cramer vs. Dinsmore.
1558—Swart vs. Hunting.
1622—McGlean vs. Temple.
1305—White vs. Harrison.
1653—Farrell vs. Duggin.
1497—Hunt vs. Conway.
488—Young vs. Young.

Nos.
1674—Burnham vs. Jones.
1579—McMahon vs. Daly.
1567—Dexter vs. Lasmanski.
1628—Kyle vs. Stein.
— Woods vs. Dilger.
— Kennelly vs. Gleason.
1565—Geoghegan vs. Robinson.
1804—Kennelly vs. Haines.
1625—Gray vs. Coburn.
1664—Shotwell vs. Foster.
1626—Davis vs. Stern.
1627—Schreiber vs. Koppe.
1716—Flaville vs. Boetwick.
1717—Case vs. Lent, Jr.
1718—Straus vs. Herman.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

Held by John K. Hackett, Recorder.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Nos.
1—The People vs. Amelia Appel; grand larceny.
2—The People vs. Robert Shearer, burglary.
3—The People vs. Charles Newman, forgery.
4—The People vs. Edward Tupper and John Williams, burglary.

Nos.
5—The People vs. James Hart and Wm. Morris, burglary.
6—The People vs. John Leonard, rape.
7—The People vs. James Brown, R. Smith, John Willis, William Hassett and Solomon Green, robbery.

CITY COURT—BROOKLYN—FEB. 12.

Nos.
94—Gregory vs. Coffin.
114—Smith vs. Hall.
117—Hausman vs. Vigl.
119—Briggs vs. Barwick.

Nos.
177—Davis vs. Roebuck.
125—Hawkhurst vs. Goulding.
130—Ryan vs. Short.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT—SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW-YORK.

ATTACHMENTS IN COMMON LAW ACTIONS—NOTICES OF LIS PENDENS—POWER AND PRACTICE OF THE COURT.

The United States vs. Vernon K. Stevenson.—BLATCHFORD J.—This is an action at common law. The first paper placed on the records of the Court in it was an information, which was filed on the 1st of March, 1867. It states that the Attorney of the United States comes "in a suit of common law and informs the Court" that the United States bring suit against the defendant for the cause of action propounded in two articles which follow in the information. The substance of them is, that the United States were entitled to the immediate possession of certain bales of cotton, their property; that the defendant, being in possession of the cotton, unlawfully converted and disposed of it to his own use; that such conversion was fraudulent; and that the proceeds of the property had been disposed of by the defendant with intent to secrete the same from and to defraud the United States. The information prayed that process of attachment might issue against the property of the defendant, and was accompanied by an affidavit in support of the application for an attachment. Indorsed on the information is a direction signed by my predecessor, and dated Feb. 26, 1867, in these words: "Let process of attachment issue against the property of the within-named Vernon K. Stevenson, as prayed by the prayer of the within-named information, and let the said Vernon K. Stevenson be cited to appear on the return of process herein, and answer to the allegations in this behalf." Thereupon, process was issued to the Marshal on the 1st of March, 1867, reciting that the information had been filed "in a certain action at common law," and commanding the Marshal to cite the defendant, if found in his district, to appear and answer the information, and, also, to attach the property of the defendant. The information and the process stated the claim as the sum of $1,000,000. The return of the Marshal to the process was that he had served a copy of it on the defendant, and had also served a copy of it on the President of a bank in the City of New-York, stock in which was alleged to be owned by the defendant. On the 1st of March, 1867, a notice signed by the District-Attorney, and entitled in the suit and indorsed as below, viz., "*Lis pendens*," was filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court. The notice states, "that an action has been commenced, and is now pending, in this Court, upon an information against the above-named defendant, and that a warrant of attachment, according to the rules and practice of this Court and the statute in such case made and provided, has been duly issued therein against all and singular the property of the said defendant, Vernon K. Stevenson, both real and personal, situate and being within the City and County and State of New-York, and also situate and being in the Southern District of the United States for the State of New-York, and that the following is a description of all and singular the real estate of the said defendant, Vernon K. Stevenson, situate and being within the said City and County and State of New-York, attached, levied upon, and affected under and by virtue of said process or warrant of attachment," and closes with a specific description, by metes and bounds, of the real estate referred to, which embraces nearly lots of land in the City of New-York. A like notice of *lis pendens* was filed by the District-Attorney in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court for the City and County of New-York. The defendant put in his answer in the suit, and the issue has been tried by a jury, resulting in a verdict for the defendant, under the direction of the Court, on a question of law. The Government has taken steps toward a review of the decision. The defendant now moves to vacate the attachment on the ground that it was issued without authority of law, and to set aside the notice of *lis pendens* on the ground that they were filed without authority of law. In regard to the attachment, it is claimed that this Court has no authority to issue any attachment in a common law action; that in the practice of the Courts of the United States for this district, no attachments have ever been issued in common law actions; that the right to issue attachments and the right to file notices of *lis pendens* are not matters of ordinary legal right, but exist only as creations of positive statutes; and that there is no statute of the United States which authorizes this Court to issue an attachment or to sanction the filing of a notice of *lis pendens* in a suit of the character of the present one. This suit must necessarily be regarded as a suit at common law or this Court would have no jurisdiction of it; for, by the ninth and tenth sections of the Judiciary act of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 77,) no jurisdiction of any equity suits is given to this Court, except of suits in equity against Consuls or Vice-Consuls; and by the ninth section of that act, in connection with the fourth section of the act of March 3, 1815, (3 U. S. Stat. at Large, 244,) jurisdiction is expressly given to this Court of all suits at common law where the United States sue. The statute which governs the forms of process and the forms of proceeding, and the modes of proceeding in suits at common law, in the Courts of the United States, is the act of May 8, 1792, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 275.) The second section of that act provides that "the forms of writs, executions, and other process, except the style, and the forms and modes of proceeding in suits, in those of common law, shall be the same as are now used in the said Courts respectively, in pursuance of the act entitled 'An act to regulate processes in the Courts of the United States,' * * * * except so far as may have been provided for by the act to establish the judicial Courts of the United States, subject, however, to such alterations and additions as the said Courts respectively shall in their discretion deem expedient, or to such regulations as the Supreme Court of the United States shall think proper, from time to time, by rule, to prescribe to any Circuit or District Court concerning the same." The act referred to "to regulate processes in the Courts of the United States" is the act of Sept. 29, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 93,) the second section of which provides "that until further provision be made, and except where by this act or other statutes of the United States is otherwise provided, the forms of writs and executions, except their style, and modes of process and rates of fees, except fees to Judges in the Circuit and District Courts, in suits at common law, shall be the same in each State respectively as are now used or allowed in the Supreme Courts of the same." This Court existed when these acts of 1789 and 1792 were passed. It therefore is required by the act of 1792 to use as its forms of process and modes of proceedings in suits at common law, the forms and modes which it was using in such suits on the 8th of May, 1792, (and which forms and modes were required by the act of 1792, taken in connection with the act of 1789, to be the forms and modes used or allowed on the 29th of September, 1789, in the Supreme Court of the State of New-York) subject only, as provided by the act of 1792, to any provisions contained in the Judiciary act of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 73,) and also to such alterations and additions as this Court shall, in its discretion, deem expedient, and also to such regulations as the Supreme Court of the United States shall think proper, from time to time, by rule, to prescribe to this Court. The first section of the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 278,) does not apply to the present case, because the State of New-York was admitted into the Union before the 30th of September, 1789; and the third section of that act applies only to final process. It is unnecessary to cite authorities to show that on the 29th of September, 1789, the only form of process of attachment of property used or allowed in the Supreme Court of New-York in a common law action where an individual was the plaintiff, and where a personal service of process was attempted with process in the action, unless the defendant was shown to be an absconding or concealed debtor. No general process of attachment of property in a common law action in favor of an individual plaintiff was known to the common law. The only statute authority which existed on the 29th of September, 1789, for the issuing of an attachment by the Supreme Court of New-York in a common law action, was that conferred by the act of the Legislature of New-York passed April 4, 1788, (Laws of New-York, ninth session, chap. 24, vol. 1, Greenleaf's edition, p. 84,) which provided that when a debtor secretly departs the State or keeps concealed within it, a creditor, or creditors, to a certain amount, may apply to a Judge of the Supreme Court showing the debt and the departure or concealment of the debtor, with intent to defraud his creditors of their just dues or to avoid being arrested by the ordinary process of law, and proving the departure or concealment by two credible witnesses, and obtain from the Judge a warrant to attach the real and personal estate of the debtor. The present case was not one of that kind. No provisions for the subject are found in the Judiciary act of Sept. 24, 1789; and the Supreme Court of the United States has never, by rule, prescribed any regulations to this Court in regard to the issuing of attachments in common law actions. The Supreme Court decided, in Wayman vs. Southard, (10 Wheaton, 1,) that the act of 1792 was confined, in its adoption of State laws, or regulations in the modes of process in suits at common law, to those in force in September, 1789; that it did not recognize the authority of any laws of that description which might be afterward passed by the States; and that it disabled the several Courts of the Union to make such improvements in their forms and modes of proceeding as experience might suggest, and especially to adopt such State laws on the subject as might be found to be advantageous to the forms and modes of proceeding which prevailed in September, 1789. It is not necessary that a practice of a Court, to be recognized or sustained, should be embodied in a written rule. Written rules are undoubtedly preferable, but a practice in respect to a particular matter in a Court may be established without the existence of a positive written rule. (Fullerton vs. Bank of the United States, 1 Peters, 604, 613; Duncan vs. the United States, 7 Peters, 435, 451.) The fact that my learned predecessor, who presided in this Court for more than forty years, granted this admiralty process is the strongest possible evidence that he must have regarded it as the practice of the Court to issue an attachment in a case like the present one, and that he must have considered either that such practice existed in the Supreme Court of New-York on the 29th of September, 1789, or that a departure had been established, either by written rule or by the practice of this Court, from the practice which existed in September, 1789; and that this Court had, within the second section of the act of 1792, altered its form of process and mode of proceeding in this suit, like the present one, in such manner as to authorize the issuing of the attachment that was issued in this case. The Judge who issued it knew better than any other person the practice which existed in this Court in cases in question, and his action in a case of the character of the present one, involving a claim of so large an amount, and affecting real estate of such large value, must be regarded by me as conclusive in regard to the fact of the establishment and existence of a practice which warranted the attachment in this case. Whether it be regarded as a proceeding on the principles prerogative of the United States, or on the construction of some written rule of this Court, or on acquiescence and uniform mode of proceeding, or on some specific act of Congress, cannot be ascertained, as his views are not on record, and the points in immaterial on this application. I am satisfied, from inquiry, that the matter of issuing the attachment was deliberately considered by him, and that his conclusion was not hastily reached. The propriety of that conclusion is strengthened by the fact that nearly two years have elapsed without the authority of the Court to issue the attachment being questioned by the defendant, so far, therefore, as the motion to vacate the attachment is founded upon an alleged want of authority in this Court to issue it, the motion must be overruled. The act of March 14, 1865, (9 U. S. Stat. at Large, 314,) was referred to as affecting the question. That act provides "that whenever, upon process instituted in any of the Courts of the United States, property shall be attached to satisfy such judgment as may be recovered by the plaintiff in such process, and any contingency occurs by which, according to the laws of State, such attachment would be dissolved upon like process pending in, or returnable to, the State Courts, then such attachment or attachments made upon process issuing from, or pending in, the Courts of the United States within such State, shall be dissolved, the intent and meaning of this act being to place such attachments in the Courts of the States and the United States upon the same footing." No contingency, such as is referred to in this act, is shown to have occurred, as a ground for dissolving this attachment. So far as the motion is based upon the ground of amnesty and pardon, of which the defendant claims the benefit, these matters go to the entire action, and not merely to the question of attachment, and must be brought up, if at all, by way of plea. The question of pardon was so brought up in the case of Armstrong's family, (6 Wallace, 766.) If the attachment was properly issued, it was not irregular to file in the office of the Clerk of this Court the notice of *lis pendens* that was filed therein. As the notice is filed in the office of the Clerk of the State Court, this Court has no control over the records of that Court, or over the action of the District-Attorney in filing it there. The motion is denied.

T. Simons, (Assistant District-Attorney,) for the plaintiffs. J. E. Ward and C. A. Seward, for the defendant.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT—SOUTHERN DISTRICT.

Before Judge Benedict.

SENTENCE—INSANITY.

The United States vs. Charles Harper.—District-Attorney Courtney moved that the sentence of the Court be pronounced upon this defendant, who has pleaded guilty at this term of the Court to the charge of uttering counterfeit national currency. Judge Stewart, counsel for the defence, called the attention of the Court to the prisoner's condition, stating that he was certainly not in his right mind, even if he could not be called positively insane. Assistant District-Attorney Bell said that an examination of the prisoner had been made by a competent physician, and that he had reported that he was not insane. Moreover, that he had been before convicted of a similar offence and sentence suspended. The Court decided that it would not sentence the prisoner then, but would give counsel an opportunity to establish his insanity by professional evidence or by recourse to the State law. That if he were insane, it was not a case for any sentence; but if sane, it was no case for a light one.

THE ITALIAN COUNTERFEITING CASE—NAMES OF WITNESSES.

The United States vs. Rosario Denero et al.—The prisoner was arrested with several others, Hallene, in a barber's shop in Cherry-street, in January last, on the charge of counterfeiting the National currency. Judge Stewart, counsel for the defendant, Denero, moved the Court to instruct the District-Attorney to furnish this defendant with a copy of the indictment and the names of the witnesses against him. Mr. Courtney opposed that it was not the practice of the Court to furnish the names of witnesses, and that such a course would put evidence in the hands of guilty parties. The Court said that it knew of no statute provision, nor any rule of practice, which would authorize it to make the order desired. Application denied. Case set down for Saturday, the 20th.

The United States vs. Leorio Moletti.—This defendant was one of the parties arrested with Denero, and was put on trial under an indictment for having counterfeit money in his possession, a five dollar counterfeit note having been found upon his person, when he was searched on the occasion of the arrest. This note the prisoner endeavored to throw away or destroy. A large quantity of counterfeit currency was found upon the premises and also some good money, which had been marked by the detectives and used to purchase the counterfeit. The Government established these facts by the testimony of the agents of the Treasury Department who made the arrest. The defence advanced the theory that the prisoner found the bill and did not know its character, supporting it by the testimony of two witnesses, friends of the prisoner.

The jury returned a verdict of guilty.

MEETING OF THE BAR IN REFERENCE TO MR. BRADY'S DEATH.

The meeting of the Bar, to take action in regard to the death of the late James T. Brady, will take place in the General Term room of the Supreme Court, in the new City Hall, on Saturday, 13th inst., at 11 A. M.

UNITED STATES MARSHAL'S OFFICE.

REMOVAL OF ECKEL TO THE ALBANY PENITENTIARY.

When Blaisdell and Eckel were each sentenced to three years' imprisonment on one of the counts of the indictment on which they were convicted, the Court granted permission for them to remain in Ludlow-street Jail, on the suggestion of their counsel that they might be needed as witnesses in the Fullerton case. As it is now almost certain that that case will not be tried this term, Eckel has been taken to the Albany Penitentiary, by Deputy Marshals Dwire and Sease, to serve out his term, Blaisdell remaining to await trial on two other indictments against him.

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONERS' OFFICE.

Before Commissioner Osborn.

ALLEGED PERJURER COMMITTED.

United States vs. Joseph F. Talson.—In this case, in which an examination has long been pending against the defendant on a charge of perjuring himself in testifying in a car-brake patent case, before Commissioner Stilwell, the defendant has been committed for trial.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

Before Recorder John K. Hackett.

In the Court of General Sessions, before Recorder Hackett, yesterday morning, a calendar of twenty-three cases was disposed of. Five trials occurred, and ten pleas of guilty were received. Twelve sentences were pronounced, two acquittals resulted from trials, and seven prisoners were discharged. Several cases were postponed until to-morrow. All but two of the cases were for theft on charges of burglary, robbery or larceny.

Assistant District-Attorney Hutchings insisted upon the rule in accepting no pleas except for offences charged in the indictments or complainments.

SENTENCES FOR THEFT.

James Gorman and Charles Stevens, indicted with Henry Schultz for burglary, pleaded guilty. Schultz pleaded not guilty and was remanded for trial. The Recorder, in pronouncing sentence, said he understood that the prisoners were professional burglars, but in consideration of their plea he would take off three months from their sentences. He then sentenced them to four years and nine months, each, in the State Prison.

Charles L. Morgan and John Gregory, jointly indicted with Charles Adams and John Hadden for burglary, pleaded guilty. Morgan desired, and was permitted, to make a statement to the Court. He said that he stole the property under the direction and with the assistance of Gregory, and they only placed 15 in the possession of Adams and Hadden, who had nothing of the fact of its having been stolen. Two watches were among the goods stolen. He also said that he was intoxicated at the time and had never been under arrest before. Gregory said he liked the manly way in which the prisoner acknowledged his guilt and would not be as severe on him as he had the right to be. He pronounced sentence of six years and six months in State Prison. John Gregory also pleaded guilty, and asserted the innocence of Adams and Hadden. His counsel asked for the mercy of the Court, saying that he had been formerly Industrious and was but a half-witted fellow. The Recorder said he understood the prisoner to be a bad character, and sentenced him to the State Prison for four years and nine months. Adams and Hadden were discharged, there being no evidence brought against them. Patrick Harrison, arraigned for larceny, pleaded guilty. He had stolen $40 worth of veneering. On the request of the complainant a plea of petit larceny was accepted, and the mercy of the Court extended to the prisoner. Sentence was pronounced of six months in the Penitentiary.

BANK THIEF SENTENCED.

Henry Thomas, arraigned for grand larceny, pleaded guilty to it. He had entered the Chatham National Bank and snatched a roll of bills—about $1,200—from one of the officers. He received a sentence of four years and nine months in the State Prison.

A PICKPOCKET SENTENCED.

Catharine Colson received a trial for grand larceny. She was proved to have picked the pockets of Ludwig Rees on the night of the 24th of January, of a watch and chain, valued at $35. The jury returned a verdict of guilty of petit larceny, and the Recorder pronounced sentence of six months in the Penitentiary. Many cases of minor importance were disposed of and the Court adjourned to 11 A. M. to-day.

Parisian eaters of oysters have been driven to the verge of desperation by the constant and extraordinary increase in price of these much-loved bivalves. Oysters are no longer the familiar things they used to be; oyster wagers are no longer the fashion; *pour-sucer* have ceased to glory in the number of dozen they could swallow at a sitting. Oysters have become an expensive luxury. Some restaurants charge for the finest kinds as much as three francs a dozen. Surely the augmentation of rents, the costliness of women's dress, and the increase of wages cannot alone account for this wholesale robbery.

DIVIDENDS.

OFFICE OF THE STERLING FIRE INSURANCE CO., No. 155 BROADWAY, NEW-YORK, Feb. 10, 1869.

A DIVIDEND OF FIVE PER CENT, and Government tax has this day been declared by the Directors, payable on demand, on and after the 13th inst.

A. L. SOULARD, Secretary.

EIGHTEENTH DIVIDEND.

OFFICE OF THE GEBHARD FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, No. 141 BROADWAY, Jan. 28, 1869.

The Board of Directors have this day declared the usual semi-annual dividend of Five per Cent., payable on and after Monday, Feb. 1, free of Government tax.

JOHN R. SMITH, Secretary.

OSWEGO AND SYRACUSE RAILROAD COMPANY.—Thirty-first semi-annual Dividend.—The Directors of this Company have declared a Dividend of Four per Cent on Capital Stock, free of the Government tax, payable on the 20th day of February, inst.

Dividends in New-York will be paid at the Howard Insurance Company's Office, No. 128 Wall-st.; Security Trust Company's Agency, in Albany, at the New-York State National Bank; in Utica, at the Oneida National Bank; in Syracuse, at the Syracuse National Bank; and in Oswego, at the Lake Ontario National Bank, Oswego.

Dividends not called for within thirty days will be paid at the Treasurer's office, Oswego.

OSWEGO, Feb. 3, 1869. L. WRIGHT, Treasurer.

OFFICE OF THE CHICAGO AND ALTON R. R. CO., CHICAGO, Ill., Feb. 10, 1869.

NOTICE.—THE STOCKHOLDERS OF THE Chicago and Alton Railroad Company are hereby notified that a Dividend of Five per Cent., free of Government tax, has been declared on the Preferred and Common Stock of said Company, payable at the office of the Company's agents, Messrs. M. K. Jesup & Co., No. 12 Pine-st., New-York, on MONDAY, the 1st day of March next, to the holders of said stock, as registered at closing of books.

The transfer books will be closed on the 18th inst., and reopened for transfers on the 2d of March next.

WM. M. LARRABEE, Secretary and Treasurer.

OFFICE OF THE ST. NICHOLAS INSURANCE COMPANY, No. 186 BROADWAY, NEW-YORK, Feb. 10, 1869.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS have, this day, declared the usual semi-annual dividend of Five per cent., free of tax, payable on demand.

JOHN J. SEARING, Secretary.

STUYVESANT INSURANCE COMPANY—TWENTY-EIGHTH DIVIDEND.

A Dividend of Five per Cent., free of Government tax, has been declared out of the profits of last six months, payable on the 18th day of January, 1869, at No. 122 Bowery. WM. F. LEGGETT, Secretary.

SAVINGS BANKS.

UNION DIME SAVINGS BANK, Nos. 396 and 398 CANAL-ST., corner LAIGHT. SIX PER CENT. INTEREST ON $5 TO $5,000, ASSETS, $5,836,736 35.

HELP WANTED.

WANTED — AGENTS TO SELL THE New American Knitting Machine. Pays $100. Simplest, cheapest and best Knitting Machine ever invented. Will knit 20,000 stitches per minute. Liberal inducements to agents. Address AMERICAN KNITTING MACHINE CO., Boston, Mass., or St. Louis, Mo.

WANTED—A FIRST-CLASS DRESSMAKER. One who thoroughly understands the business in all its branches and can cut and fit perfectly, and bring the best of references, may hear of a permanent situation by addressing Post Office Box No. 857, Poughkeepsie, N. Y.

WANTED—A COOK TO GO TO THE COUNTRY; a respectable middle-aged Protestant, who desires a permanent home; references required; sister or friend might be taken as waitress. Apply to Parlor No. 174 St. James Hotel, between 5 and 6 P. M.

TO PRINTERS.—GOOD BOOK AND JOB compositors, not belonging to any combination, may learn of good steady situations at good wages by applying to JOHN F. RALDWIN, No. 174 Fulton-st. No "strikers" need apply.

WANTED—A GIRL TO DO GENERAL housework; must be a good plain cook and bring City reference. Apply to Mrs. C. B. SEYMOUR, No. 42 Union-place, over the piano store, between 10 and 12.

BOY WANTED—15 OR 16 YEARS OLD, TO do office work in a wholesale house; must write a good hand and come well recommended. Address in handwriting of applicant, Post Office Box No. 2,548.

JOB COMPOSITORS WANTED—2 First-class hands, for permanent situations, at $22 a week, and upward. JOHN A. GRAY & GREEN, Nos. 16 and 18 Jacob-st.

WANTED.—A YOUNG MAN, 14 YEARS OF age, residing with his parents, wishes a situation. Address A. B. C., Box No. 156 *Times* Office.

WANTED—AN EXPERIENCED WAITER; must have good City references. Apply at No. 320 5th-av., from 4 until 5 P. M.

FINANCIAL.

BANKING HOUSE OF

JAY COOKE & CO.

NO. 20 WALL-ST.,
CORNER OF NASSAU-ST., NEW-YORK.
NO. 114 SOUTH THIRD-ST., PHILADELPHIA.
NO. 448 15TH-ST., WASHINGTON.

We buy and sell at the most liberal current prices, and keep on hand a full supply of

GOVERNMENT BONDS OF ALL ISSUES,

and execute orders for purchase and sale of

STOCKS, BONDS AND GOLD.

We have added to our office a Retail Department for the accommodation of the public demand for investment in and exchanges of Government Securities, the purchase of GOLD and INTEREST COUPONS, and the sale of INTERNAL REVENUE STAMPS.

JAY COOKE & CO.

HATCH, FOOTE & CO

BANKERS,

AND DEALERS IN

GOVERNMENT SECURITIES, GOLD, STOCKS, &c.

Buy and Sell all Issues of

U. S. BONDS

at MARKET RATES.

No. 12 Wall-st., New-York.

REMOVAL.

THE GLOBE FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY

have removed to their new office in the

"HOWARD BUILDING,"

NO. 176 BROADWAY, ONE DOOR ABOVE MAIDEN-LANE,

and continue to insure property of every description at the most favorable rates.

CASH CAPITAL.....$200,000
SURPLUS Jan. 1, 1869, over..... 82,000
TOTAL ASSETS.....$282,000

ALFRED A. REEVES, Secretary.
EDGAR E. HOLLEY, Surveyor.

BANKING HOUSE OF

Geo. Opdyke & Co

25 NASSAU-ST.
CORNER OF CEDAR ST.

DEPOSITS received subject to check at sight, and interest allowed at the rate of FOUR per Cent. per annum.

CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT issued, bearing interest, payable on demand, or after fixed periods.

COLLECTIONS made on all points in the United States, Canada and Europe. Dividends and coupons also collected, and all moneys promptly accounted for.

ORDERS promptly executed for the purchase and sale of Gold; also, Government and other Securities.

LOANS negotiated.

NEW-YORK AND NEW-HAVEN RAILROAD COMPANY.

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS.

By virtue of the authority conferred by the Legislature of Connecticut, accepted and approved at a meeting of the Stockholders on the 7th day of July, 1868, and in pursuance of a vote of the Company passed at that time, the Directors will apportion to such persons as shall, on the 1st day of March next, at 3 o'clock P. M., be stockholders, and shall severally elect to receive the same, 100 shares of the Capital Stock of this Company at par, in the proportion of one new share for every two shares so held as aforesaid, upon the payment of $25 on each new share, on the 1st day of April next, and the remaining $75 whenever the same shall be called for by the Board of Directors.

Scrip certificates will be given at the time of paying Instalments, representing the full-paid Stock to which given in exchange for the said scrip certificates, whenever the full amount shall have been paid in.

Persons owning less than two shares, or more than a multiple of two, are advised to purchase or sell, so that the number of their shares shall be two, or a multiple of two.

The Stock Transfer Book will be, and remain, closed, from the 1st to the 15th of March, both days inclusive.

By order of the Board of Directors.

WILLIAM D. BISHOP, President.

NEW-YORK, Jan. 18, 1869.

NOTE.—The remaining 75 per cent. will be called for from time to time, as may be necessary to pay for additional improvements to the road and equipments.

Stockholders are requested to notify the Company *at once* whether they elect to take the new stock.

BOWLES BROTHERS & CO.,
BANKERS AND COMMISSION MERCHANTS,
NO. 12 RUE DE LA PAIX, PARIS,
No. 19 William-st., New-York,
No. 78 State-st., Boston.

Make advances on American securities and cash coupons on demand. Bills on the United States or England bought and sold. Circular credits cashed.

Letters for Americans in Europe addressed to our care will be forwarded or delivered.

BROWN BROTHERS & CO.,
NO. 59 WALL-ST.,
issue COMMERCIAL AND TRAVELLERS' CREDITS AVAILABLE IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD.

MERCHANTS' AND MECHANICS' NATIONAL BANK OF TROY.—Notice is hereby given that a vote of the shareholders owning two-thirds of the stock of this Association to go into liquidation and be closed, has been taken, and that this Association is closing up its affairs accordingly.

And the holders of the notes of this Association and other creditors thereof are hereby notified to present said notes and other claims against this Association for payment at the banking-house of said Association in the City of Troy.

Dated Troy, Dec. 31, 1868.

By order of the Board of Directors.

FRS. SIMS, Cashier.

This Bank has reorganized as a State Institution, and will continue business as usual, under the name of The Merchants' and Mechanics' Bank of Troy.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, CITY HALL, JERSEY CITY, Feb. 10, 1869.

THE JERSEY CITY SINKING FUND Commissioners will receive bids for the purchase by them, of $40,000 of Jersey City Compon Six and Seven per Cent. War Bonds. Said bids will be received until the 20th inst., at noon, when the same will be opened, and the lowest bid accepted. The Commissioners deem best for the interest of the City. Proposals to be inclosed in sealed envelopes and addressed "to the Commissioners of the Jersey City Sinking Fund, Comptroller's Office, City Hall, Jersey City."

HORATIO N. EGE,
E. F. C. YOUNG,
F. F. BENNELL,
JOSEAH F. CLARK,
Commissioners of the Jersey City Sinking Fund.

THE QUICKSILVER MINING COMPANY, CHARTERED BY THE STATE OF NEW-YORK, OFFICE NO. 19 NASSAU-ST., NEW-YORK, Feb. 9, 1869.

THE ANNUAL MEETING of the Stockholders will be held at the office of the Company, in the City of New-York, on WEDNESDAY, Feb. 24, 1869, at 1 o'clock P. M. The annual election of Directors will be held at the same place, on the same day, between the hours of 2 and 3 P. M. The transfer books will be reopened on Thursday, Feb. 25.

By order of the Board of Directors.

J. B. EASTON, Secretary.

DELAWARE, LACKAWANNA AND WESTERN R. R. CO., GENERAL OFFICE, 26 EXCHANGE-PLACE, NEW-YORK, Feb. 1, 1869.

THE ANNUAL ELECTION FOR OFFICERS and Managers of this Company for the ensuing year, will be held at this office, on TUESDAY, the 23d day of February inst., at 12 o'clock M.

The polls will be open between 12 o'clock M. and 1 o'clock P. M.

The transfer books will be closed from the evening of the 3d inst. until the morning of the 24th inst.

By order of the Board of Managers.

CHARLES E. CARRYL, Secretary.

CLEVELAND, COLUMBUS, CINCINNATI AND INDIANAPOLIS RAILWAY COMPANY, CLEVELAND, Ohio, Jan. 28, 1869.

THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE stockholders of this Company for the election of Directors, and for the transaction of other business, will be held at the office of the Company, in Cleveland, on WEDNESDAY, the 17th of February next, between the hours of 11 A. M. and 2 P. M.

The transfer books will be closed from the evening of Feb. 6 until Feb. 18.

GEO. H. RUSSELL, Secretary.

ELECTION

SHIPPING.

STEAM TO GLASGOW AND DERRY.
THE ANCHOR LINE,
favorite Clyde-built Steamers, are intended to sail
EVERY SATURDAY.
From Pier No. 20 North River, 12 o'clock, noon.
EUROPA.....Feb. 13 | CALEDONIA.....Mar. 6
UNITED KINGDOM.Feb. 20 | BRITANNIA.....Mar. 13
INDIA.....Feb. 27 | COLUMBIA.....Mar. 20
RATES OF PASSAGE PAYABLE IN CURRENCY.
Cabins, to Liverpool, Glasgow or Derry, $80 and $75.
Excursion tickets, good for 12 months, $150.
Steerage passage to Liverpool or Queenstown at lowest rates.
Prepaid Certificates from these ports, $27.
Passengers booked to and from Hamburg, Havre, Rotterdam, Antwerp, &c., at very low rates.
Drafts issued payable at any part of Great Britain and Ireland.
For further information apply on the steamers, foot of Dey-st., or at the Company's offices, No. 7 Bowling-green, New-York. HENDERSON BROTHERS.

ONLY DIRECT LINE TO FRANCE.
THE GENERAL TRANSATLANTIC COMPANY'S MAIL STEAMSHIPS BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND HAVRE, CALLING AT BREST.
The splendid vessels on this favorite route for the Continent will sail from Pier No. 50 North River as follows:
ST. LAURENT.....LEMARIE.....SATURDAY, Feb. 20
VILLE DE PARIS.SURMONT.....SATURDAY, Mar. 6
PEREIRE.....DUCHESNE.....SATURDAY, Mar. 20
PRICE OF PASSAGE IN GOLD, (including wine,)
TO BREST OR HAVRE.
First cabin, $120; second cabin, $85.
TO PARIS.
including railway tickets furnished on board,
First cabin, $145; second cabin, $95.
These steamers do not carry steerage passengers.
Medical attendance free of charge.
American travelers going or returning from the Continent of Europe, by taking the steamers of this line, avoid both transit by English railways and the discomforts of crossing the Channel, besides saving time, trouble and expense.
GEO. MACKENZIE, Agent, No. 58 Broadway.

THE BRITISH AND NORTH AMERICAN
ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIPS
BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL,
CALLING AT CORK HARBOR.
SAILING EVERY WEDNESDAY.
CHINA.....Feb. 17 | AUSTRAL'SIAN..Mar. 10
CUBA.....Feb. 24 | RUSSIA.....Mar. 17
SAVA.....Mar. 3 | CHINA.....Mar. 24
Passage money payable in gold.
Cabin.....$130 | Second Cabin.....$80
Cabin to Paris.....$145
No steerage passengers carried.
The owners of these ships will not be accountable for specie or valuables, unless bills of lading having the value expressed are signed therefor.
Through bills of lading given for Belfast, Glasgow, Havre, Antwerp and other ports on the Continent; and for Mediterranean ports.
For freight or passage apply to
E. CUNARD, No. 4 Bowling-green, New-York.

LIVERPOOL AND GREAT WESTERN STEAM COMPANY.
FOR LIVERPOOL
From Pier No. 46 North River, WEDNESDAYS.
MINNESOTA.....Feb. 17, at 9 A. M.
NEVADA (new).....March 3, at 1 P. M.
COLORADO.....March 10, at 9½ A. M.
NEBRASKA.....March 10, at 1 P. M.
Cabin passage, $80, gold; Steerage, $30, currency.
Tickets to bring out passengers from Europe can be obtained on reasonable terms.
For freight or passage apply to
WILLIAMS & GUION, No. 71 Wall-st.
For steerage passage apply to
WILLIAMS & GUION, No. 29 Broadway.

CUNARD LINE OF STEAMERS.
BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL,
CALLING AT QUEENSTOWN.
FROM NEW-YORK EVERY THURSDAY.
SIBERIA.....Feb. 18 | TARIFA.....Mar. 4
ALEPPO.....Feb. 25 | ASIA.....Mar. 11
RATES OF PASSAGE FROM NEW-YORK:
Cabin.....$80 Gold.
Steerage.....$30 Currency.
Steerage tickets from Liverpool or Queenstown at lowest rates.
For freight or passage, apply at No. 4 Bowling-green.
For steerage passage, No. 111 Broadway, Trinity Building. E. CUNARD.

FOR LIVERPOOL AND QUEENSTOWN.—Inman Line of mail steamers are appointed to sail as follows:
CITY OF BALTIMORE...Saturday, Feb. 13, P. M.
CITY OF NEW-YORK.....Saturday, Feb. 20, 12 M.
ETNA, (via Halifax).....Tuesday, Feb. 23, 1 P. M.
And each succeeding SATURDAY and alternate TUESDAY, from Pier No. 45 North River.

RATES OF PASSAGE	
PAYABLE IN GOLD.	PAYABLE IN CURRENCY.
First Cabin.....$100	Steerage.....$30 and $35
To London.....115	To London.....35 and 40
To Paris.....130	To Paris.....42 and 47
Halifax.....20	Halifax.....$15
St. John's, N. F., by } 40	St. John's N. F., by } 30
Branch Steamer..... }	Branch Steamer..... }

Passengers also forwarded to Havre, Hamburg, Bremen, &c., at reduced rates.
Tickets can be bought here at moderate rates by persons wishing to send for their friends.
For further information apply at the Company's Offices. JOHN G. DALE, Agent,
No. 15 Broadway, New-York.

NATIONAL LINE.
THE STEAMSHIP ERIN, GREGAN, will leave SATURDAY, Feb. 13, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 47 North River.
Passage to Liverpool or Queenstown: Cabin, $100; Steerage, $30, currency.
Steerage tickets from Liverpool or Queenstown, $37, currency.
Apply at the Company's office, No. 69 Broadway.
HURST, Manager.

STEAM COMMUNICATION DIRECT
BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND NEW-ZEALAND AND AUSTRALIA, VIA PANAMA.
THE PANAMA, NEW-ZEALAND AND AUSTRALIAN ROYAL MAIL COMPANY dispatch a steamer every month, sailing from Panama about the 20th, upon the arrival of the British mail steamer at Aspinwall. The Pacific Mail Company's steamer leaving New-York on the 9th of each month will make the necessary connection. Passengers and cargo booked through from New-York to the several Colonial ports.
A special steamer runs to the newly discovered gold region of Hokitika.
For further information regarding rates of freight, passage money, &c., &c., apply to the Pacific Mail Steamship Company, No. 29 Wall-st., or to
CHARLES W. WEST, Agent,
No. 29 William-st., New-York.

PACIFIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY'S
THROUGH LINE TO
CALIFORNIA AND CHINA.
Through rates, New-York to San Francisco:
First Cabin.
Outside. Inside. Second Cabin. Steerage.
$275 $250 $150 $76
Steamers of the above line leave Pier No. 42 North River, foot of Canal-st., at 12 o'clock noon,
FOUR TIMES A MONTH,
On 1st, 9th, 16th and 24th,
except when those days fall on Sunday, then the day previous.
One hundred pounds baggage free to each adult. Medicine and attendance free.
FEB. 16—RISING STAR, Capt. KING, connecting with GOLDEN AGE, Capt. FARNSWORTH.
Steamer leaving Feb. 9 connects closely with steamer CHINA, leaving San Francisco March 5, 1869, for China and Japan.
For passage tickets and all further information, apply at the Company's ticket office on the wharf, foot of Canal-st. F. R. BABY, Agent.

NOTICE TO SHIPPERS BY PACIFIC Mail Steamship Company's steamer RISING STAR, sailing on TUESDAY, 16th inst., at 12 M. Freight received until 4 P. M. on MONDAY, 15th inst. The usual facilities afforded shippers in collecting inland charges. F. R. BABY, Agent.

FOR MOBILE,
VIA FERNANDINA ROUTE.
The Florida Railroad Company will dispatch the side-wheel steamer
ALABAMA, Capt. LIBERMORE,
On SATURDAY, Feb. 20, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 29 North River, foot of Warren-st. Cargo delivered without lighterage at Mobile. Is now receiving. Insurance guaranteed at one and a half per cent. to Mobile. Through rates and bills of lading given for Selma, Montgomery, and all points in the interior at lower rates than any other line.
Has splendid cabin accommodations for passengers going to Florida or the Gulf Ports.
For freight or passage, apply to
J. F. PATTERSON, Agent,
No. 174 Chambers-st.

FOR ST. THOMAS AND BRAZIL.
UNITED STATES AND BRAZIL MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY
REGULAR MAIL STEAMERS sailing on the 23d of every month:
SOUTH AMERICA, Capt. E.L. TINKLEPAUGH, Feb. 23.
MISSISSIPPI, Capt. D. S. SLOCUM, March 23.
MERRIMACK, Capt. W. WIER, April 23.
These splendid steamers sail on schedule time, and call at St. Thomas, Para, Pernambuco, Bahia and Rio de Janeiro, going and returning. For engagements of freight or passage, apply to
WM. R. GARRISON, Agent,
No. 5 Bowling-green, New-York.

FOR NASSAU, N. P.
UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE BAHAMA GOVERNMENT FOR CARRYING THE ROYAL MAILS.
THE ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY will dispatch the splendid side-wheel steamer
CASTLE, Capt. R. H. MALLORY,
at 3 o'clock P. M., precisely, from Pier No. 4 North River. For engagements of freight or passage apply to S. G. WHEELER, Jr., President, No. 5 Bowling-green.

U. S. MAIL TO HAVANA.
ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
Sailing regularly every THURSDAY, at 3 o'clock P.M. precisely, from Pier No. 4 North River.
EAGLE, Capt. M. R. GERKEN.....Feb. 18
MORRO CASTLE, Capt. R. ADAMS.....Feb. 25
COLUMBIA, Capt. E. VAN SICE.....Mar. 4
For freight or passage apply to
S. G. WHEELER, Jr., President,
No. 5 Bowling-green.

FOR NEW-ORLEANS DIRECT,
THE CROMWELL LINE.
Steamship Cromwell Line will leave Pier No. 9 North River, at 3 o'clock P. M., as follows:
MARIPOSA.....KEENE.....SATURDAY, Feb. 13
GEO. CROMWELL.....VAIL.....SATURDAY, Feb. 20
Freight taken for St. Louis and Galveston at through rates. For passage, first and second class, or freight apply to
H. B. CROMWELL & CO., No. 86 West-st.

FOR SAVANNAH, SEMI-WEEKLY.
BLACK STAR INDEPENDENT LINE.
CHANGED TO MONTGOMERY.
The first-class steamship MONTGOMERY will leave Pier No. 12 North River, on SATURDAY, Feb. 13, at 3 P. M.
For freight or passage apply to
H. LOWDEN, Agent, No. 93 West-st.
Cabin passage $30.

FOR CHARLESTON, S. C., THE FLORIDA PORTS AND THE SOUTH AND SOUTH-WEST.—REGULAR LINE.—The fast and first-class side-wheel steamship MANHATTAN, E. CROWELL, Commander, will be dispatched on THURSDAY, Feb. 25, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 5 North River. Cabin passage reduced to $30. Through bills of lading to all points South and Southwest. Agents in Charleston, TRAVENEL & CO.) forward all goods free of commission.
ARTHUR LEARY, No. 73 Wall-st.
The steamship SARAGOSSA, will sail on the following THURSDAY.

BOARDING AND LODGING.

A SMALL FAMILY LIVING IN A brown-stone dwelling, house in one of the best locations in Brooklyn, would like to receive a party of adults who want to make an arrangement with board, for one year for a genteel home, without the care of housekeeping; only those who can give good references need answer; address C. J., WINDSOR Station P. O.

FIFTH-AV., OPPOSITE THE RESERVOIR.—Parties of gentlemen, front rooms, with private table, if desired; also, a single room with board, in the first-class house No. 12 6th-av.; references exchanged.

WANTED—THREE ROOMS ON SECOND floor, with board, for a gentleman; wife and daughter (musical) between 40 and 50, and 20th-st. Address, with particulars, W. C. B., Box No. 2,359 Post Office.

AT NO. 14 9TH-ST., BETWEEN 5TH-av. and University-place.—A large front room, with Pompton bedstead; dinner at 5. References exchanged.

NO. 17 EAST 14TH ST., (NEW NO. 113.)—An elegant suite of rooms on second floor front, to rent with board; also front room on fourth floor. House first-class.

A GENTLEMAN AND HIS WIFE OR Alone or two gentlemen, can find a comfortable home with parties living in their own house; Sabbath-ites preferred. No. 132 East 20th-st.

A PRIVATE FAMILY WILL LET, furnished, a second-story front room, with bath attached; the best of reference required. Inquire at No. 113 East 17th-st.

ROOMS WITH BOARD.—A GENTLEman and wife can be accommodated in a strictly private, first-class brown-stone house near 5th-av. Address, with full name, to Box No. 6,705 Post Office.

NO. 176 5TH-AV., CORNER OF 22D-st.—New and elegantly furnished rooms to let, with private table if desired.

AT NO. 918 EAST 14TH-ST.—A LITTLE room for single gentleman, with board; French spoken.

TO LET.—IN A PRIVATE FAMILY, A FURnished room, in second floor, to a gentleman, without board. Call at No. 15 East 18th-st.

TO LET—AT NO. 7 WEST 22TH-ST., (OVERlooking Madison-square,) a suite of rooms, to gentlemen, without board; also one single room.

NO. 147 EAST 18TH-ST.—TO LET, A south room, for a gentleman and wife, or two gentlemen.

BROOKLYN REAL ESTATE.

FOR SALE—IN BROOKLYN—$15,000—AN ELegant and substantial three-story frame dwelling, 22x38, with extension, 13x16, and plot of ground consisting of four lots, tastefully laid out; house has all improvements; neighborhood unquestionably first-class. Apply on the premises, De Kalb-av., second house northeast of Tompkins-av., or to H. H. HOOPER, at Haines Brothers' piano-forte warerooms, No. 46 East 14th-st., New-York.

CLINTON-AV., BROOKLYN.—THE most complete stone front house on "the hill;" splendid order; elegant carpets, chandeliers and shades: a rare bargain, if sold immediately; neighborhood first-class. H. MACOMBER & SON, No. 827 Fulton-av.

FOR SALE ON BROOKLYN HEIGHTS —The three-story frame houses, filled in with brick to peak, with brick basement and sub-cellar, No. 2 Clark-st., corner of Columbia, and No. 4 Clark-st. For permits, apply at No. 117 Columbia-st., Brooklyn.

RAILROADS.

LONG ISLAND RAILROAD.
Leave James-slip 8:30 A. M., Mail train for Greenport; 10 A. M., for Northport, Huntington and Glen Cove; 1 P. M., Express, River Head; 4 P. M., Northport, Huntington and Glen Cove; 5:30 P. M., Farmingdale and way stations. All trains connect with branch to Hempstead and Jamaica. Sunday train at 9 A. M. from Hunter's Point for Northport, Huntington and Glen Cove.
L. D. BARTON, Superintendent.

HUDSON RIVER AND HARLEM RAILROADS.—On and after MONDAY, Nov. 23, 1868, trains for Albany and Troy, connecting with Northern and Western trains, will leave New-York as follows:
8 A. M. Express Train via Hudson River Railroad, Peekskill and Highland Falls, through to Buffalo over Suspension Bridge without change of cars, and connecting at Troy with trains for Rutland and North. Drawing-room cars from New-York to Rochester, connecting with the sleeping cars for the West, via either North or South Shore Line.
10 A. M. Express Train via Harlem Railroad, 26th-st. and 4th-av., connecting at Chatham with Western Railroad, for Lebanon Springs, Pittsfield, &c., at Albany with Western trains, and at Troy with trains for Saratoga, Rutland, Burlington and the North.
11:30 A. M. Express Train via Hudson River Railroad, connecting at Albany with trains for the West. Drawing-room car attached to this train through to Troy.
3:30 P.M. Express Train via Hudson River Railroad, with drawing-room car attached, connecting at Albany with Western trains, and at Troy with trains for Montreal, with sleeping car attached.
4:30 P. M. Express Train via Harlem Railroad, for Albany and Troy, connecting at Albany with Western trains. Sleeping cars attached at Albany.
7 P. M. Express Train via Hudson River Railroad, with sleeping cars attached, and through to Buffalo and Suspension Bridge without change of cars. Also sleeping cars every day, except Saturdays, without change, via Rome, Watertown and O. Railroad. Connection for Troy will be made at East Albany. This train will run on Sundays.
11 P.M. Train via Hudson River Railroad, with sleeping cars attached, connecting at Albany with early trains for Buffalo and Suspension Bridge, and at Troy with trains for Saratoga and points North.
A Sunday train will be run via Hudson River Railroad from New-York to Poughkeepsie and intermediate stations, leaving New-York at 9 A. M. Returning, leaves Poughkeepsie at 3 P. M., arriving in New-York at 6:30.
Also, a Sunday train via Harlem Railroad, leaving 42d-st. at 9 A. M., and arriving at Millerton at 3:40 P. M. Returning, leaves Millerton at 4:30 P. M., arriving at New-York at 12 M.
WM. H. VANDERBILT, Vice-President.

ERIE RAILWAY.—TRAINS LEAVE DEpot foot of Chambers-st., Pavonia Ferry:
8 A. M. Day Express for Rochester, Buffalo, Dunkirk, Cleveland, Cincinnati, and all points West and South.
8:30 A. M. Way Train, daily, for Otisville and intermediate stations.
10 A. M. Express Mail, for Dunkirk, Cleveland, Cincinnati and all points West and South.
3:15 P. M. Way Train, for Middletown and intermediate stations.
5 P. M. Way Express, stopping only at Sterling Junction, Turner's, and stations west of Turner's, (except Oxford,) to Newburg, Warwick, Montgomery, Unionville and Port Jervis.
5 P. M. Way Train for Suffern and intermediate stations.
6 P. M. Way Train for Suffern and intermediate stations.
8 P. M. Night Express, daily, for all points West and South. By this train sleeping coaches will run through to Buffalo, Rochester and Cincinnati, without change.
8 P. M. Emigrant Train, daily, for the West.
Also Way Trains for Rutherford Park, Passaic and Paterson at 6:45 and 9:15 A. M., 12 M., and 1:45, 4:15, 6:45 and 11 P. M. On Wednesday nights a Theatre Train at 12 o'clock for Suffern and intermediate stations.
SUNDAY TRAINS.—8:30 A. M. Way Train for Otisville; 1:45 P. M., for Paterson; 6:30 P. M. Night Express, for Rochester, Buffalo, Dunkirk, Cleveland, Cincinnati, and all points West and South; 8 P. M. Emigrant and Way Train; 11 P. M. for Paterson and Port Jervis.
Express Trains run through to Buffalo, Rochester, Dunkirk, Cleveland and Cincinnati without change of coaches, and in direct connection with all Southern and Western lines.
Perfectly ventilated and luxurious sleeping coaches accompany all night trains.
Tickets can be obtained at the Company's offices, No. 241 Broadway and depot foot of Chambers-st, New-York; also, at Long Dock Depot, Jersey City.
WM. R. BABB, H. RIDDLE,
Gen'l Pass. Agent. Genl Sup't.

NORTHERN RAILROAD OF NEW-JERSEY.—Trains will leave New-York by the Pavonia Ferry, foot of Chambers-st., as follows: At 9 A. M., 1, 4:15, 6:15 and 6:30 P. M. And Saturdays, only 12, midnight. The 1 and 4:15 P. M. run through to Monsey NOV. 2, 1868. THOS. W. DEMAREST, Supt.

STEAMBOATS.

FOR BOSTON, VIA NEWPORT AND FALL RIVER.
BY THE OLD FALL RIVER LINE,
BETWEEN
NEW-YORK AND BOSTON,
NEWPORT, FALL RIVER, TAUNTON,
NEW-BEDFORD and CAPE
COD TOWNS AND NANTUCKET.
Rates of fare and freight always as low as by any other regular line.
One of the Magnificent Steamboats,
NEWPORT or OLD COLONY,
Leaves Pier No. 29 North River, foot of Murray-st., at 4 P. M. daily, Sundays excepted.
By this route passengers can take train from Newport at 4 A. M., and arrive in Boston at 6:10 A. M., in time to connect with all Northern and Eastern trains; or remain undisturbed on board, and take 7:45 A. M. train, and arrive in Boston at early business hours.
E. LITTLEFIELD, Agent,
NEW-YORK, Aug. 19, 1868. No. 73 Broadway, N. Y.

STONINGTON LINE.
TO BOSTON AND PROVIDENCE.
GREAT INSIDE ROUTE—SHORTEST AND MOST DIRECT.
Fare always as low as by any other line.
The new and elegant side-wheel steamers
STONINGTON and NARRAGANSETT.
Capt. WM. M. JONES. Capt. GEO. R. HULL.
From Pier No. 35, foot of Jay-st., North River, at 6 P. M.
Arriving ahead of all other lines.
Tickets and state-rooms secured at the principal hotels, at No. 3 Astor House, and at Dodd's Express office, No. 944 Broadway. D. S. BABCOCK, President Stonington Steamboat Company.

FOR NEW-HAVEN, HARTFORD, &c.—Fare $1. Steamers leave Peck-slip for New-Haven at 3:15 and 11 P. M., connecting with railroad.

SHIPPING.

FOR NEW-ORLEANS,
CALLING AT HAVANA.
Carrying the United States mails.
The well-known side-wheel steamship
DE SOTO, W. B. EATON, Commander,
will leave Pier No. 36 North River, on
SATURDAY, Feb. 13, at 3 o'clock P. M.
RAPIDAN, J. FELLOWS.....Feb. 20.
VIRGO follows.....Feb. 27.
Through rates and through bills of lading given to Galveston, Indianola, Lavaca and Rock Port, Texas; Mobile, Ala., and to all points on the Mississippi River.
No Havana freight received on day of sailing.
For freight apply to
LIVINGSTON, FOX & CO., No. 80 Liberty-st.

HAVANA, SISAL AND VERA CRUZ.—New-York and Mexican Mail Steamship Line sailing every twenty days. The splendid new steamship CITY OF MEXICO, Capt. JOHN DRAKE, will leave Pier No. 17 East River, on THURSDAY, Feb. 18, at 3 P. M. Passage to Havana $50, to Havana $75, to Sisal $100, currency. For freight or passage apply to
F. ALEXANDRE & SONS, No. 44 Beaver-st.

HORSES AND CARRIAGES.

A COMELY CANADIAN PONY 7 YEARS old, sound as any horse, and warranted; also, a one-horse light wagon, to suit purchaser; will be sold cheap. Inquire at No. 198 East Baltic-st., Brooklyn.

FOR SALE—GOOD HORSE, DIRT CART and harness, separate or together; horse warranted sound and kind. Apply at No. 212 East 35th-st., between 1st and 2d avs.

A BUSINESS WAGON, WITH TOP, suitable for grocer, baker or express business, in good order, $75. Can be seen at No. 316 Fulton-st.

FOR SALE—ONE HEAVY HORSE, SOUND and kind, fit for cart or truck; one bay fit for express, grocer or milkman. No. 412 East 125th-st.

TRUCK HORSES FOR SALE—SEVeral good horses and teams. Apply to JOHN MATTHEWS, 1st-av., between 29th and 27th sts., City.

TEN HORSES FOR SALE—WARRANT. ed sound and kind. Can be seen at No. 112 4th-av., west side.

FOR SALE—THREE HORSES, 16 HANDS high, sound and kind. Inquire at No. 625 11th-av.

CITY REAL ESTATE.

IMPORTANT TO HOUSEHOLDERS.
Parties owning DWELLINGS are respectfully informed that we keep a RECORD of respectable and responsible persons wishing to purchase and lease houses. Owners, therefore, who desire to sell or rent their premises, the coming Spring, are specially requested to leave with us, without delay, a full description of their property. WARD & OGDEN,
Broadway and 17th-st., Union-square.

TO INSTITUTIONS OR INVESTORS.
BROADWAY.
For sale, the large and splendid property of the Merchants' Union Express Company, located northwest corner of Broadway and Franklin-st., embracing 50 feet front on Broadway by 150 feet deep, with costly six-story building covering the whole lot, with rear and side entrance. The lofts and basement are rented at $40,000 per annum, and possession of the first floor can be given at ten days' notice. If not soon sold, the first floor will be leased. Apply to
HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

REAL ESTATE IN SEVENTH WARD FOR SALE.
The valuable plot of ground bounded by the west side of Coriears-st. and Cherry and Monroe sts., consisting of 28 lots, with large and substantial buildings thereon, suitable for manufacturing or storage purposes.
Apply to C. A. RAPALLO, No. 218 Broadway, or T. F. SEGOR, office No. 406 Cherry-st.

FIRST-CLASS HOUSE FOR SALE.—For sale, one of the best built houses in the City; full size, four-story high-stoop, brown-stone, and in perfect order. Location 86th-st., corner Lexington-av., crown of Murray Hill. Neighborhood, and adjacent and surrounding improvements first-class; stable privilege on the lot. Views from the upper stories unsurpassed. Party going to possession. Price, $55,000. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

FOR SALE—THE TWO-STORY AND BASEment brick house, with adjoining lot, on north side of 99th-st., tenth house west of Boulevard-place. Also, Nos. 824, 826 and 828 same street, south side, between 8th and 9th avs. Also, the southwest corner of 33d-st. and 2d-av. Apply to ROBERT I. BROWN, No. 192 Broadway.

FOR SALE—A FINE THREE-STORY brown-stone front house, 20x45x100. Furnace in cellar, heater in front basement, marble mantels, hot and cold water in every room, two water-closets, bath and stationery wash-tubs, all in fine order; possession at any time. Apply on premises, No. 240 East 51st-st., near 2d-av.

FOR SALE—IN 28TH-ST., NEAR MADISON-av., a very elegant French basement house, built in a superior manner and nicely furnished; also 18.10 by 40.6 lot, No. 26 W. 28. Will be sold with or without the elegant furniture. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

FOR SALE—IN WEST 11TH-ST., NEAR 6th-av., a four-story high-stoop house, in excellent condition, and will be sold CHEAP. Terms made to suit. The above to be sold to close an estate; and to principals only, further particulars, with permits, will be furnished by GEO. H. PETRIE, No. 77 Leonard-st., N. Y.

FOR SALE—A VERY FINE FOUR-STORY high-stoop house, nearly new, and newly furnished throughout by Ross & Haze, Broadway, between 5th and Madison avs.; price $60,000. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

FOR SALE—A FIRST-CLASS BRICK AND brown-stone house; three-story, high basement and sub-cellar, with all the modern improvements; built in 1863, and occupied by present owner. Address BEALE, No. 169 Fulton-st.

FOR SALE—THE EXTENSIVE STABLES of the American Merchants' Union Express Company, covering and including the three lots of ground Nos. 409, 410 and 413 West 30th-st., and 414 East 31st-st., 25x25. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, 2 Pine-st.

O. BILLS, REAL ESTATE BROKER, Yonkers; New-York Office, No. 176 Greenwich-st. Parties wishing to purchase or sell property, will find it to their interest to call on me. Money put out on bond and mortgage.

FOR SALE—FOUR LOTS OF GROUND, each 22x100, south side of 121st-st., 200 feet west of 10th-av. Apply at No. 5 Beekman-st., Room No. 8, first floor.

A FINE 3-STORY H. S. BRICK HOUSE; possession; all improvements; fine order; $13,500; liberal terms. Apply on premises, No. 51 44th-st., 6th and 6th avs.

FOR SALE—THE TWO-STORY HOUSE AND lot No. 1 Goerck-st., 100 feet from Grand-st., now occupied as a pie bakery; lot 25 by 75. Apply to ALFRED H. CLARK, No. 21 North Moore-st.

CENTRE-ST. PROPERTY FOR SALE.—The buildings and lots Nos. 239 and 240 Centre-st., 42.2 feet front by about 64.4 feet. For particulars apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

FOR SALE—A THREE-STORY HIGH-STOOP house in perfect order; all modern improvements, chandeliers and fixtures; No. 21 Rutgers-place. Apply to W. DUNCAN, No. 97 Duane-st.

FOR SALE—THE BROWN-STONE HOUSE, in perfect order, No. 247 West 51st-st., with mirrors, carpets, gas fixtures, &c. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st., or on premises.

FOR SALE OR TO LET—ATLANTIC Dock stores, Nos. 5 and 6, adjoining Hamilton Ferry. Apply to WM. N. CLARK, No. 170 William-st.

SEVENTH-AV. BOULEVARD—FOR SALE—An entire block near the Central Park; also, some smaller plots. ISAAC HONIG, 25 Pine-st.

A PLOT OF GROUND ABOUT 103X 102 feet, corner 86th-st. and 4th-av., for sale at $60,000. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

DWELLINGS TO LET.

TO LET OR LEASE—AT SUMMIT, N. J., a furnished house, containing 13 rooms, hot and cold water, bath-room, &c.; has about six acres of land, well, cistern, ice-house, (filled,) stable, garden stocked with usual small fruits; very healthy location; fine view; half a mile from railroad station; rent $1,500 per annum. Apply to F. B. VAN NEST, No. 79 Beekman-st., or, to J. EDGAR, Esq., No. 33 Nassau-st.

FURNISHED HOUSES TO LET BY ISAAC HONIG, No. 25 Pine-st.:
No. 29 Irving-place, between 14th and 15th sts.....$325
No. 146 West 12th-st., between 6th and 7th avs..... 325
No. 315 West 20th-st., between 8th and 9th avs..... 275
No. 302 West 30th-st., between 8th and 9th avs..... 250
The above houses will not be shown without permit from this office.

AT NEW-BRIGHTON, (HAMILTON PARK.)—To rent, furnished and unfurnished, four first-class villas; gas, water, gardens, stables; adapted for Summer or Winter residence; delightfully located; very healthy; five minutes from ferry. Mr. HAMILTON, No. 234 Broadway.

TO LET—TWO THREE-STORY AND BASEment houses with modern improvements, located in the City of Bergen, 15 minutes by horse cars from Jersey City ferry. Nos. 2 and 4 Belmont-place; rent $450. Apply at No. 306 West-st., Troy steamers office.

TO RENT—FIRST-CLASS BROWN-STONE house; all the modern improvements; beautiful location, Brooklyn; immediate possession; rent low. For particulars, call on M. L. B., No. 514 West 53d-st., New-York.

TO LEASE—NOS. 106 AND 107 EAST 16TH-ST., for 10 years, 140 feet east of Union-square, consisting of two dwellings, large three-story brick building in rear, carriage-house and stable. Inquire at Office No. 105, on said premises.

TO LET—IN BREVOORT-PLACE, NEAR Broadway; a first-class four-story high-stoop furnished house; rent $5,000 per annum, with the mirrors and gas fixtures. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

TO LET—TO A PRIVATE FAMILY, A GOOD three-story high-stoop house, located on 12th-st, near 5th-av; rent $2,500 per annum, for three years. Apply to HOMER MORGAN, No. 2 Pine-st.

TO LET—A PART OF HOUSE NO. 900 Broadway, corner of 20th-st.; house well built; ceilings high. Inquire up stairs.

BUSINESS CHANCES.

PATENT RIGHT FOR SALE.—STATE rights for sale on the new Combination Hammer, the latest and best patent in the market. Obtains five times the blow of any hammer. Apply to FLANAGAN & JANSEN, No. 23 Ann-st., basement, between 11 o'clock A. M. and 3 P. M.

FOR SALE—THE STOCK, FIXTURES AND good will of a country store, situated in a flourishing town, not far from New-York; terms cash; satisfactory reasons given for selling. Address STORE, Box No. 117 *Times* Office.

$10,000 of capital wanted with a partner, special or general, in an established mercantile business and reasonably profitable. Address WILLIAMS, Box No. 131 *Times* Office.

FOR SALE—THE STOCK, FIXTURES AND good-will of the old-established tobacco and cigar business, No. 274 Pearl-st., New-York; will exchange for real estate.

FOR SALE—THE OLD ESTABLISHED plug tobacco factory, No. 349 Pearl-st., or will exchange for real estate. Inquire of J. LAWRENCE, No. 274 Pearl-st.

MEN WHO WANT AND MEAN BUSIness, and have some money, come to No. 324 Pearl-st. and see a valuable invention. Southern States for sale.

MISCELLANEOUS.

DR. E. B. FOOTE, AUTHOR OF "MEDical Common Sense," may be consulted in person or by letter at his office, No. 120 Lexington-av., corner of East 28th-st. Office hours from 10 A. M. till 4 P. M. Consultation free.

AMUSEMENTS.

THEATRE COMIQUE.
WHITE & HENDERSON.....Proprietors
Manager, Mr. WM. H. LINGARD
THE GREAT HIT OF THE SEASON.
PLUTO; OR, THE MAGIC LYRE.
PLUTO. PLUTO. PLUTO.
PLUTO. PLUTO. PLUTO.
PLUTO. PLUTO. PLUTO.
PLUTO. PLUTO. PLUTO.
THE LIVELIEST, MOST ELEGANT AND BEST DRESSED BURLESQUE EVER PUT ON AN AMERICAN STAGE
THE TRANSFORMATION SCENE,
acknowledged to be the most beautiful and artistic of any similar production in the City. Preceding the burlesque,
MR. GEO. C. BONIFACE
will have the honor of appearing in the pleasing comedietta entitled
TWO CAN PLAY AT THAT GAME.
After which the famous and renowned universal favorite,
WILLIAM HORACE LINGARD,
will appear in his renowned and world-wide popular repertoire of
COMIC SKETCHES AND STATUE IMPERSONATIONS.
Box office open daily from 9 A. M. till 4 P. M. Seats can be secured six days in advance.
MATINEES EVERY WEDNESDAY AND SATURDAY AT 2½.

WAVERLEY THEATRE,
No. 720 Broadway, opposite New-York Hotel.
Proprietor.....G. T. REEDER.
Managers.....MORRIS & WALL.
This cosy and beautiful Parlor Theatre
WILL OPEN MONDAY, FEB. 15,
WITH THE ELISE HOLT BURLESQUE TROUPE,
Consisting of the following Charming Artistes:
Miss ELISE HOLT,
EMILY PITT, MINNIE JACKSON,
MARY FITT, FANNY PRESTIGE,
And Misses WILLIAMS and EMMA BELL.
Mr. JAMES LEWIS, Mr. OWEN MARLOWE,
Mr. EDWARD COLEMAN,
C. T. PARSLOE, JR., Mr. BELVIL RYAN,
Mr. HARRY WALL, Mr. T. E. MORRIS, &c., &c.
Miss BETTY RIGL, Miss EMILY RIGL,
And an excellent Corps de Ballet.
MONDAY, Feb. 15, Byron's Burlesque of
LUCRETIA BORGIA,
in which the entire company will appear.
Miss BETTY RIGL,
in a favorite Pas de Deux.
A PRETTY PIECE OF BUSINESS.
Leader of Orchestra.....Mr. FRED W. ZAULIG
Prices of Admission—Orchestra, $1 50; dress circle, $1; balcony, 75c.; family circle, 50c.; private boxes, $8 and $10.

THE TAMMANY. THE TAMMANY.
IMPORTANT CHANGE OF PROGRAMME.
The Comic and Oriental Pantomime
KIM.
VENTILATON, an entrecust. JAMES S. MAFFITT.
GRAND CHINESE BALLET.
A LAUGHABLE FARCE.
Mr. BURNELL, BURNELL AND SONS,
Mr. and Mrs. VALENTINE LOVE.
HOFER'S TYROLEAN SINGER SOCIETY,
THE TAMMANY COMPANY.
ADMISSION 10 CENTS; reserved, orchestra circle, 50 cents extra; orchestra chairs, $1 extra.
GRAND MATINEE NEXT SATURDAY AT 2.

DANCING.

DE GARMO'S DANCING ACADEMY.
No. 82 5th-av., corner 14th-st.
Misses and Masters, Wednesdays and Saturdays at 4 o'clock. Gentlemen, Tuesday and Friday evenings at 8 o'clock.

A. DODWORTH'S DANCING Academy.—classes, at No. 312 5th-av., and at his residence, No. 681 6th-av. Send for a circular.

COUNTRY REAL ESTATE.

AT RUTHERFORD PARK, N. J., first station on Erie Railway, 40 minutes from Chambers-st., eleven trains daily each way, commutation fare seven cents.—Choice building lots at the station are offered at private sale only by the Mount Rutherford Company. Full particulars furnished by BLAUVELT & OGILBY, No. 187 Broadway, or R. H. BARROWS, Superintendent, Rutherford Park, N. J.

FOR SALE AT MORRISTOWN, NEW-JERSEY—The country place of the late E. Boonen Graves, containing fifty acres and upward, about 1¼ miles from the depot. There are on the premises a very large and costly mansion-house, with all modern improvements; farm-house, barns, grape-house, greenhouse, and ice-house filled, and all other necessary outbuildings. The buildings and grounds are in excellent order. In addition to the former access to and from the place, a new road, passing under a railroad bridge, has been lately made, thus obviating the necessity of crossing the railroad track. For further particulars apply to R. S. EMMET, Executor, No. 54 William-st., or at the farm-house on the premises.

FOR SALE—A FIRST-CLASS COUNTRY seat, consisting of a large, new and stylish house and seven acres of lawn and fruit, beautifully situated near the water, at Orienta, Westchester County, one hour from 27th-st., via New-Haven Railroad, and only a short distance from the proposed Portchester Railroad; will be sold low or exchanged for productive New-York or Brooklyn property.
Also, for sale near the above, a furnished cottage, with stable and one acre of land.
HASTED & STIL

WASHINGTON.

(Continued from Third Page.)

league (BINGHAM) when he denounced the views of those who differed with him.

Mr. BINGHAM—I never denounce the views of men at all. I denounce revolution.

Mr. SCHENCK—I beg the gentleman's pardon. I thought he denounced the proceeding as revolutionary.

Mr. BINGHAM—I said the resolution is revolutionary.

Mr. SCHENCK—And the gentleman said something about anarchy, in which he scared so high that I could not follow him. I am sorry, for I always admired that speech. [Laughter.] My colleague and others have assumed that the two acts of legislation, the concurrent resolution of last Monday and the joint rules conflict with each other, so that the last passed, in the language of the Speaker, "repeals the other." I hold to no such doctrine. I say that there stands the joint rules covering all the questions that may arise after the certificate is opened, as to what is in it, while the concurrent resolution stops short of all that and merely deals with the preliminary question, whether or not we should summit ourselves on the question, "whether Georgia is or is not entitled to representation, and entitled to have electors for President and Vice-President." The two, so far from being irreconcilable, can exist and be sustained without one being regarded as conflicting with or operating as a repeal of the other. Mr. SCHENCK developed this idea at considerable length, and reviewed the proceedings of yesterday down to the point where the presiding officer announced that the objection of the gentleman from Massachusetts was overruled. The Senate and gentlemen wonder, he continued, that any of us should conclude that in any former language whatever, it is proper to consider this decision an invasion of the rights of the House of Representatives. It is not an invasion of the rights of the House, then we are the merest ornamental appendage to this proceeding of counting the votes that ever one body was made when dancing attendance on another. It was an attempt to crush down all the rights and immunities of the House of Representatives in the solemn procedure of counting the votes. We have often heard from our worthy Speaker about the propriety of standing up by the rights of the commons of the representatives of the people. We talk him for many a good saying on that subject. It is idle, and worse than idle, for the House of Representatives, under the Constitution, under your joint rules, under any circumstances, to come here and assist at the opening of these votes, if the Senate, through its presiding officer, might cooly come in if the two bodies separated on any question, and say that on second thought they had agreed to overrule what was proposed by the House or by any member of the House, and that there was no appeal from it, no question about it, and no record made of it. In my place, as a representative of the people, I do not want to attend, and I will not sanction by my presence, any such interpretation as that which takes away all powers, all privileges, all, even, of the pure rights and duties that are to be exercised by the House of Representatives in attending to see that that solemn ceremony is completed according to the terms of the Constitution and as provided by law. The reporter of the Associated Press says that the speaker made a timely interposition in threatening with arrest members of the House for disorder when they expressed somewhat too exuberant, perhaps, their feelings of indignation, honestly aroused as they were by seeing the law trampled down and thrust out of the way. How came the Speaker to make that order? I thought we were in joint meeting of the two Houses with the President of the Senate as the presiding officer, and the House defined the duties of the Speaker and his powers, I hold that the gavel was not properly in his hands. I hold that the order of arrest, unless under some general claim as a peace officer whose jurisdiction extends over us, ought not to have threatened us, and I can only account to me for the Speaker's doing so by supposing that, like many of the rest of us, he was excited by the unusual confusion and the warm feeling of the occasion.

Mr. Speaker COLFAX, from his place on the floor, will the gentleman from Ohio yield to me?

Mr. SCHENCK—I will.

Mr. COLFAX—I did not intend to participate any further in the discussion, having stated my views to the House last evening, unless my official conduct was arraigned, as it is now arraigned by the gentleman from Ohio. The Speaker of the House has the undoubted right of every representative to arraign him if he disagrees with him as to the performance of his duty in the case alluded to. The Speaker performed his duty, and would have been derelict if he had not performed it. He would have been subject to the censure of the House if he had not interposed at the time he did interpose to check the scene that was then transpiring. The Speaker reads from the twenty-second rule: "It shall be the duty of the Sergeant-at-Arms to attend the House in its sittings, and to execute the commands of it under the direction of the Speaker." The gentleman from Ohio has, for half an hour, insisted that the House of Representatives, under the Constitution, was in session in this hall yesterday. It was a House of Representatives, there was a Speaker, the rule requires the Sergeant-at-Arms the Speaker shall sit at the place of authority.

Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, rose in his place.

Mr. COLFAX—I do not yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts. I have my colloquy with the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. BUTLER—I did not ask you, Sir.

Mr. COLFAX—The Speaker is to sit by the side of the Presiding Officer in Joint Convention; but he is no less the Speaker of the House of Representatives at the time. He is charged with the maintenance of order. When the House is in session this rule is mandatory. If there was no House of Representatives here yesterday, then the Speaker exceeded his authority; if there was a House of Representatives here yesterday, then he performed a solemn duty in enforcing to command the order that had been disregarded by the refusal to obey the order of him who was presiding over the Joint Convention. The Constitution states distinctly, in article 12, that the President of the Senate, shall, in the presence of the Senate and of the House of Representatives, open the certificates. There must be a House of Representatives there; there was a House of Representatives there; tears was a Speaker there; there was a Sergeant-at-Arms there. They were not eyphers: they had duties devolving upon them. Let me ask, then, if this order existed in the Joint Convention, who is to perform the duty of restoring order? If the President of the Senate had ordered the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate to enforce the rules of order upon members of the House of Representatives, the excitement would have been redoubled. It would have been said that he had not the power to order his Sergeant-at-Arms to enforce order upon members of the House of Representatives in their own hall. But under the twenty-second rule there was an officer to enforce order, and he shrinks not from the performance of his duty, and for that he is subject, as for all his official acts, to the censure of this House.

Mr. SCHENCK—I have not the slightest idea of proposing the censure of the Speaker. I think he was excited, like the rest of us.

Mr. COLFAX—He was not.

Mr. SCHENCK—There is only a difference of opinion about that. I thought I might be acquitted of any intention to arraign or impugn the motives of the Speaker. He ought to know that I have no such feelings and no such purpose; that I have too much respect and too much regard for him to think of it. If there be any difference of issue between him and myself it is of his own seeking and not of mine. I simply, as a question of law, say that when the House of Representatives is here in joint meeting with the Senate it is provided that the President of the Senate shall preside over the joint meeting, and it is, therefore, not the Senate as such that is here, and not the House of Representatives as such, that is here, but a body composed conjointly of those two branches; and that when the Speaker, out of proper respect, is assigned a place on the side of the President of the Senate, it is just the same as when the Senate has assigned their places on the right of the chair; and as the tellers are told where they are to take their seats, and as all else that is to give dignity and character to the occasion. That is the regulation of the surroundings, but as it regards the legal right to preside and to keep order, it is not a double-head monster, but one body, with one head that head for the time, being the President of the Senate. Now I quote the Speaker's own decision yesterday on the point of order by Mr. THOMAS. The Speaker says: "A reply to that question would involve a discussion of questions properly transpiring in the Joint Convention of the two Houses, over which the Speaker of this House has no power. That is the latest interpretations that I have upon the law of the subject. It was given to us by the Speaker yesterday. I agree that the presiding officer of the Joint Convention was the man to do it. I allude to this, not for the purpose of arraigning the Speaker, but for the purpose of showing wherein general confusion prevailed here, and that, while we were in Joint Convention, more than one of us probably seemed to forget for the time being that we were not in the more excitable House of Representatives, and the Speaker shared in that excitement.

Mr. COLFAX—The gentleman from Ohio quotes what the Speaker ruled in the House of Representatives, that he could not review the ruling of the President of the Senate while presiding in the Joint Convention. The Speaker adheres to that ruling to-day. There is, however, some difference apparent between the gentleman from Ohio and myself as to the construction of language. There is an order in the twenty-second rule which I will again read; "It shall be the duty of the Sergeant-at-Arms to attend the House during its sitting." The question is whether, under the Constitution, the House was here yesterday. I insist that the House was here. I insist that it was sitting. More than that, the law commands that the House of Representatives and the Senate shall be in session on the second Wednesday in February. It provides also that the Sergeant-at-Arms shall attend the House during its sittings to aid in the enforcement of order under the direction of the Speaker. He could not do it under the direction of the President of the Senate. The rule would not allow him to do so. He would forfeit his office if he obeyed the order of the President of the Senate, for the rule says "he shall be under the direction of the Speaker," and no one else. Again I ask the gentleman from Ohio, for I do not want him to escape this point by any legal argument, how order was to have been maintained? Would he have asked the President of the Senate to command the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate to arrest members of the House of Representatives? Could the President of the Senate have commanded the Sergeant-at-Arms of the House to arrest a Representative? He could not. He had no power to do it. The Sergeant-at-Arms would not have obeyed him. The power lies in the maintenance of order. The House of Representatives was here, the Speaker was here, the Sergeant-at-Arms was here; he was here for the maintenance of order. Who was to preserve order? The galleries if they were to break out in excitement, as in the French revolutionary times by mingling in the excitement below, except those who have control of the officers charged with the maintenance of order? The President of the Senate has control of the congressional police force only for the maintenance of order among Senators. If he had sent the Sergeant-at-Arms to command a Representative to take his seat, the member would have refused to obey, for the Sergeant-at-Arms had no right to obey the order of any one but the Speaker. When the Speaker was ordered to be here, he supposed his duty required him to make this order, and maintain the decorum and quiet which should prevail.

Mr. SCHENCK—I am rather sorry that this thing occurred, for it does not affect the weight of my argument. My view was to illustrate what condition we were in, what we were doing and how we were doing it. I hold that a joint convention of the two Houses is not a body with two heads. I hold that it is not two bodies. I hold that it is one body, composed of the Senate and of the House, coming together in joint meeting, having one head to preside, and, if necessary to keep order, as it is the duty of the Presiding Officer to do; and that that head is the President of the Senate. I hold that to aid the Presiding Officer in keeping order, as well as for the purpose of giving character to the occasion, it was intended that all the officers of both Houses should be present to assist him. If I am right in that, the Presiding Officer of that body might have ordered either the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate or the Sergeant-at-Arms of the House. It was as much his right as to speak to the two tellers appointed on the part of the House and give them instructions, as it was to speak to and instruct the teller appointed on the part of the Senate. It was as much his business to give instruction to the Clerk of the House as to the Secretary of the Senate, and the Presiding Officer of the whole body thus made up had power, not only to preside over the body, but to direct what was to be done. I am sorry, I repeat, that this attempt of mine to illustrate in what condition we found ourselves here, should have led to this passage between the speaker and myself. I do not think he intends it in any offensive way toward me, and I am certain I did not so intend it toward him.

Mr. GARFIELD next obtained the floor and addressed the House, saying that he did so as the immediate representative of the principal personage affected by the proceeding, a(meaning Senator WADE.) He discussed the question at considerable length as covered by the Constitution, the laws and the rules, and then said: We have heard it said that a turbulent scene was enacted yesterday—a shameful scene. I admit it and deplore it. Who was it, I ask, and I appeal to the memory and conscience of every member of the House, made the disturbance? Was it not caused by the theatrical attitude of ferocity which was exhibited on the part of members of this House, who thought they might, by clamor and uproar and disorder, prevent the execution of the law in the hands of the Presiding Officer of the joint Convention? Was it not men who used expressions like this: "I move that this Convention do now dissolve, and that the Senate have leave to retire? We certainly have the right to close this Hall of interlopers. It was language like this; it was an attitude of unparalleled insolence. It was the very fell spirit of disorder, that spirit which would prefer to reign in hell than to serve in heaven, that made this scene, which ought to have been one of calm deliberation, one of wild and reckless chaos. That, Mr. Speaker, was the fell spirit which disturbed the harmony and conduct of the proceedings of that joint Convention yesterday, and the country, and I believe the members of the House, will recognize the debt of gratitude that they owe the Speaker of the House, who threatened to use the constabulary force at his command.

Mr. MAYNARD—I rise to a question of order. I rise to say that much which has been said by the gentleman from Ohio is treasonable in the line of parliamentary language, if it does not transcend them, that I feel called upon to interpose an objection, as against him as well as of the rest of us from degenerating into impropriety of language.

The Speaker *pro tem.*, Mr. DAWES, in the chair—The gentleman will point out the language to which he objects.

Mr. MAYNARD—I interpose my objection to the tone and style of remarks that the language is adding into.

The SPEAKER *pro tem.*—The gentleman from Tennessee has not yet raised a point of order.

Mr. MAYNARD—I withdraw the point of order.

Mr. GARFIELD—As a mere exhortation to sobriety in this presence, the remarks of my friend from Tennessee are always welcome, but after nearly six years' service on this floor, I believe that a point of order, in the view of unparliamentary language, has not only never been sustained but has never been maintained against me; and I think I am in possession of all my ordinary faculties and understand the courtesies and rules of the House. I do not feel myself in danger, and certainly, after the kind suggestion of my friend from Tennessee, I am doubly armed indeed. "He is well armed whose quarrel is just," I say, Mr. Speaker, if there was disorder in this Hall—if there was an unseemly exhibition here yesterday—I think the House will not be slow to discover the source of that disorder. Without entering upon any particular defence of the President of the Senate, I desire to say, in conclusion, that I had then done more and more than he did—had he been intimidated from the execution of his high duty, and had we by any course of intimidation or coercion found ourselves in chaos after the 4th day of March next with no President elect, I think the country would not have found it difficult to point out the sources of that great disaster. And now, speaking in behalf of all who agree with me on this subject, and acting as I believe it to be my duty to act, standing in the relations I do to the case, I move that the pending resolutions be laid upon the table.

Mr. BUTLER—That is the meanest act I ever saw any man do. [Cries of "Order," "Order."]

Mr. GARFIELD—The motion that I made was not my own idea, and as so many friends around me desire to have more discussion I withdraw the motion to lay on the table.

Mr. LOGAN obtained the floor, but yielded half an hour of his time to Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, who (said): Mr. Speaker, I trust that in this very grave matter of constitutional law and of the privileges of the House, that we shall proceed to consider it with that care, that coolness and that candor which its importance demands. The question before the House is one compounded of facts and of law. The facts I shall not speak to; they are known to every member. The law I shall address myself to in some considerable degree. And now let me ask the House to pardon me if I do not reply to those unwarranted assaults upon me. The House knows that I never commence an assault. [Laughter on the Democratic side.] If I am very hard pressed I return assaults in the way that I did on one occasion, when I hoped that I should never silenced assaults by so doing. I was once told, on this floor, that I voted fifty-seven times for JEFFERSON DAVIS. I returned that assault in such a way that I thought the man who made it would never make another upon me. It has been the rule of my life never to make one. Now, as to the declamation of this case. As to the gentleman from Ohio, (Mr. BINGHAM,) who made that splendid declamation about anarchy; that splendid declamation about "the gathered wisdom of a thousand years;" about the "million of men who had shed their blood and with whose graves that land was ridged:" I must say that I always liked that speech. [Roars of laughter.] As to the other gentleman from Ohio, (Mr. GARFIELD,) who has chosen to arraign my motives, and to send me to the country branded, in parliamentary language, as being actuated with a spirit of anarchy, I believe I have answered

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

Compressed Air for Street Cars, &c.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

Your Correspondent, "Horatio," Jan. 25, conveys the idea that the use of compressed air has more novelty than it has had for the last twenty-eight years. The patents of WRIGHT and BOMFRAS, issued about 1836, contain all that is necessary for practical working, and all that seems to me useful. In 1845, or thereabout, PARSEY and the Baron VON RATHEN, in London, experimented with some success, on railways and on common roads; but their machinery was not well designed and built, and their funds were not sufficient for a series of trials and corrections, and they naturally failed to prove their claims that they could compete with the steam locomotive. About ten years ago a compressed air locomotive was tried in a mine in Pennsylvania, and reported to have worked economically, but the air-holder leaked—an evidence of bad workmanship. The old trouble of freezing was combatted by slacking lime to warm the air. About the same time a company in this City proposed to lay a pipe along a railway to supply air to a locomotive at intervals.

The first promoters of the Underground Railroad project in this City consulted me about steam-cars. I proposed a design, which their engineer reported. At the same time I gave them my opinion that they would do well to try compressed air, and to avoid the difficulty of chilling, forming ice on the cylinders, &c., by using the air while hot. Their stations being half a mile apart, they could, if necessary, supply air every minute, in the hot state in which it is put by compression—about as hot as steam of the same pressure; and at this temperature it would work without the inconvenience that results from the excessive cold that attends the expansion of compressed air that has been cooled to the temperature of the atmosphere by standing in reservoirs or pipes. I believe this is the simplest and best way of using compressed air, and that on City lines, whose stations are not more than a mile apart, it is practical; and if not as cheap as steam, it will be agreeable where steam would be intolerable, as in tunnels.

The steam-carriage projectors of the period from 1829 to 1836 generally believed that when they had established their carriages on country roads, they could introduce them into cities, and use them for all work; and, as a matter of luxury and convenience, would substitute compressed air for steam, as soon as there were enough carriages to pay for a great number of compressing stations, where the carriages could supply themselves with compressed air, as locomotives supply themselves with water and fuel. A physician's, or other private carriage, could then always be ready to start on the instant.

"If all this be practicable, why has it not been brought into practice?" Because there is not a patentable feature about it of sufficient efficacy to warrant the costly trials that must be made before it can be brought into practice! When these costly trials have been made, at the expense of visionary patentees, it is likely to be found that the new ideas which are patented are no better than the old ones whose patents long ago expired; and that the perfections of proportion and construction, which cannot be patented, will be obtained at workmen's prices; and the extraordinary costs of introducing the invention cannot be recovered in the free competition that will ensue. Engineers and speculators, therefore, have no inducements to undertake the work. But city railway companies, singly or locally, have an interest in it, and ought to provide $50,000 to settle the question—what is the best motor for their use?

J. K. FISHER.

Wilson Industrial School—Printing for Girls.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

Those who have been for many years engaged in the management of industrial schools for girls in this City, rejoice at the prospect of new branches of industry being opened to these girls, who have, heretofore, been limited in their choice of a profession.

For fifteen years in the Wilson Industrial School for Girls, No. 137 Avenue A, (the first establishment in this City,) various plans have been in successful operation by which girls have been trained to lives of usefulness. Starting on the principle that all females should be thoroughly instructed in the use of the needle, no matter what professions they follow, the girls in this school are, in addition to their mental culture, taught every branch of plain sewing while making their own garments, including dresses, which they earn by a system of credit marks. The past year they have made 778 garments. The older girls are then classified, and those having any genius for the trade, are taught dressmaking, under the instruction of a competent dressmaker, who has, for thirteen years, been in charge of this department, which, through the patronage of friends of the Institution has become self-supporting. Those incapable of becoming dressmakers are taken into a department where family sewing is done for patrons by hand or machine. No girl is allowed to receive instruction on the machine until she is able to baste and put together the garment she makes, believing that the lack of this knowledge is bringing machine work unjustly into disrepute. In these departments the girls receive remuneration, according to their ability, and they are thereby kept out of hoopskirt and tobacco stripping factories, the only means of livelihood available to many of them without the instruction they receive in this institution. In addition, all girls of a suitable age are required, in turn, to do the work of the house, and are instructed in all branches of "housework," and many families in the country are supplied with girls from this institution. But realizing that many of them have talent for other industries, we rejoice in the prospect of being able to give them instruction in telegraphing and printing, though we do not see the necessity of a "training school" for them in the latter, as in the former. We can think of no better training to be obtained than is furnished in the printing office, and printers could be well supplied with girls well recommended from industrial schools, and the philanthropic could then aid these institutions in the support of these girls until such time as they can support themselves.

It is perhaps due to the friends of this institution to mention that the "Wilson Industrial School" is not under the care of the Children's Aid Society, receives no assistance from the State through the Board of Education, and is not endowed, but relies for support on the generosity of those who approve of its plan of operation. It is in charge of a Board of Managers from various churches in this City, and has annually under its care from four to five hundred girls.

ONE OF THE MANAGERS.

FEB. 8, 1869.

Large Guns for Our Fortifications—Action of the Engineer and Ordnance Corps.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Feb. 6, 1869.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

The fact that the Engineer and Ordnance Corps of the Army have united in urging that about two thousand guns of large calibre, of which a large proportion are to be twelve-inch rifles are to be procured for the fortifications, is well known, and has been frequently alluded to in your columns. The guns are to be twenty-inch and fifteen-inch smooth-bore; and twelve-inch and ten-inch rifles. The cost will probably average the same as the cost of a fifteen-inch gun, twelve of which is $6,500 each. Then

200 guns at $4,500 each.....$12,000,000
2,000 wrought-iron carriages at $1,000 each.... 2,000,000
200 projectiles for each gun, (400,000,) at $15 each..... 6,000,000

And we have the "big thing" of.....$22,000,000

On the guns alone there would be a profit to Mr. CHAS. KNAPP, of the Fort Pitt Foundry, of not less than six millions of dollars, and a margin besides after Gen. RODMAN'S share. Hence the importance of the persistent efforts to get these guns ordered.

Gen. DYER, (the member of the Ordnance Corps in whose case the President of the United States appointed a Committee of Inquiry" to examine into the nature of his transactions," and "of the accusations" against him by a joint Committee of the two Houses of Congress, which Court was appointed on the 19th day of September, 1868, and is now sitting,) in his report as Chief of Ordnance, dated 12th October, 1866, says:

"In the last annual report from this office, the unanimous opinion of the Board on the armament of fortifications was given, that the adoption generally of a large number of guns of the same calibre for each armament, in preference to the system approved by the War Department, as at present, by the Chief of Engineers, Chief of Ordnance and Board of Engineers, was nearly finished and ready for trial to test their power and endurance."

Almost in the next paragraph of this report, the same officer says the endurance of the guns is undoubted.

In his report for the preceding year the same Chief of Ordnance refers to the endurance of a twelve-inch rifle gun then being tested at Fort Monroe, as having established that guns of that class were the best cannon possessed by any nation in the world, without referring to the important fact that four only of that kind of gun had ever been made, and that the other three had burst after an insignificant number of rounds, and previous to the date of the report given there was reason to believe that the one in question had also burst; for not a word is said of it in the last report of Oct. 12, 1866. Now, it is remarkable that two of these others (probably of the five nearly ready when the report was written) have been disabled during the experiments made lately by the Engineers and Ordnance Corps at Fortress Monroe and Fort Delaware. An extraordinary part of this business is that, while the Ordnance Department of the army is engaged apparently in efforts to bolster up the character of guns which fail so suddenly under test that it is difficult to make a report about their being preferred and have it printed before the guns are disabled, the Chief of the Ordnance Bureau of the navy says in his last report, corresponding with the annual report of Gen. DYER, of Oct. 19, 1868, to which I have referred, that:

"Opinions differ quite as widely in regard to the preferable mode of developing ordnance power; whether it shall be by smooth or rifled guns; by loading at the breech or muzzle—made from iron, cast or wrought, or from steel—solid or in connected parts; the relation of mass to velocity is also unsettled. In fact, the question involves the necessity of going back to fundamental principles, and starting themes by well-conducted experiments."

Here is a pretty situation after the experience of a war to be obliged to go back to fundamental principles. Probably we shall not be called on to go back further than to "long eighteen" pounders and "carronades." How shall we excuse ourselves when we meet those benighted European gunmakers who were so far behind us during the war in relation to the perfection of heavy guns, as we are taking the "back track." I see no way but to continue our reliance on "sublime impudence and say our guns are better than yours anyhow." We can then "look up our opinions" by having the nineteen hundred and fifteen (which is the exact number) large guns purchased, pay for them in greenbacks, then repudiate the national debt. The whole transaction would then be consistent: it would include no "incongruous results," so much complained of by our Ordnance Corps in their reports in relation to the endurance of guns.

Respectfully, NORMAN WIARD.

The Gas Nuisance—Opinions of a Health Officer.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

After patiently submitting to various delays, the Board of Health has again attacked the gas companies, determined to compel them to prevent the escape of the foul odors which are so annoying to the residents of some parts of the City. We said gas companies; we should, however, qualify the term to gas company, as only one of the companies is now guilty of the nuisance. The Manhattan Company has so improved its process of purification that its works on East Fourteenth-street and West Eighteenth-street are not often productive of offence, and the New-York Gas Company has introduced a new process at its works on East Twenty-first-street which entirely obviates the nuisance. The Metropolitan Company is the offending party, as the residents of Fifth-avenue, and in fact all who live in the upper and western portion of the City, are painfully aware. Wherever the direction of the wind and the conditions of the atmosphere are favorable, they are disgusted with the peculiar, persistent and very characteristic odor of the spent gas lime of the purifiers, which is swept over the City from the works at the foot of West Forty-second-street. While in all the large cities of Europe the gas companies have cheerfully made such changes in their process of purifying as were necessary to avoid the nuisance, and while the other New-York companies have made successful improvements, the Metropolitan Company obstinately persists in continuing the nuisance, and not only compels the Board of Health to resort to a protracted suit before a referee, but brings prominent chemists to the witness stand to prove that black is white; to prove that their vile stench is a delightful odor which cures all manner of diseases; to prove that they cannot avoid making the vile stench; to prove that they actually do not make any stench at all. With regard to the first and last of these claims the citizens of New-York can speak for themselves, and they do speak. And they speak advisedly,—for although the innocent sewers, which are kept clean and sweet by the liberal flow of Croton in our houses, have been often accused of emitting the odor, it is now well known by every one that they are entirely guiltless,—they do not emit any perceptible odor. No one who has ever knowingly smelled the odor of foul gas lime, can fail to recognize it as the vile stench which is so often perceived in the upper part of the City. How the Metropolitan Company has the effrontery to attempt to prove that the stench is unavoidable we cannot understand. No amount of chemical expert testimony can outweigh the fact that this Company is now using a process of purification which has been abandoned in all the large cities of Europe for the very reason that it produced such a nuisance. The argument is advanced that some of the English companies find it difficult, when using some of the new processes of purification, to avoid leaving more than twenty grains of sulphur in every one hundred feet of gas. This happens to inconvenience them, because Parliament once inconsiderately enacted a law ordering the companies not to leave more than twenty grains of sulphur in their gas. This standard was selected, not because twenty grains, or thirty grains, or a somewhat larger quantity of sulphur is specially objectionable, but because the lime processes then in use, when properly conducted, never left more than twenty grains. Give us the processes used in London, Dublin, Paris, Berlin, Vienna, Munich and all the other large cities of Europe, and we will not complain if the gas does contain twenty-five or thirty-five grains of sulphur in one hundred cubic feet. W. ODLING, Professor of Practical Chemistry at Guy's Hospital, and Secretary of the London Chemical Society has spoken very clearly on this subject in his lecture to the British Association of Gas Managers, June 2, 1868, as follows:

"But, on the other hand, I am altogether at issue with the public, when they maintain that the sulphur of gas produces, by its combustion, oil of vitriol, or that the amount of sulphur ordinarily contained in gas is of any consequence, whatever; and a little consideration will, I think, satisfy you of the soundness of this position. We will assume that coal gas contains, not twenty, but forty grains of sulphur in 100 feet—a quantity, at any rate, greatly exceeding the reality. Now, making another extravagant assumption, that the whole of these forty grains of sulphur would be completely burnt—and in reality they would be burned very incompletely—they would furnish by their combustion eighty grains of sulphurous acid gas. This quantity of the produced sulphurous acid would occupy at ordinary temperature about 1-15th part of a cubic foot, and since 100 cubic feet of our coal gas gives 1-15th of a cubic foot of sulphurous acid, 1,500 feet of coal gas would be required to furnish one cubic foot of the acid, even upon the extravagant assumptions we have purposely made. But the combustion of 1,500 feet of coal gas would produce something besides sulphurous acid. It would produce at least 1,000 cubic feet of carbonic acid; and, in addition to its dilution by other gases and vapors, we should have our sulphurous acids diluted by 1,000 times its volume of carbonic acid. Now, if we can get at the proportion of carbonic acid in the atmosphere of a room highly illuminated with gas, and take the 1,000th part of that proportion, we shall be able to form some notion of the amount of the sulphurous acid present. You will remember that the amount of carbonic acid furnished by the breath of one individual is equal to that furnished by two three-feet gas burners, and that the maximum amount of carbonic acid found in the atmosphere of a crowded theatre was 0.02 per cent. Now, it is addition to our previous unreasonable suppositions, we further suppose that an atmosphere contains 0.2 per cent. of carbonic acid, furnished by gas combustion, you will see that the whole matter becomes a *seductio ad absurdum*—that we might actually have one-half millionth part of sulphurous acid present in the air of a room. But this sulphurous acid is not sulphuric acid, and can only be converted into sulphuric acid with very much pains and difficulty, as all who have tried the experiment of converting the sulphur of coal gas into sulphuric acid are painfully aware. When gas is burning in a special appliance, instead, its constituent sulphur can be converted into sulphuric acid; but it is very difficult to do this. The probability is, that of the sulphurous acid produced in ordinary gas-burning, scarcely a fifty particles ever succeed into sulphuric acid, certainly not more than the ammonia ordinarily existing in the atmosphere can neutralize, so as to form sulphate of ammonia, instead of sulphurous acid." This, we think, effectually disposes of the argument that the sulphur of the gas is the offensive ingredient, and is generally by all large gas companies, except the Metropolitan Company of New-York. The other argument advanced against the non-nuisance producing process is the danger of the deposition from hard aerated liquors of the diminishing power of the gas to the extent of about one-twelfth. This is denied by the advocates of the iron process; but suppose it be the case, what then? Why, there is a great gas-purifying company in this City which has for years been producing such a deposition in the lime purifiers from coal, to bring up the illuminating power of the gas. One pound of either of these coals, added to every fifty pounds of ordinary gas coal, will restore the illuminating power of the gas. One pound of coal to fifty pounds of coal is a mere matter of dollars and cents. The Metropolitan Company, in order to save a few thousand dollars per annum, and thereby augment its enormous profits, chooses to inflict its vile stench upon the citizens of New-York. The Board of Health is the servant of the people of New-York, and its efforts in abating nuisances are wholly for the benefit of the public. This law suit or controversy is not between the Board of Health and the Metropolitan Gas Company, but between the people of New-York and the Metropolitan Gas Company. The Board of Health has only to sustain the charges it has made, and the people will be sustained. Go to the other parts of the City and ask the residents if the Board of Health has not just cause of complaint. We hope, however, that the President and Directors of the Metropolitan Gas Company will be wise enough to give up this controversy at once and devote their energies to the improvement of their process of purification. We do not want a protracted law suit put upon our tax-ridden City for the benefit of a rich monopoly. Moreover, it is a hopeless contest for the Gas Company, for it is not probable that it will be successful in sustaining a contest in which all the large European companies have been already vanquished.

A HEALTH OFFICER.

The Coming Yacht Race—A Reminiscence of Yachting.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

In 1851, eighteen years ago, the English invited the New-York Yacht Club to send a yacht to the "World's Fair," or to English waters, to compete for the "Queen's Cup."

When the communication was read at a meeting of the Club there was a long silence, but at length it was broken by suggestions from Mr. GEORGE SCHUYLER and Commodore STEVENS that something more than a formal acknowledgment should be made.

In pursuance of this suggestion these two gentlemen, in connection with GEORGE W. BLUNT, Esq., who was Chairman or a member of the Regatta Committee of the Club, and Mr. HAMILTON WILKES, share and share alike, contracted with Mr. GEORGE S. STEERS, at the price of $20,000, to build a schooner of about 170 tons to sail against the English and other European yachts in the great sweepstakes to come off during the season. The condition of the acceptance of the vessel was that she would beat any yacht belonging to the New-York Yacht Club. Mr. STEERS, with commendable energy, finished the boat, and she received the characteristic name *America*, was pitted against the *Maria*, a then sloop belonging to Commodore STEVENS, and slightly beaten. Nevertheless, Messrs. STEVENS and SCUYLER finally took her, and nearly all the gentlemen named appeared with her in English waters at the appointed time of the race, and in the most triumphant manner vanquished everything and all things combined against her, and brought the Queen's Cup to the hands of the New-York Yacht Club, where it still remains.

When this cup was won the New-York Yacht Club, with the *America*, were obliged to submit to the courses, distances, strength of winds and rules as laid down by the English Yact Club; it is to be hoped, therefore, that when the *Cambria* and her gallant commander comes to our waters, he and his friends will not complain if the New-York Yacht Club rules shall govern the contest, and he be obliged to win, if at all, under difficulties somewhat analagous to those that surrounded the *America*.

It is somewhat surprising that no enterprising Briton has, during these eighteen years, *come* for the cup, which has rested here so long that the Club will be loth to part with it now, and though there is no doubt the *Cambria* will have a fair chance, every effort will be put forth to hold the honor earned.

Shipowners' Interests.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

It is generally acknowledged that the interests of shipowners are at present much depressed. While unwise legislation may be to some extent the cause of this, yet, as relates to sailing vessels, there is a far more important source of depression, which is not fully recognized as it should be, and as to know the difficulty is half the battle in overcoming it, the following remarks may be of service to those engaged in this important branch of commerce.

It is evident the economy of running a line of sailing vessels has reached its ultimate limits, and it is equally evident to those conversant with steam engineering that the limits of future possible economy can scarcely be predicted; but this much is known, that out of one hundred per cent. of heat in coal, not more than twenty-five per cent. is actually converted into steam power, and even of this twenty-five per cent. less than one-half is utilized in propelling vessels; hence it follows that when we attain perfection in generating and utilizing steam, a vessel can be propelled with at least one-eighth part the fuel at present required, which also involves a corresponding reduction in cost and weight of machinery.

Already for voyages of moderate length the economy of steam as compared with sail is slightly in favor of the former, and as improved methods of producing and using steam are almost of daily occurrence, it becomes daily more evident to engineers that the days of sailing vessels are numbered, and it is therefore obviously useless for owners of sailing vessels to look or hope for more prosperous times than the present, and the sooner they realize this and turn their attention to looking for some improved method of steam propulsion that would warrant the conversion of their sailing vessels into steamships, the better it will be for them, for the world does not stand still; and in a few years more, what with the certain improvements in steam, the opening of the Suez Canal and the prospective canal across the Isthmus of Panama, steamers will undoubtedly be able to carry freight, even on long voyages, cheaper than sail.

Means to secure that, supposing all sailing vessels to be converted into steamers, as it would be equivalent to doubling their carrying capacity, there would not be sufficient freight to keep them busy. This same style of argument, however, has been frequently urged against the introduction of every saving invention, but actual results have always proved it fallacious, the fact being that cheaper production is always followed by increased consumption.

Profound thinkers, however, will see the immense commercial importance of cheap transportation, especially to this country, whose vast cereal products have to be carried in some instances thousands of miles to a market, as cheaper transportation on our rivers, canals and lakes, and across the ocean, means larger exports, hence greater importing capacity, and therefore increased commerce.

Steam navigation, even with its present imperfect economy, has in the last fifty years more than quadrupled the commerce of the world; therefore it is evident that each new improvement or increased economy, should and will produce a further increase, proportionate to its value; and even should all sailing vessels be converted into steamers, it is fair to suppose that in the early future there would be freight enough for all.

In conclusion, permit me to state, that having spent some years in studying the science of propulsion, and having made many practical experiments, I have invented a new method of propelling vessels by steam, to supply this present need of shipowners, which points to such immensely increased economy in fuel and machinery, as to fully warrant the assertion that a 1,000-ton vessel may be propelled ten miles per hour, with less than three tons of coal per day, requisite machinery weighing less than fifteen tons, and costing less than $10,000; or, as it is equally adapted for all kinds of navigation, a canal-boat of 250 tons, at the rate of three miles per hour, with less than 150 pounds of coal per twenty-four hours, machinery weighing less than one ton and costing less than $1,200, and correct estimates show the saving effected in these two instances to be $20 a ton per year on sailing vessels, and $1,500 a year on canal-boats, respectively, and that its general adoption on all vessels would effect a saving of many millions a year.

Trusting the magnitude of the subject may be sufficient excuse for my intruding on your columns, I am, your humble servant,

FRED. R. PIKE,
No. 55 CEDAR-ST., NEW-YORK, Feb. 3, 1869.

Management of Express Property.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

The following question interests a large number of people, not only in New-York but throughout the country: "Are express stocks managed for the interest of the stockholders?" If I may be permitted to ask and answer a question at the same time, myself, with thousands of others, will answer "No." As long as the managers can make more money by degrading and advancing their selling rates in part, they will use their power more to wreck bridges than to rear substantial dividend-paying stocks and to the confidence of the public; is it not time that parties interested should agitate and investigate?

T.

Union and its Surroundings—Lecture by Ex-Gov. Seymour.

EX-GOV. SEYMOUR delivered a lecture in Utica, on Friday evening, his subject being "Our Home, and its Surroundings." We quote from the report in the Utica *Observer* the following paragraph in relation to the geological formation of the country:

I find upon looking into the geographical features of our home that we live in a most interesting part of the world, and I shall invoke your pardon while I describe some of the physical facts presented in the most interesting geology of any part of the globe. From our position in this part of our State, we are able to know more of the history of the world than any other community on the continent, and perhaps on the globe. Our rocks are the oldest in the series of formations, and the most ancient of the fossil remains are found in them. Our soil is the result of the disintegration of the rocks by the glaciers, which, in the long ages past, moved down from the north, and ground them to powder. The scratches on the rocks, which are found in all parts of the country, are the marks of the glaciers. The peculiar direction of the scratches, and the course of the boulders

DR. HOLMES ON DOCTORS.

Interesting and Humorous Lecture on the Medical Profession—Who Doctors of the Old Bay State.

From an Occasional Correspondent.

BOSTON, Monday, Feb. 1, 1869.

No more valuable and interesting series of lectures have ever been given in this city than those now in course of delivery at the Lowell Institute, by members of the Massachusetts Historical Society, on subjects relating to the early history of the State. The course was begun early in January, the President of the above-named Society, Hon. ROBERT C. WINTHROP, giving the introductory lecture, which was a somewhat rambling and disconnected, but very entertaining discourse, on the general history of Massachusetts, its needs, its people, climate and soil, and the value of historical study and research. Mr. WINTHROP was followed by Rev. GEORGE E. ELLIS, D. D., who has delivered two lectures on the religious characteristics of the founders of Massachusetts, as exemplified in their aims and objects and their treatment of intruders and dissentients. SAMUEL F. HAVEN, Esq., of Worcester, has related the "History of the Grants under the Great Council for New-England;" WILLIAM BRIGHAM, Esq., has related the story of "Plymouth Colony and its Relations to Massachusetts;" Hon. CHAS. W. UPHAM, of Salem, treated of "The Records of Massachusetts under the First Charter," and the last lecture, the seventh in the course, was delivered on Friday evening last by Prof. OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, on "The Medical Profession in Massachusetts."

Something was said at the beginning about the interest and instructive value of these lectures, which the foregoing list of their subjects and authors will amply verify, but the favor with which they have been received is not so clearly a matter of course. No such demand for Lowell lecture tickets has been known for years, and on more than one occasion the hall has been incapable of accommodating all the seekers after historical truth. Their popularity has been so great that it has been suggested that a repetition of the course should be arranged before another audience, but whether the proposition is carried into effect or not, it is to be hoped that another course will be given next Winter, to include the names of certain gentlemen who do not appear this year in the list of lecturers. The proceeds of the present course will go into the treasury of the Massachusetts Historical Society, and will be devoted to the publication of important historical papers. The collection already made and published numbers forty-six volumes, and Mr. WINTHROP has well said that a set of them should be added to every town library in the State.

Of the lectures above enumerated, that by Dr. HOLMES was the most interesting, as it was the most brilliant, and attracted the largest audience. There has been some cavil at the manner in which the Professor treated his subject, the fault-finders taking exception to the anecdotical and humorous character of the lecture, declaring that the impression which it left upon the mind of the hearer was far from favorable to the honor and intelligence of the early practitioners. To the first part of this criticism Dr. HOLMES has made his own defence in the present lecture, in that portion referring to the record of the profession, which us, the whole he calls a clean one; and as to the humorous part of the objection—the words just written dispose of it to my satisfaction; only in a Pickwickian sense could one object to the rare wit and rarer humor of the "Autocrat of the Breakfast Table," let the subjects which they embellish be what they may—history and medicine, as well as the wide range of subjects discussed before the Old Gentleman Who Sat Opposite, listened to by the Schoolmistress, joked about and laughed at by the Young Fellow Called John, and heard but unheeded by the Landlady's Daughter. But the Professor's best defence, as above remarked, is his own words, and next to that, one may fairly suppose, an abstract of them, however unsatisfactory such an abstract may be to one who has listened to the lecture. Not a single page of the MMS. could be overlooked without omitting something which gave a special interest or value to the effort.

In the beginning, Dr. HOLMES stated his subject to be the Medical Profession in Massachusetts, but the story of two centuries, told in an hour and a half, must in many respects, he said, be amere outline. The details related chiefly to the first century; the larger movements and more prominent names of the two which followed were merely indicated, and a few general reflections suggested by the story told were submitted at the close.

Dr. SAMUEL FULLER, the physician of the *Mayflower*, was the first disciple of Galen mentioned in the history of Massachusetts. He was a good physician, a man of standing, a deacon of the church, one whom the Pilgrims loved and trusted. But the best medical skill could do little in such battles with the Great Destroyer as that first sad Winter witnessed. Medicine could not assuage the pains caused by hunger and cold and homesickness, and much of the story of the sufferings of these devoted people, and the attempts to alleviate them, remains untold. Dr. JOHN CLARK, of Newbury, and afterward of Boston, is said to have been the first regularly-educated physician who resided in New-England. His portrait, in close-fitting skullcap, with long locks, and vast, venerable flowing beard, hangs now upon the wall of the ante-chamber of the Massachusetts Historical Society. GILES FIRMAN was another educated physician, who resided for a time in this country, and his lectures on anatomy were the first scientific teachings of the New World. His practice here must have been small, or, from some other cause, unremunerative, for he wrote to Gov. WINTHROP: "I am strongly set upon to studye divinitie; my studyes else must be lost, for physick is but a meane helpe." Perhaps the first mention of a quack is found in our court records for 1631, when that one NICHOLAS KNAPP was sentenced to be fined and whipped for "taking upon him to cure the scurvy by a water of noe worth or value, wh he sold att a very deare rate." Empty purses or sore backs, said the lecturer, would be very common with us to-day if such a sale were enforced. Besides, these worthies, and many others for whose names there is no space, it should be remembered that many clergymen had bodies as well as souls to look after, among them two presidents of Harvard College and THOMAS THACHER, the first minister of the "Old South." Mr. THACHER was the author of the first medical treatise printed in this country, and his epitaph in Greek is now to be found in the Magnolia. This epitaph, by the way, is said to have been written by an Indian youth name ELEAZAR, a member of the senior class of Harvard College. "I miss," said Dr. HOLMES, "this noble savage's name in the triennial, and as there is many a slip between the cup and lip, I suspect he lost his degree by some display of his native instinct—perhaps tomahawking a tutor or freshmen."

The first Gov. WINTHROP, and his son, the Governor of Connecticut, were sufficiently identified with the practice of the healing art to deserve mention. Of the former, COTTON MATHER wrote that he was "Helpe for our Bodies by Physick [and] for our Estates by Law." His son had a very large practice, chiefly in Hartford, in which he employed many of the simples dear to ancient women—alder, wormwood, anise, rhubarb and the rest, but his *materia medica* was not insignificant in mineral resources. These he always designated by a syphax, or by some name which should leave them a mystery to the vulgar, and his memorandums and prescriptions are extremely difficult to decipher. One remedy of very frequent occurrence was called *rubia*. Dr. HOLMES had quite given this up in despair of finding out its real kindle, when he came upon a manuscript which he interpreted as follows: "Four grains of diaphoretic antimony, with twenty grains de nitre, with a little salt of tin, *rubbia rubbia*." Further, continued the Professor, something was added to redden the powder, as he constantly speaks of rubifying his prescriptions—a very common practice with prescribers when their powders look a little too much like plain salt or sugar. The Governor-Doctor's account of diseases are so terse that only general notions of the complaints for which he prescribed are given. Measles and their consequences are never properly dwelt upon by one affection, but the common infirmities of youth are and of all ages seem to have come under his leading hand, some kind gentle note being recommended and [illegible]

ROGER WILLIAMS, JOHN HAVILAND, CORNELIUS HILL, JOHN DAVENPORT, WILLIAM HOPKINS, SAMUEL GORTON, [illegible] of Governor WINTHROP'S prescriptions, running a close third on the scale was compared with the superstitious all around them,) was an electuary of millipedes. But he is certainly excused, said the lecturer, by the almost incredible fact that this remedy was retained in the Materia Medica so late as when Rees' Cyclopædia was published, with directions given by the College of Edinburgh for its preparation. These millipedes, said Dr. HOLMES with characteristic wit, were evil little beasts with a thousand legs apiece, be the same more or less,—at any rate few times as bad as centipedes. Other remedies of a like nature, but comparatively unobjectionable, in use at this period, were a substance obtained from animals' stomachs called bezoar, and the "antimonial cup," a vessel made of that metal which was used like the "quaaal cup" of to-day, and like it filled and emptied *in secula seculorum* without exhausting its virtues.

WAITSTILL WINTHROP, the Governor's son, was a skillful physician, inventing an eye water to which the late President, JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, ascribed rare virtue, and which he used to obtain from a recipe in the possession of Hon. R. C. WINTHROP, a descendant of the good doctor's. WAITSTILL WINTHROP's son JOHN also speaks of himself as "Dr. WINTHROP."

Dr. JAMES OLIVER, a great-great grandfather of OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, was a prominent physician in the latter part of the seventeenth century, and he has left a very respectable catalogue of remedies, including the simples heretofore mentioned, beside numerous elixirs and cordials, showing that he rather fancied warming drinks. A plaster for what Dr. HOLMES' schoolfellows used to call the "ager," or facoache, was known in the family as simply "Dr. Oliver," and GOODMAN HANCOCK is shown to have paid for their same plaster, in the days of its author's practice, what Dr. HOLMES, anxious, probably, to preserve the present tariff of professional charges, calls "the painfully exiguous sum of no pounds, no shillings and six pence."

The great event of the medical history of the eighteenth century was the introduction of inoculation for small-pox. COTTON MATHER, who is termed "the troublesome pedant," who tormented his dying daughter with some special remedy for consumption in that "spirit of well-meant but reckless quackery who could touch nothing without making mischief,—not even a quotation,"—through his meddling, brought this great blessing to our community. He accidentally came upon an account of inoculation as practiced in Turkey, and bored physicians with the scheme for a long time unsuccessfully. On the 27th day of June, 1721, Dr. LABDIEL BOYSTON inoculated his only son for small-pox. MATHER's claim to the honor of first suggesting this process was challenged by Dr. WILLIAM DOUGLASS, a a Scotchman of a disputatious native, of whom it was wittily said that he was "always positive and sometimes right." But his claim has done little to shift the honor from the name where, in the popular belief, it has so long rested. In 1735 occurred the great epidemic known as the "throat-distemper," which has been supposed not unlike our diphtheria, and from this time dates the extensive use of mercurials, which were said to have been specially useful in its treatment. In this century medical practice had settled upon four great remedies as its chief reliance. When Dr. HOLYOKE received young JAMES JACKSON as a student, he pointed to a great array of medicines (for he was his own apothecary) and named four which, he said, were of more importance than all the rest put together—mercury, antimony, opium, and Peruvian bark—and Dr. WILLIAM DOUGLASS, the Scotchman before referred to, had said the same thing in a preface to some published essay in 1730.

During the memorable century that bred and bore the Revolution, the medical profession gave great names to our history, notably among them JOHN BROOKS and JOSEPH WARREN. Others, not so great, were among the "medical politicians," like ELISHA COOKE, in the early part of the century, or CHARLES JARVIS, the "bald eagle of Boston," in its later years. In connection with these two last named physicians, Dr. HOLMES remarked:

"I can only say that I hope their patients thought better of their uniting the two callings than I do, for I should as soon think of sending a juggler, who was spinning five trencher-plates with one hand, to be my pulse with the other, as one who was making speeches and coaxing voters to meddle with the internal politics of my corporeal republic."

The third century of our medical history began with the introduction of the second great medical discovery of modern times—of all time up to that date—vaccination. In the month of July, 1800, Dr. BENJAMIN WATERHOUSE, of Cambridge, submitted four of his children to the new process, and they were the first persons vaccinated. as Dr. BOYLSTON'S son had been the first inoculated in the New World. Thus did America receive the two great medical discoveries of the past century, destined to save the lives of countless multitudes in the future, as they have of thousands in the past, *vid* Boston, and at the hands of Massachusetts physicians. The third great medical discovery of modern times was indicated in the following eloquent paragraph. Doubtless many of the auditory were disappointed in that it contained no allusion to the controversy about the claims of Drs. MORTON and JACKSON as discoverers of the anæsthetic:

"A little before the first half of this century was completed, in the Autumn of 1846, that great discovery went forth from the Massachusetts General Hospital, which repaid the debt of America to the science of the Old World, and gave immortality to the place of its origin in the memory and heart of mankind. The prediction of Franklin, that inoculation, *ante facta, diffundit, fecunda*, is one of these triumphs over the infirmities of our mortal condition which change the aspect of life ever afterwards. Historic can add nothing to its glory, nobler permitted to human weakness that our Bethlehem should have been chosen as the birthplace of this new embodiment of the Divine mercy; and we can yet find room for Art, which has symbolized its beneficent work in the figures upon yonder pedestal, (the Ether monument in the Public Garden,) may hereafter take a bolder flight when our city grows with a thousand years of history, and events, separated by a century, seem to crowd close to each other in retrospect. It will be only putting history in marble when the youthful genius of our thoughtful and metropolis shall be represented as wreathing away the scourge of tyranny with one hand and strangling the serpent of pain with the other, while the lightnings drawn silently from heaven play harmless at his feet!"

The last one hundred years had little to show in Massachusetts in the way of medical literature. The worthies who took care of our grandfathers and great grandfathers, like the Revolutionary heroes, fought (with disease) and bled (their patients) and died (in spite of their own remedies.) But their names, once familiar, are heard only at rare intervals. Honored in their day, not unremembered by a few solitary students of the past, their memories are going sweetly to sleep in the arms of the patient old dry nurse, whose "black drop" is the never-failing anodyne of the restless generations of men. But while the trouble of the last century is to find authors to mention, the trouble of this is to name all that are found. Of these a few, however, claim an unquestioned prominence. Dr. NATHAN SMITH, a native of Rehoboth, Mass., did a great work for medicine and surgery in New-England by his labors as teacher and author. The two WARRENS of our time have left full records of an extended medical practice. Then there are Dr. JAMES JACKSON's series of letters, kindly, pleasant and instructive; JOHN WARE's remarkable essays; Dr. JACOB BIGELOW's "Discourse on Self-limited Diseases;" Dr. JOHN BARNARD SWETT JACKSON's admirable museum of pathological anatomy; and in the literature of special diseases, as seen in hospital reports and special treatises, may be found the names of WYMAN, WOODWARD, BRIGHAM, BELL, RAY and Dr. SAMUEL GRIDLEY HOWE, the guide of a great multitude home to a world of inward or of outward darkness, and theirs as a philanthropy to the world wide. In speaking of the progress by our physicians in the great sanitary movements of the time, Dr. HOLMES paid deserved tribute to those physicians who had made special studies of children, the "black death" of the nineteenth century, and of insanity, the plague of the North. As showing the gentleness of the Massachusetts community in dealing with the victims of the latter, it was stated that the methods in vogue previous to the present century, and which the lecturer should, among other illustrations, show the new science of Histology was first traceable

In treating the subject of the Medical Profession in Massachusetts in relation to its position as the first generation of practitioners, Dr. HOLMES remarked that it was necessary to allow some little to the state of the healing art in England, and to the superstitions which prevailed all around them in other departments of knowledge and belief. In illustration of which (among the long and interesting list which the lecturer quoted) is the recommendation of SYDENHAM to Sir RICHARD BLACKMORE to read *Don Quixote* for professional instruction! Sir KENELM DIGBY was in these days playing fantastic tricks with sympathetic powders, and writing to Gov. WINTHROP, the Second, the following cure for the ague:

"Pare the patient's nails; put the parings in a little bag round the neck of a live cat, and put him in a tub of water; the cat will die and the patient will recover."

WISEMAN, the famous surgeon, was discounting eloquently on the efficacy of the Royal touch in scrofula. "The illustrious ROBERT BOYLE was making his collection of remedies, including the sole of an old shoe, the thigh-bone of a hanged man, and things far worse than these as articles of his *materia medica*; and toad-powder was the sovereign remedy of Dr. STAFFORD, the friend and correspondent of the first Gov. WINTHROP. The superstitions that hung about everything that could not readily be accounted for and explained, the inhuman treatment of the sane, and, above all, the miserable delusion of witchcraft, illustrate the false ideas that prevailed regarding the supposed relation of men with the spiritual world, and show, to some extent, what the common sense of the medical profession had to assert itself against.

"But on the whole," said the Professor, "their record is a clean one; and where it is questionable, it must be considered that they felt to some extent the influence of the physic-prescribing clergymen, who often used spiritual means as a substitute for temporal ones; who looked upon a hysteric patient as possessed by the devil, and healed a fractured skull by prayers and plasters!"

And this sonorous sentence calls to my recollection a remark made by an orthodox clergyman last Sunday, to the effect that if a member of his denomination had incorporated into a lecture before a mixed audience such a tirade against Unitarianism as Dr. HOLMES had pronounced against orthodoxy in the present lecture, he would have been universally condemned as wanting in good taste, at least. I have searched the Professor's MSS. with great care, and unless the "tirade" should be concealed in words which are perfectly innocent to the lay reader, I have necessarily concluded that it is the old cry which we have heard more than once, and which reached its culminating point in the criticisms that greeted the appearance of Rev. BELLAMY STORER. It is, however, barely possible that the following may be the objectionable paragraph:

"I am not disposed to deny the occasional injuring effect of the materializing influences to which the physician is subjected. A spiritual guild is absolutely necessary to keep him, to some extent, from receiving the "fingering slaves" that WORDSWORTH treats with such shrivelling scorn. But it is well that the two callings have been separated, and in dealing that they remain apart. In meddling the affairs of the late concern, I am afraid our good friends remain a little in our debt. We lost them our physician, MICHAEL SERVETUS, in a fiery martyrdom, which could not be damaged by fire as to be quite useless for our purpose. Their Rev. SAMUEL WILLARD wrote us a not overwise treatise on hysteria, and our JEAN ASTRUC gave them (if we may trust Dr. SMITH's dictionary of the Bible) the first discerning criticism on the authorship of the Pentateuch. Our JOHN LOCKE enlightened them with his letters concerning Toleration, and their Cotton MATHER represented metropolis shall be represented dealing away the scourge of tyranny with one hand and strangling the serpent of pain with the other, while the lightnings drawn silently from heaven play harmless at his feet!"

The closing pages are reached, and I shall not mar the eloquent summing up by any attempt to summarize them. As they were written and spoken they are repeated here:

"My brief sketches of some points in the history of the Medical Profession in Massachusetts must be brought to a close They have shown it divided among clergymen, magistrates and physicians proper, in the first century, always, however, respected and respectable. In the second century it simplified its practice, educated many intelligent practitioners, and began organizing itself for concerted action and medical legislation.

In this, our third century, it has built hospitals, perfected and multiplied its associations, enlarged and created museums, and challenged a place in the world of science, by original labors.

While the medical profession has thus spread its branches like a broad oak, the various forms of quackery have rooted themselves, sprouted and flourished like rank weeds around it, sucking the life-juices of its bark, sucking its golden sap somewhat freely, but, on the whole, doing a moderate amount of harm to the stately growth of the great organism they feed on.

We find most of the old follies among us to-day, only changing their dresses and the social spheres in which they thrive. We think the quarrels of Paracelsists and Chemists belong to the past, forgetting that Thomsonism has its disciples among us; that it is common to see remedies vaunted as purely vegetable, and that the prejudice against "mineral poisons," as they are called, is still a very strong question, as it was at the beginning of the seventeenth century. Names are only air, and blow away with a change of wind; but beliefs are rooted in human wants and aspirations, and they live on, when the Dodona are prostrate, and the shrine of Delphi is desolate; but the Pythoness and the Sybil may be consulted in Lowell-street for a moderate compensation. Nostradamus and Mother Shipton have many quiet followers now